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INTRODUCTION: The Context From Which This Report Arises

"Now just as certain gods are believed to be bisexual, so every person is believed to be endowed with the masculine and feminine "souls". These "souls" reveal their respective physiological characteristics in and through the procreative organs. Thus the feminine "soul" of the man, so it is maintained, is located in the prepuce, whereas the masculine "soul" of the woman is situated in the clitoris. This means that as the young boy grows up and finally is admitted into the masculine society he has to shed his feminine properties. This is accomplished by the removal of the prepuce, the feminine portion of his original sexual state. The same is true with a young girl, who upon entering the feminine society is delivered from her masculine properties by having her clitoris or her clitoris and labia excised. Only thus circumcised can the girl claim to be fully a woman and thus capable of the sexual life."

"When human beings first arrive in the world, they are both male and female and possess twin souls. The boy's "female soul" is in the prepuce, the female element of the genitals, and the girl's "male soul" is in the clitoris, the male element. From the moment of birth, the Bambara child is inhabited by the Wanzo, an evil power which is in his blood and skin, and a force of disorder within the individual. The Wanzo prevent fecundity. The prepucce and the clitoris, seats of the Wanzo, must be severed to destroy the malefic power."2

At the 23rd International Council Meeting of Amnesty International—held at the University of Western Cape, South Africa 12-19 December 1997—the Bermuda Section presented Resolution A3.6: Gender Distinction in Genital Mutilation. (The original name of the resolution on its submission was: Resolution to Remove Sex Distinction From the Abuse of Genital Mutilation.)

This resolution was presented in Working Party A at approximately 10:15pm on the night of 14 December. On the instruction of the Working Party Chair, the resolution was presented out of the order set down in the Working Party A agenda. This placed it before resolutions A3.1 & A3.2—Abuses by Non-State Actors & Amnesty International and Governmental Inaction—and resolutions A3.3/3 4/3 5—Female Genital Mutilation (FGM)—on which consideration of its relevance hinged.

LeYoni Junos of the Bermuda Section proposed the resolution as requested, noting that international human rights standards on which Amnesty based its very existence—in addition to its Statute—prohibit the distinction of sex/gender in the defense of human rights violations, and that those persons of the male gender were entitled to the same recognition of the right to genital integrity as afforded to those persons of the female gender. Ms. Junos stressed that both the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Convention on the Rights of the Child guaranteed this principle unquestioningly, and invited Amnesty International to reaffirm its commitment to this principle.

The Chair of Working Party A—Johanna K. Eyjolfsdottir of the Icelandic Section—announced the taking of two speakers for, and two speakers against, the resolution. No one requested to speak for the resolution and two speakers spoke against the resolution. The first speaker was Orna Rabinovitch-Pundak of the Israel Section, who argued that the resolution was a low priority and remarked that she came from a country where all male infants were automatically circumcised. The second speaker was David Matas of the French-Canadian Section who voiced his opinion that the adoption of such a resolution would bring ridicule to Amnesty International and ended by calling the resolution itself "ridiculous!" Neither of these speakers referred to or refuted the principle of non-discrimination enshrined in the UN documents cited by the Bermuda Section as its pivoting argument.
In response to the strong remarks made by the French-Canadian delegate, Henry E. Jones of the Colombian Section requested to speak. He rebuked the remarks made by the French-Canadian delegate as out of order, and called upon the Chair to request a formal apology to the meeting from the delegate, and for his comments to be stricken from the record. The meeting showed its immediate approval of this proposal and the delegate in question apologised to the meeting, and his comments were deleted from the record.

Following the Bermuda Section’s right of reply, the resolution was put to vote and was defeated by a large majority, with several (approximately 12) abstentions. Later on in Working Party A, resolution A3.1—Abuses by Non-State Actors—and the combined resolutions on FGM (A3.3/A3.4/A3.5)—Bermuda also being one of the proposers of these—were carried by a comfortable majority.

In the plenary, in the order of the Working Party A Report, the resolution on Abuses by Non-State Actors and the resolution on FGM were presented and carried. Then the rapporteur read the minutes of the Working Party A Report concerning the defeat of the Bermuda Resolution A3.6. The rapporteur read: “... several sections put forward arguments against the resolution...”, while the actual text read: “There were arguments against the resolution and it was then put to vote. The resolution was defeated by a large majority.” At this point, the Bermuda Section raised a point of order. The Section felt that the minutes were not only untrue, but misleading. They contested that there were no arguments against the resolution and that—since of the two speakers against the resolution, one speaker’s comments were seen to be abusive enough to be stricken from the record—“several sections” putting “forward arguments” was an unacceptable and misleading record of the proceedings. When asked by the Chair of the plenary—Paul Hoffman—as to whether the Section would be happy with “the resolution was discussed” this was rejected on the same principle. The Section was adamant that the principle of the resolution—distinction according to sex—was never discussed or debated.

Next, and most significantly, the Bermuda Section asked permission of the Chair to seek clarity from the International Executive Committee (IEC) on the current status of the Bermuda resolution, in light of the fact that the resolutions on Abuses by Non-State Actors and FGM had carried. Specifically, the Section wanted to know if—in the light of these two resolutions being adopted by the International Council, and in the broader light of the application of the principle of non-discrimination by sex—it could do promotional work on the issue of male genital mutilation. Permission by the Chair was reluctantly granted and the IEC responded to the question with a statement to the effect “that the question would have to be put as to whether or not male genital mutilation is a human rights violation.” The Bermuda Section responded that since the genital mutilation of females had been established by the International Council as a human rights violation and that since the principle of no sex distinction applies unquestioningly in the defense of all human rights violations, then the answer to the question seemed self-evident. This exchange between the IEC and the Bermuda Section culminated with the IEC extending an invitation to the Bermuda Section to submit to the Committee a formal report “proving” that male genital mutilation is a human rights violation. The inference was that if it could be “proven” that this was the case, then the Section would be within its rights to do promotional work on this issue. The Section accepted the invitation and herewith is the report promised.

The report is by no means a treatise on the subject of male genital mutilations. Classification of types of mutilations, full geographical distribution, varying cultural practices, historical occurrences, full psychological consequences, etc., are not tackled here. The purpose of the report is to illustrate the human rights perspective of the practice. The Bermuda Section trusts that the International Executive Committee will study this report with a view to enabling Amnesty International to embrace the right to physical and mental integrity of the female as well as the male, in a world where the separation of the rights of the sexes has been sanctioned for too long; and where the recognition of the indivisibility and interrelatedness of the rights of either of the sexes—without the exclusion of the other—will engender tremendous steps towards the achievement of a better world for both.
PART I
FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION
AND ITS STATUS AS A HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATION

DEFINITION OF FEMALE GENITAL MUTILATION

In 1995 the World Health Organization (WHO) published the following definition of Female Genital Mutilation:

"Female Genital Mutilation comprises all procedures that involve partial or total removal of female external genitalia and/or injury to the female genital organs for cultural or any other non-therapeutic reason." 4

Two years later, in 1997, WHO published an updated definition:

"Female Genital Mutilation (FGM), sometimes referred to as female circumcision, comprises all procedures involving partial or total removal of the external female genitalia or other injury to the female genital organs whether for cultural, religious or other non-therapeutic reasons." 5

The main changes in the latter definition were (1) a recognition of the term "circumcision" as applied to Female Genital Mutilation; and (2) a recognition of the mutilation if it is done for "religious" reasons, as well as cultural and non-therapeutic reasons.

In its 1995 reference, WHO goes on to "classify" the different types of female genital mutilation that may "fall under the definition given above." For the purposes of this report we will cite the first three types:

Type I: Excision of the prepuce with or without excision of part or all of the clitoris

Type II: Excision of the clitoris together with partial or total excision of the labia minora

Type III: Excision of part or all of the external genitalia and stitching/narrowing of the vaginal opening (infibulation)

It can be concluded from the above that any removal "partial or total" of female genitalia—starting with the removal of the female prepuce—is a genital mutilation.

DEFINITION WITHOUT THE SEX DISTINCTION

If international human rights standards of equality and non-gender specificity are applied to the cited WHO definitions of Female Genital Mutilation, a concise definition of Genital Mutilation becomes apparent. Without the gender-distinctive adjective, "female", the 1997 WHO definition reads as follows:

"Genital Mutilation, sometimes referred to as circumcision, comprises all procedures involving partial or total removal of the external genitalia or other injury to the genital organs whether for cultural, religious or other non-therapeutic reasons."
Type I of the WHO classification of FGM specifies “excision of the prepuce with or without the clitoris” as a “type” of genital mutilation.

A further application of international human rights standards of equality and non-gender distinction to this classification would render “excision of the prepuce” of either gender, a “type” of genital mutilation.

It is clear from the above definitions and classification that any removal “partial or total” of the female genitalia—starting with excision of the prepuce—is seen as a mutilation of normal female genitalia.

**Apply international human rights standards by removing the gender distinction and it becomes clear that—regardless of the sex of the individual—any removal “partial or total” of normal genitalia—starting with the removal of the prepuce—is a genital mutilation.**

**AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL’S RECOGNITION OF FGM AS A HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATION**

Amnesty International (AI) adopted Female Genital Mutilation as a human rights violation in 1995. While the focus was on the genital mutilations of females only, Decision 6 of the 22nd International Council Meeting (ICM) contained the following gender-neutral clauses, which highlighted the human rights issue of individual bodily integrity regardless of any distinction: 6

"**considering** that Article 1 of the Statute, as amended by the 1991 ICM, provides that AI’s mandate includes the promotion of awareness and adherence to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and other internationally recognized human rights instruments and the values enshrined in them, as well as the indivisibility and interdependence of all human rights and freedoms;"

"**considering further** that AI’s mandate includes opposing grave violations of the rights of all persons to be free from discrimination on the grounds of their ethnic origin and sex and of the right of all persons to physical and mental integrity;"

"**noting** that international human rights law underscores the obligations of UN member-states to respect and to ensure the protection and promotion of human rights, including the right to non-discrimination, the right to physical and mental security, and the right to health;"

"**recognizing** that the UN Convention on the Rights of the Child states in article 24 (3) that ‘States Parties shall take all effective and appropriate measures with a view to abolishing traditional practices prejudicial to the health of children’ and in article 19 that ‘States take measures to protect the child from all forms of physical violence [and] injury . . .’”

In **gender-specific terms**, Decision 6 states explicitly “that the practice of female genital mutilation (FGM) violates those human rights as it continues to be gravely and extensively committed on the bodies, and to affect the lives, of millions of girl-children and women.”

It can be concluded, therefore, that Amnesty International currently recognizes the mutilation of female genitalia **only as a human rights violation. This is in conflict with the provisions of its Statute to uphold the “right of all persons to physical and mental integrity.”**
PART 2
REASONS GIVEN FOR NOT RECOGNIZING GENITAL MUTILATION
OF MALES AS A HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATION

(A) Reasons of Anatomical Differences and Importance of Male Ritual

In *Cutting the Rose: Female Genital Mutilation—The Practice and Its Prevention*, author Efua Dorkenoo briefly addresses the issue of male genital mutilation. On page 52 she writes:

“Many men point out that males undergo circumcision and similar rites of passage too and, as such, FGM is not a mechanism used by men to oppress women. There are similarities and dissimilarities between FGM and male circumcision and also between the rites of passage for boys and girls. Certainly the two procedures are related. *Both are widely practised without medical necessity and in both cases children go through a traumatic experience. Both are performed on children without their consent.*” [our emphasis]

Initially the writer gives three points of information that immediately bring the circumcision of females and males squarely into the sphere of human rights violations. She acknowledges:

1) that “*both are widely practised without medical necessity*”;

2) that “*in both cases children go through a traumatic experience*”;

3) and that “*both are performed on children without their consent.*”

“But” . . . as she goes on the say:

“. . . there the parallel ends. The clitoris is biologically equivalent to the penis. Clitoridectomy, which is the most common form of FGM, is analogous to penisectomy rather than to circumcision. Male circumcision involves cutting the tip of the protective hood of skin that covers the penis but does not damage the penis, the organ for sexual pleasure. Clitoridectomy damages or destroys the organ for sexual pleasure in the female.”

Here, the author chooses to get into a comparison of the biological structure of the male and female genitals, and the amount of tissue cut, even though she has already established three clear human rights violations that take place at the outset for both sexes. She cites no medical references and makes over-simplified generalizations of anatomical analogies. Thus, she trivializes the circumcision procedure for males and promotes several misconceptions which will be refuted by the following:

1) The clitoris is not biologically equivalent to the penis and therefore clitoridectomy is not analogous to penisectomy *(see Appendix I)*

2) Male circumcision is medically unnecessary *(see Appendix II)*

3) Excision of the male foreskin is analogous to excision of the labia *(see Appendix III)*

4) Male circumcision damages the penis and has a high complication rate *(see Appendix IV)*

5) Male circumcision removes erogenous tissue *(see Appendix V)*
6) The penis is not primarily “the organ for sexual pleasure”; it serves two other important functions—urinary and procreative—and is primarily, the necessary organ for reproduction (Appendix 1).

7) Excision of the clitoris, while damaging the organ for sexual pleasure in the female, does not interfere with the ability of the female to procreate, as it is not an organ necessary for reproduction.

Moving away from the comparison of the mutilation, the author then goes on to seemingly praise the male rite as a positive rite:

“The content of male rites of passage is geared towards training young boys to develop skills associated with power and control, [our emphasis] not to reinforce their submissiveness and to make them feel they are second-class citizens as is done in the female initiation. Male circumcision is not perceived by African communities as a practice aimed at reducing the sexuality of men; on the contrary it is perceived as enhancing their virility.”

The lack of awareness exhibited here is in the fact that the initiation of both boys and girls have a codependency. The males in the community cannot have power and control unless there is someone to be controlled. Equally, female submissiveness can only be reinforced if there is someone to be submissive to; i.e. someone (male) exerting power and control. And thus the controlled/controller cycle is maintained. Likewise reduction of sexuality of the female is juxtaposed to the myth of increased virility in the male. Article 5 of the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW) understands the role this interrelated dynamic of superiority/inferiority plays in the oppression of women and men, and recommends that:

“States Parties shall take all appropriate measures:

“(a) To modify the social and cultural patterns of conduct of men and women, with a view to achieving the elimination of prejudices and customary and all other practices which are based on the idea of the inferiority or the superiority of either of the sexes . . .” [our emphasis]

Ritual male circumcision is a “customary practice” that reinforces the superiority of the male (in relation to the female and to uncircumcised males), even as female “circumcision” is a female rite that reinforces the inferiority of the female (in relation to the male). Uncircumcised males, males who “cry out” during the procedure and males who seek circumcision in a sterile (i.e. hospital) setting suffer ridicule and social ostracism (see Appendix VI).

In short—

All genital mutilations stem from the same root: control. Whether it is to control sexual activity, sexual sensitivity, sexual virility, sexual appearance or sexual psyche, the male and female mutilations are interlocking practices. Both feed on the other’s role as either controlled or controller, and both must be tackled, simultaneously. Nothing is to be gained by measuring the suffering of one sex against another and comparing wounds. Let both sexes be whole.
(B) Reasons of Religious Practice and Health

With the health risks and complications evident for those male children undergoing various forms of genital mutilation around the world, why has this issue not been raised earlier by a major body such as WHO or other UN-affiliate? If the issue has been raised and/or discussed what reasons were given for its non-inclusion as a global concern?

According to Sami Aldeeb (1994), the topic was apparently broached at the United Nations Seminar in Ouagadougou (Burkina Faso):

"During the . . . seminar . . . the majority of participants agreed that the justifications of female circumcision based on cosmogony and those based on religion ‘must be assimilated to superstition and denounced as such’ since ‘neither the Bible, nor the Koran recommend that women be excised.’ They recommend ensuring that, in the minds of people, male circumcision and female circumcision be dissociated, the former as a procedure for hygienic purposes, the latter, excision, as a serious form of assault on the women’s physical integrity."29

The above statement brings to light the two main reasons promoted for the continued toleration of the male circumcision practice.

(i) It is condoned and practiced systematically and routinely on infants/young children, by adherents to two major religions, Judaism and Islam. These religions are apparently seen as more legitimate than those tribal religions practicing FGM—the latter said to be based on “cosmogony” and relegated to “superstition.”

(This reason is supported by those who uphold the tradition on the grounds of the right to freely express and practice one’s religious beliefs.)

(ii) It is purported to promote good hygiene and provide preventive protection for specific diseases and infections.

(This reason is promoted quite heavily by Western countries, such as the United States, in spite of the fact that these reasons have been reviewed and rejected by most paediatric/medical societies in English-speaking countries. (See Appendix VII)

(i) Freedom of Religion vs. Genital Integrity

The Universal Declaration of Human Rights guarantees the right to freedom of religious belief and practice in Article 18 as follows:

“Everyone has the right to freedom of thought, conscience and religion; this right includes freedom to change his religion or belief, and freedom, either alone or in community with others and in public or private, to manifest his religion or belief in teaching, practice, worship and observance.”30

The same Declaration closes with Article 30:

“Nothing in this Declaration may be interpreted as implying for any State, group or person any right to engage in any activity or to perform any act aimed at the destruction of any of the rights and freedoms set forth herein.”31
The *Convention on the Rights of the Child* guarantees freedom of religion to the child as follows:

Article 14(1): “States Parties shall respect the right of the child to freedom of thought, conscience and religion.”

However, the right to religious freedom is qualified in Section (3) of the same Article:

“Freedom to manifest one’s religion or beliefs may be subject only to such limitations are prescribed by law and are necessary to protect public safety, order, health or morals, or the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.”

It can be concluded that religious freedoms are over-ruled only in circumstances where their practice infringes on the fundamental rights and freedoms of others.

*Does the individual’s fundamental right to physical and mental integrity take precedence over religious belief and practice if that religious practice is aimed at permanently altering the physical integrity of the individual?*

We return to the most recent definition of Female Genital Mutilation as cited from the World Health Organization (WHO):

“Female Genital Mutilation (FGM), sometimes referred to as female circumcision, comprises all procedures involving partial or total removal of the external female genitalia or other injury to the female genital organs whether for cultural, religious or other non-therapeutic reasons.”

*and without the sex distinction . . .*

“Genital Mutilation, sometimes referred to as circumcision, comprises all procedures involving partial or total removal of the external genitalia or other injury to the genital organs whether for cultural, religious or other non-therapeutic reasons.”

Using recognized international human rights standards as a guide, we can conclude the following as concerns the right to religious practice as opposed to the genital integrity of children:

- Genital Mutilation is practiced on the child for a number of reasons, including religious reasons or as part of religious practice
- Genital Mutilation, performed without the child’s consent is a violation of the child’s right to physical integrity
- This causes injury to the child and puts her/him at risk of morbidity and death, violating the *Convention on the Rights of the Child*
- The religious practice to genitally mutilate the child is overruled by the fundamental right of the child to physical integrity.
- This fundamental right of the child not to be genitally mutilated is guaranteed to the child, regardless of their sex/gender
(ii) Health Reasons for Genital Mutilation of Males

Besides the general hygiene reason stemming from the belief that the uncircumcised penis is dirty and/or hard to keep clean (similar to beliefs regarding FGM), the following are the most common health reasons given for performing routine circumcision. They are, in brief, refuted herewith:

**Phimosis** *(This condition is not diagnosable until puberty; see Appendix II)*

**Prevention of Urinary Tract Infections**

- The AAP reported that studies reflecting an increase in UTIs among intact boys are "retrospective," may have "methodologic flaws" and "may have been influenced by selection bias."³⁵
- An occurrence of 1.1% of UTI in uncircumcised male infants is being used to justify the routine genital mutilation of 99% of healthy male newborns who do not develop UTIs.³⁶
- UTIs occur more frequently in female infants than males.³⁷ Yet excision of the labia minora (the mucosa "skin" surrounding the entrance to the urethra of the female) is not recommended as a prevention. UTIs in female infants are treated with antibiotics.
- Rooming-in to facilitate close contact between newborns and their mothers is a suggested alternative to UTI prevention.³⁸ Natural immunization is accomplished via the transfer of aerobic and anaerobic flora from mother to infant.³⁹

**Prevention of Cervical Carcinoma**

"The American Cancer Society ... would like to discourage the American Academy of Pediatrics from promoting routine circumcision as preventative measure for ... cervical cancer. The American Cancer Society does not consider routine circumcision to be a valid or effective measure to prevent such cancer. Research suggesting a pattern in the circumcision status of partners of women with cervical cancer is methodologically flawed, outdated and has not been taken seriously in the medical community for decades. Portraying routine circumcision as an effective means of prevention distracts the public from the task of avoiding the behaviors proven to contribute to ... cervical cancer: especially cigarette smoking and unprotected sexual relations with multiple partners. Perpetuating the mistaken belief that circumcision prevents cancer is inappropriate."⁴⁰ *(See Appendix VIII)*

**Prevention of Sexually Transmitted Disease**

- The New York City Bureau of Venereal Disease Control issued a statement in 1979 that circumcision was of absolutely no value in preventing genital herpes infection.⁴¹
- A recent cross-sectional study of 300 consecutive heterosexual male patients attending a sexually transmitted diseases (STD) clinic showed that circumcision had no significant effect on the incidence of common STDs.⁴²
Prevention of Cancer of the Penis

- The overall annual incidence of cancer of the penis in US men has been estimated to be 0.7 to 0.9 per 100,000 men.\textsuperscript{43} In developed countries where neonatal circumcision is not routinely performed the incidence ranges from 0.3 to 1.1 per 100,000. This low incidence is half that found in uncircumcised US men.\textsuperscript{44}
- The predicted lifetime risk of cancer of the penis developing in an uncircumcised man has been estimated at 1 in 600 men in the US, and 1 in 909 in Denmark\textsuperscript{45} (where routine circumcision is not practiced).
- The predicted lifetime risk of cancer of the vulva in women (in the UK) is 1 in 400\textsuperscript{46}, considerably higher than that of penile cancer in men, yet routine excision of the labia of infant girls is not recommended as a preventive measure
- "The American Cancer Society . . . would like to discourage the American Academy of Pediatrics from promoting routine circumcision as preventative measure for . . . penile cancer. The American Cancer Society does not consider routine circumcision to be a valid or effective measure to prevent such cancer."\textsuperscript{47} (See Appendix VIII)
- "Fatalities caused by circumcision accidents may approximate the mortality rate from penile cancer."\textsuperscript{48} "It is an incontestable fact . . . there are more deaths from circumcision each year than from cancer of the penis."\textsuperscript{49}

(C) Control of Sexuality

Western countries such as the United States, the UK, Australia, New Zealand, Canada and their dependant territories are the only regions where genital mutilation of male infants is routinely practiced for reasons of "health." This "medically-sanctioned" genital mutilation of male infants began in the 1800's when "circumcision" of children of both sexes was performed as a 'cure' for masturbation.\textsuperscript{50}

[Removal of the clitoral hood or prepuce was "widely employed" in the United States between the late 1880s and 1937 to prevent masturbation\textsuperscript{2}; and was occasionally used to stop masturbation in the 1940s and 1950s.\textsuperscript{52} An estimated three thousand female circumcisions were performed annually in U.S. hospitals in the 1970s "to improve sexual response."\textsuperscript{53} As late as 1973, female circumcision was suggested in a medical journal as a treatment for frigidity.\textsuperscript{54} According to the World Health Organization, in 1976 the United States was the only medically advanced country in the world that practiced female circumcision.\textsuperscript{5} Clitoridectomy—excision of the clitoris—was practiced in the United States between 1870 and 1910 to stop masturbation.\textsuperscript{56}]

The following quotes illustrate an intent to sexually control the male child—\textit{not reasons of health}—as the real motivation behind routine circumcision. Pain was a deliberate accessory to the forced surgery and was designed to be registered in the child's psyche as "punishment."

"In cases of masturbation we must, I believe, break the habit by inducing such a condition of the parts as will cause too much local suffering to allow the practice being continued. For this purpose, if the prepuce is long, we may circumcise the male patient with present and probably with future advantages; the operation, too, should not be performed under chloroform, so that the pain experienced may be associated with the habit we wish to eradicate."\textsuperscript{57}

"(Clarence B.) was addicted to the secret vice practiced among boys. I performed . . . circumcision. He needed the rightful punishment of cutting pains after his illicit pleasures."\textsuperscript{58}

"I suggest all male children be circumcised. I am convinced that masturbation is much less common in the circumcised."\textsuperscript{59}
"A remedy for masturbation which is almost always successful in small boys is circumcision... The operation should be performed by a surgeon without administering an anaesthetic as the brief pain attending the operation will have a salutary effect upon the mind, especially if it be connected with the idea of punishment... The soreness which continues for several weeks interrupts the practice, and if it had not previously become too firmly fixed, it may be forgotten and not resumed."

This violent removal of a normal part of the genitals, intentionally "without administering an anaesthetic" so that pain can be deliberately inflicted, in order to "be connected with the idea of punishment" — "the rightful punishment of cutting pains after... illicit pleasures" — brings the roots of this whole practice squarely under the umbrella of human rights violations. Article 37 (a) of the Convention of the Rights of the Child states explicitly:

"no child shall be subjected to torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment..."

Historical research proves that "medical" circumcision in the West — of both males and females — was practised with the primary motive of controlling sexuality.

This was not a new concept.

Moses Maimonides, the leading intellectual figure of medieval Judaism and a physician by profession, taught the following:

"As regards circumcision, I think that one of its objects is to limit sexual intercourse, and to weaken the organ of generation as far as possible, and this cause man to be moderate. Some people believe that circumcision is to remove a defect in man's formation; but every one can easily reply: How can products of nature be deficient so as to require external completion, especially as the use of the fore-skin to that organ is evident. This commandment has not been enjoined as a complement to a deficient physical creation, but as a means for perfecting man's moral shortcomings. The bodily injury caused to that organ is exactly that which is desired. It does not interrupt any vital function, nor does it destroy the power of generation. Circumcision simply counters the excessive lust: for there is no doubt that circumcision weakens the power of sexual excitement, and sometimes lessens the natural enjoyment; the organ necessarily becomes weak when it loses blood and is deprived of its covering from the beginning."

As regards the control of women's sexual activity on this issue Maimonides notes:

"Our Sages... say distinctly: It is hard for a woman, with whom an uncircumcised had sexual intercourse, to separate from him. This is, as I believe, the best reason for the commandment concerning circumcision."

He then explains the rationale behind subjecting male infants to this "very difficult operation":

"This law can only be kept and perpetuated in its perfection, if circumcision is performed when the child is very young, and this for three good reasons. First, if the operation were postponed till the boy had grown up, he would perhaps not submit to it. Secondly, the young child has not much pain, because the skin is tender, and the imagination weak; for grown-up persons are in dread and fear of things which they imagine as coming, some time before these actually occur. Thirdly, when a child is very young, the parents do not think much of him; because the image of the child, that leads the parents to love him, has not yet taken a firm root in their minds. That image becomes stronger by the continual sight; it grows with the development of the child, and latter on the image begins again to decrease and to vanish. The parents love for a new-born child is not so great as it is when the child is one year old; and when one year old, it is less loved by them than when six years old. The feeling and love of the father for the child would have led him to neglect the law if he were allowed to wait two or three years, whilst shortly after birth the image is very weak in the mind of the parent, especially of the father who is responsible for the execution of this commandment. The circumcision must take place on the eighth day..."
PART 3
MALE GENITAL MUTILATION AS A RECOGNIZED HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATION

As an unnecessary surgical procedure, carrying serious inherent risks and exhibiting a history of documented complications, routine male circumcision—along with other forms of genital mutilations (performed for reasons of culture, religion, sexual control as well as for unproven health reasons)—has long been recognized as a human rights violation. The following competent examples serve to illustrate this fact.

(A) THE CHARTER FOR CHILDREN IN HOSPITAL (1959)\(^2\)

Section 5 of the Charter for Children in Hospital reads as follows:

"... Every child shall be protected from unnecessary medical treatment and investigation."

(B) INTERNATIONAL COVENANT ON CIVIL AND POLITICAL RIGHTS (1966)\(^3\)

Article 7 of the ICCPR reads as follows:

"No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment. In particular, no one shall be subjected without his free consent to medical or scientific experimentation. [our emphasis]"

(C) THE CONVENTION ON THE RIGHTS OF THE CHILD (1989)\(^4\)

Several articles of the Convention on the Rights of the Child address the child's right to physical and mental integrity, optimal health and the right to be protected from torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment. The respective articles are as follows:

Article 19(1)
"States Parties shall take all appropriate legislative, administrative, social and educational measure to protect the child from all forms of physical or mental violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment or exploitations, including sexual abuse, while in the care of parent(s), legal guardian(s) or any other person who has the care of the child."

Article 24
"1. States Parties recognize the right of the child to the enjoyment of the highest attainable standard of health . . .

"2. States Parties shall pursue full implementation of this right and, in particular, shall take appropriate measures

a) to diminish infant and child mortality . . .

"3. States Parties shall take all effective and appropriate measures with a view to abolishing traditional practices prejudicial to the health of children."

Article 27
"1. No one shall be subjected to torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment . . ."
(D) U.N. RECOGNITION OF MALE GENITAL MUTILATION AS TORTURE

Two United Nations documents were located that record and recognize varying forms of sexual assault/sexual mutilation of males—including non-medical circumcision—as torture.

*The asterisk next to “men” in the text leads us to a footnote (18) which reads as follows:

“Violent crimes of a homosexual nature are not explicitly mentioned in international humanitarian law, but protection against rape and other sexual assaults is also applicable to men on the basis of equality and non-discrimination.” [our emphasis]

Two paragraphs further on, the Commission also makes the strong statement that “rape and other sexual assaults” constitute “torture or inhumane treatment” which willfully cause “great suffering or serious injury to body or health.” [our emphasis]

In Part IV of the Commission’s Final Report, the nature of sexual assault or abuse of men is detailed as follows:68
“There have also been instances of sexual abuse of men as well as castration and mutilation of male sexual organs . . .”

“Men are also subject to sexual assault. They are forced to rape women and to perform sex acts on guards or each other. They have also been subjected to castration, circumcision or other sexual mutilation.” [our emphasis]

“Sexual assaults were also practised against men: one witness saw prisoners forced to bite another prisoner’s genitals.”

“Another incident related in an interview involved prisoners lined up naked while Serb women from outside undressed in front of the male prisoners. If any prisoner had an erection, his penis was cut off: The witness saw a named Serb woman thus castrate a prisoner. Another ex-detainee told of suffering electric shocks to the scrotum and of seeing a father and son who shared his cell forced by guards to perform sex acts with each other.”

“Castrations are performed through crude means such as forcing other internees to bite off a prisoner’s testicles.”

(E) GENITAL MUTILATION AS A HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATION IN THE SUDAN

Genital Mutilation in the form of circumcision is also reported as a human rights violation in the Sudan where both Dinka boys and girls are abducted and sold into Arab slavery. Forced circumcision is practiced on these children as a part of efforts to force them into adopting the Islamic religion.

In their “Witness to Slavery” Series, reporters Gilbert A. Lewthwaite and Gregory Kane publish their experiences of buying and freeing two young Dinka boys after six years of bondage:

“... a dozen children, all boys, are ushered forward from the shade of another tree . . . We survey the children. Most have rust-tinted hair, the ubiquitous sign of malnutrition in this land of unending need. The dust of Sudan is caked on their black bodies. Some have bruises and scars to attest to their maltreatment. Some, we learn, were forcibly circumcised in the Islamic tradition.” [our emphasis]

(F) THE WORLD MEDICAL ASSOCIATION

The World Medical Association is currently looking into the ethical and human rights issue of male genital mutilation:

“The World Medical Association does not have an official declaration regarding routine male circumcision, but we are in the process of investigating this practice.”

There exists ample evidence of mutilation of the male genitals for non-medical reasons to illustrate its status as a human rights violation. It is only a matter of time before full recognition is achieved. What remains clear is that the right of either sex not to be genitally mutilated is enshrined in the indivisibility and equal application of universal human rights standards, even when not specifically mentioned.
PART 4

A. CASE STUDY: “A BOY WITHOUT A PENIS”

“A Boy Without A Penis”71 (see Case Study A. at the end of this report) tells the story of an eight-month-old twin boy who “had his penis accidentally burned to ablation” during a routine circumcision procedure to correct “phimosis.” Recommendation was subsequently made to the parents by consultants at John Hopkins Hospital, Baltimore, Maryland, to subject the child to sex-change surgery. This is “the standard in instances of extensive penile damage to infants.” To accomplish this he was fully castrated (testicles were surgically removed, or excised) and a “vagina” constructed. After a physically, behaviourly and emotionally traumatic childhood culminating in a suicide attempt, “Joan” was finally told the truth about what had been done to “her”, at which time “she” requested to be changed back into a male. What continued to be heralded as a successful procedure in the medical text-books was only recently exposed as a complete failure and trauma for what had been originally a perfectly normal child.72

The Human Rights Violations Occurring in This Case

1) The infant—because of his gender—was subjected at eight-months-old to a medically unnecessary surgery. (Phimosis we have learned cannot be diagnosed in an infant, whose foreskin is naturally attached to the glans). This surgery exposed him to inherent health risks. [A violation of Article 5 of the Charter for Children in the Hospital and Article 24 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child.]

2) The medically unnecessary operation was typically performed without an anesthetic (1960s) without any consideration for pain and suffering. [A violation of Articles 19(1) and 37(a) of the Convention on the Rights of the Child.]

3) The sex change operation further violated these same Articles, and because it was a medical ‘experiment’ (it was not known whether children would ‘take’ to being sexually altered) this was a direct violation of Article 7 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights.

4) Female infants were not subjected to similar unnecessary routine surgery. [A violation of Article 2 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and Article 2 of the Convention on the Rights of the Child, both which prohibit distinction of sex in the application of human rights and guarantee equal protection of physical and mental integrity.]

5) He was deliberately deprived of his procreative abilities (having his testicles surgically removed) which violated his right to “found a family” [provided for by Article 16 of the UDHR].

The list could go on.

This child suffered several human rights violations which were enacted upon him simply because he was born male—because he had a penis. Excising the labia of a female child in the same country, would be illegal and would not be tolerated. Who will tell this child that the routine and unnecessary mutilation of his genitals is not a human rights violation, simply because he is by nature male, and therefore not protected by reason of his gender?
B. CASE STUDY—THE AMA-XHOSA OF SOUTH AFRICA

In one study of the penile mutilation practice or circumcision of the Xhosa tribe of South Africa a documented 9% of the mutilated boys died; 52% lost all or most of their penile shaft skin; 14% developed severe infectious lesions; 10% lost their glans penis; and 5% lost their entire penis.73

[See: “Ritual Circumcision (Umkhwetha) amongst the Xhosa of the Ciskei”—Case Study B.]

Local newspapers continue to faithfully record news of deaths, infections, coerced circumcisions and violence accompanying circumcision festivities, every year.74 (see Case Study B.)

Lumka Sheila Funani, a Xhosa nurse who put aside “her normal Xhosa constraints which make the subject taboo to women”—out of concern for boys in her community—documents the following:75

“... in Xhosa tradition an uncircumcised male cannot inherit his father’s possessions, nor can he establish a family. He cannot officiate in ritual ceremonies. In fact there is no such thing as an ‘uncircumcised man’ in Xhosa society. A Xhosa who is not circumcised is described quite simply as a boy, an inja (dog), and an inqambi (unclean thing)... So uncompromising are the Xhosa people on this that no Xhosa woman would knowingly and willingly marry an uncircumcised Xhosa male.”

“The feeling is so strong that an uncircumcised male past circumcision age may be overpowered by a group of men and circumcised against his will. This does not happen only with the Xhosa. Recently in Lebowa respectable citizens—school principals, inspectors, etc.—were suddenly forcibly circumcised. In Kwa Ndebele an uncircumcised male was made member of the cabinet; the Ndebele would have none of it. They forcibly circumcised him... Around 1987 the Pedi rounded up a whole lot of males, among them a school principal, and circumcised them.”76

The attachments speak for themselves. To attempt to annotate the human rights violations here would be wearying—they are self-evident. Female Genital Mutilation is not practised by the Xhosa.

The one point to be stressed here is that, if it is a human rights violation for an adult male to be restrained and forcibly circumcised, then it is even more of a violation for a child too young to protect himself and, therefore, even more vulnerable to exploitation.

C. CASE STUDY—AMPUTATION OF THE GLANS PENIS IN JEWISH RITUAL CIRCUMCISION

Following the attachments on the Xhosa, is Case Study C.—“Circumcision: Successful Glanular Reconstruction and Survival Following Traumatic Amputation”—which documents 7 cases of traumatic amputation of the glans penis, of which 6 were 8-day-old Jewish infants undergoing ritual circumcision.77 The basic human rights violations are similar to Case Study A., although without the tragic result of losing the entire anatomy and consequently being subjected to radical sex change surgery.

In ritual circumcision for religious reasons, the child is permanently disfigured, genitally, because of the religious beliefs of the parents, thereby—in addition to the physical violation—taking away the right to formulate his own religious beliefs; a right guaranteed by Article 14 (1 & 3) of the Convention on the Rights of the Child.

If these were little girls, whose labia minora were being excised, would we see such unnecessary surgery and health risk—in the name of religious belief—as a human rights violation?
PART 5
THE AMNESTY INTERNATIONAL STATUTE:
Clarifying the Powers and Limitations of the International Council

"Except as otherwise provided in the Statute the International Council Meeting shall make its decisions by a simple majority of the votes cast."78 (Article 19)

Notations from Robert’s Rules of Order on the Limitations of an Assembly79

§ 2. Rules of an Assembly or Organization
(Page. 12): “The bylaws, by their nature, necessarily contain whatever limitations are placed on the powers of the assembly of a society (that is, the members attending a particular one of its meetings) with respect to the society as a whole. Similarly, the provisions of the bylaws have direct bearing on the rights of members within the organization—whether present or absent from the assembly.”

§ 10. The Main Motion
Main Motions That Are Not in Order
(Page 91): “1) No main motion is in order which conflicts with national, state, or local law, or with the bylaws (or constitution) or rules of the organization or assembly. If such a motion is adopted, even by a unanimous vote, it is null and void.”

§ 55. Bylaws: Content and Composition of Bylaws
Nature and Importance of Bylaws
(Page 475): “The content of a society’s bylaws has important bearing on the rights and duties of members within the organization—whether present or absent from the assembly—and on the degree to which the general membership is to retain control of, or be relieved of detailed concern with, the society’s business. Except as the rules of a society may provide otherwise, its assembly (that is, the members attending one of its regular or properly called meetings) has full and sole power to act for the entire organization, and does so by majority vote. Any limitation or standing delegation of the assembly’s power with respect to the society as a whole can only be by provision in the bylaws—or in the corporate charter or separate constitution if there are either of these.”

As illustrated above by examples from Robert’s Rules of Order, there are limitations on the General Assembly’s decision-making powers. Specifically where a decision is adopted which is in conflict with the organization’s constitution or statute, that decision can be called into question and rendered meaningless.

In relation to the human rights violation of genital mutilation, the following examines the distinctions which are prohibited by the Amnesty International Statute and how exclusive they would be if adopted:

THE HUMAN RIGHTS VIOLATION OF GENITAL MUTILATION
Samples of Distinctions That Would be in Direct Conflict with the AI Statute (not exhaustive):

1) ETHNIC ORIGIN (RACE): e.g. African Genital Mutilation
• This resolution title would mean that Amnesty would only recognize the genital mutilation of persons of African origin as a human rights violation.

2) SEX: e.g. Female Genital Mutilation
• This would mean that Amnesty would only recognize the genital mutilation of persons of the female gender as a human rights violation.
3) COLOUR: e.g. Black Genital Mutilation
   • This would mean that Amnesty would only recognize the genital mutilation of persons whose skin colour is considered “black” as a human rights violation.

4) LANGUAGE: e.g. Xhosa Genital Mutilation
   • This would mean that Amnesty would only recognize the genital mutilation of persons who spoke the Xhosa language as a legitimate human rights violation.

5) RELIGIOUS BELIEF: e.g. Islamic Genital Mutilation
   • This would mean that Amnesty would only recognize the genital mutilation of persons who are of the Muslim faith as a human rights violation.

All of the above adjectives (in italics) describe the human rights violation of “genital mutilation with a qualifying distinction; are discriminatory by their very nature; and have the effect of excluding persons outside the sphere of the qualifying distinction:

c.e.: “African” excludes all persons of other ethnic origins.

“Female” excludes all persons of the male gender.

“Black” excludes all persons whose skin colour may be brown, white, yellow or red.

“Xhosa” excludes all persons whose language is different, eg. Zulu, Bambara, Arabic.

“Islamic” excludes all persons of another religious belief, eg. Christian, Jewish, Animist.

Because the bylaws or Statute of Amnesty International distinctly prohibit discrimination by ethnic origin, sex, colour, language, religious belief, etc., in the defense of human rights violations adopted by its mandate, the International Council Meeting has no power to present a resolution making such a distinction; and, in this regard, such a resolution is “out of order.” A vote taken on such a resolution—whether unanimous or not—is in direct conflict with the provisions of the Statute, and is therefore “null and void.” In order for such a motion to be “in order” only the human rights violation cited: i.e. “Genital Mutilation.”

Conversely, if a resolution designed to correct such a distinction or discrimination is rejected by the Council Meeting, essentially the Meeting is voting to uphold a distinction in direct conflict not only with the provisions of the Statute, but with the Universal Declaration of Human Rights. Such a negative vote, whether unanimous or not, could be pronounced as “null and void” or outside the provisions of the Statute.

This then would acknowledge and give credibility to the following essential parts of the AI Statute

1) “... the obligation on each person to extend to others rights and freedoms equal to his or her own...

2) “... awareness of and adherence to the Universal Declaration of Human Rights ...”

3) “... the indivisibility and interdependence of all human rights and freedoms ...

4) “... the rights of every person ... to be free from discrimination and ... the right of every person to physical and mental integrity ...” 80 [our emphasis]

The Bermuda Section maintains that the provisions of the Statute—based primarily on its object and mandate—govern the International Council Meeting, not the other way around. The International Council Meeting is bound by the principles of the Statute of Amnesty International.
CONCLUSION

After the International Council Meeting in South Africa, December 1997—with regard to the Bermuda Resolution A3.6 ("Gender Distinction in Genital Mutilation")—the following was reported in the "Report and Decisions" of the 1997 ICM (AI Index: ORG 52/02/98), page 38:

During the plenary, AI Bermuda sought clarification from the IEC regarding whether the subject matter of this resolution would fall within the remit of Decision 5, Abuses by Non-State Actors, and Decision 20, Promotional Work.

The IEC replied that this could connect with the definition of promotional work in Decision 20 if male genital mutilation were considered to represent a violation of internationally recognized human rights standards.[our emphasis]

AI Bermuda was invited to prove that this was the case.

Amongst other things, this report establishes the following:


2) This same body recognizes sexual mutilation—including castration and circumcision—as a form of sexual assault (page 16).

3) The United Nations has stated that rape and other sexual assaults constitute torture or inhumane treatment (page 15).

4) That same body has also declared that with regard to sex distinction, whilst violent crimes of a sexual nature against males may not be explicitly mentioned in international humanitarian law, protection against...sexual assaults is also applicable to men on the basis of equality and non-discrimination (page 15).

This position is supported by the ICCPR, CRC and other documents cited in Part 3 of this report and leaves no doubt that Male Genital Mutilation represents a violation of internationally recognized human rights standards.

REQUEST

The International Council Meeting pronounced "Female Genital Mutilation" a human rights violation. This creates an "obligation"—the word provided by Article 1 of the Statute of Amnesty International—for the organization "to extend to others" those same "rights and freedoms". "Others" in this case is, by definition, those persons who are not female: i.e. males. The right and freedom, in this case, is the right and freedom not to be genitally mutilated.

In keeping with the foregoing, the Bermuda Section requests the International Executive Committee to advise the Section and the international membership that the International Council Meeting has no power to "vote to uphold" a distinction prohibited by our Statute, and that, accordingly, the vote taken on the Bermuda resolution A3.6 at the 1997 International Council Meeting, is "null and void." The Section also requests that an official statement be released acknowledging the genital mutilations of all persons, including males, as human rights violations; and that it be acknowledged that the Section may do promotional work on this issue.
APPENDIX I

The penis of the male is not the “biological equivalent” of the clitoris. In the developing male fetus, what becomes the complete penile organ is comprised of the “genital tubercle” (pre-clitoris in the female), the “urethral orifice” (which is separate from the clitoris in the female) and the “urogenital folds” (the labia minora in the female). These three organs—which remain separate in the female—literally fuse together to create the complete penile organ (see illustration on following page). The penis, then, is actually the biological equivalent of the entire female vulva (clitoris, urethral orifice & labia minora) with the exception of the labia majora, which are the equivalent to the male scrotum. Penisectomy, then is not the equivalent of clitoridectomy, but of removal of, essentially, the entire vulva (including the clitoris), with the exception of the labia majora.

In addition to the above, as the organ of procreation, the penis provides—via the urethra—for the expulsion of the male reproductive fluids (semen) containing sperm.

APPENDIX II


The non-retractible prepuce at birth is a completely, normal, natural condition. In fact, *Gray’s Anatomy* (cited above) makes it clear that the preputial sac (which helps to free the prepuce from the surface of the glans) is still in the developmental stage at birth. “The preputial sac may not be complete until 6-12 months or more after birth . . .” *[Gray’s emphasis]*

The quote from *Pediatrics* continues:

“The ventral surface of the foreskin is naturally fused to the glans of the penis. At age 6 years, 80 percent of boys still do not have a fully retractile foreskin. By age 17 years, however, 97 to 99 percent of uncircumcised males have fully retractile foreskin. Natural separation between the glans and the ventral surface of the foreskin occurs with the secretion of skin oils and desquamation of epithelial cells, smegma. At puberty, the secretions of specialized sebaceous glands, Tyson’s glands, assist in completing the separation between the glans and foreskin; in adulthood they protect and lubricate the glans penis and inner layer of foreskin. No treatment is required for the lumps of smegma, and in particular, there is no indication ever for forceful retraction of the foreskin from the glans. Especially in the newborn and infant, this produces small lacerations in addition to a severe abrasion of the glans. The result is scarring and a resultant secondary phimosis. Thus, it is incorrect to teach mothers to retract the foreskin forcefully.”

It must be noted here that practically every male child who has been circumcised for “phimosis”, unless he was at the age of puberty, has had a natural condition diagnosed as a disease by misguided doctors; or worse, has had the disease develop as a direct result of ill-advised parents attempting to forcibly retract the foreskin.
APPENDIX III

In Appendix I, we described how the penis develops by the fusing of what becomes clitoris-urinary orifice-labia minora in the female. Here we describe in more detail the fate of the urogenital folds (labia minora in the female) in the development of the urethra and foreskin in the male.

"The genital folds fuse with each other from behind forwards enclosing the phallic part of the urogenital sinus behind to the form the bulb of the urethra; similarly, the folds close the definitive urethral groove in front to form the greater part of the spongiose urethra. Thus, as the phallus lengthens, the urogenital orifice is carried onwards until it reaches the base of the glans."\(^{11}\)

In other words, the genital folds fuse and embrace the urethral opening, elongate down the whole length of the phallus (forming the full-length urethra), culminating at the tip of the glans.

"If the fusion of the urethral folds fail to progress distally on the ventral penis, the urethra will be shortened."\(^{12}\) This condition is called hypospadias and occurs in 1 in 500 male infants. "It is an abnormality resulting from a failure of the urethral folds to fuse completely over the urethral groove. The ventral foreskin also is lacking, while the dorsal portion give the appearance of a hood."\(^{13}\)

The absent ventral foreskin which occurs as a result of the incomplete fusion of the genital folds testify to the part that the genital folds play in the formation of the foreskin of the male. The urethral orifice and the urogenital folds are indelibly linked and literally move together. Just as the genital folds (labia minora) embrace the urethral orifice of the female and extend to just above the clitoris, forming the clitoral hood or prepuce, so the genital folds in the male anatomy fuse to carry the urethral orifice lengthwise to the tip of the penis and then form the protective foreskin.

*Labia minora*: thin delicate folds of skin divided anteriorly to form upper and lower folds that fuse around the clitoris—an upper prepuce of the clitoris and a lower frenulum of the clitoris. United posteriorly by a fold, the frenulum.

*Prepuce (foreskin)*: a hoodlike fold of skin that covers the glans, is connected to the glans below the urethral orifice by a fold, the frenulum.

(Definitions from: http://nba19.med.uth.tmc.edu/academic/devo/html/pelvis-perincmu.htm#male)

The foreskin or prepuce of the male is homologous to the labia minora of the female. Excision of the foreskin or prepuce—"circumcision"—is analagous to excision of the labia minora.

APPENDIX IV

Routine non-medical circumcision is designed to induce a deliberate injury to a normal prepuce. *(See table on following page. Taken from Holman, Lewis & Ringler 1995. "Neonatal Circumcision Techniques." American Family Physician, August 1995, pages 511-518.) This premeditated injury and destruction of normal tissue is considered a 'normal procedure' in many countries.

Complications arising from the unnecessary circumcision procedure are very real. Perhaps the most recent and most detailed study of these complications was done by Williams and Kapila (1993).\(^{14}\) They estimate a complication rate of between 2-10 percent. This is the rate for Western countries where circumcisions are either performed in the hospital or by a Jewish mohel in a home setting (i.e. in sanitary conditions). This estimate of complications excludes those innumerable circumcisions which take place in the unsanitary settings of non-Western countries—settings practically identical to those where female genital mutilation takes place.
Circumcision is Designed to Cause Injury to a Normal Prepuce

Circumcision Techniques

The following are three most commonly used procedures of circumcision techniques showing procedure, characteristics, advantages and disadvantages:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Disadvantages</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mogen clamp</td>
<td>Induces crush injury to prepuce while shielding genitalia, Prepuce surgically removed</td>
<td>Speed, Less complicated to perform, Instant result</td>
<td>Least commonly used technique, Fewer experienced operators</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gomco clamp</td>
<td>Induces crush injury to prepuce while shielding genitalia, Prepuce surgically removed</td>
<td>Instant result with good cosmesis, Widely used, Customized fit possible for each infant</td>
<td>Higher rate of shaft denudation, More time intensive, More complicated to perform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastibell device</td>
<td>Induces crush injury to prepuce while shielding phallus, Prepuce sloughs away along with plastic shield in three to seven days</td>
<td>Ease of use, Widely available</td>
<td>Slightly higher incidence of infection, Final result not immediately apparent</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Circumcision is designed to cause injury to the penis by inducing “crush injury” to the normal, protective prepuce, and then excising it. This “foreskin amputation” is considered a normal surgical procedure in some countries and is traditionally and typically performed without anesthesia on male infants who are just days old.
Circumcision remains a common operation, with over 30,000 procedures performed annually in the UK, mostly on children. The British Medical Association has recommended that circumcision should be performed only for medical reasons. Despite this, controversy exists over whether too many circumcisions are being performed. Are patients being exposed to an unnecessary operation? It may be argued that in doubtful cases it is easier to proceed to circumcision on the assumption that the attendant risks are low, but the operation is associated with a definite morbidity and rare deaths have been reported. This review considers the spectrum of complications that may result from circumcision and discusses the possible aetiopathological mechanisms.

Complications of circumcision

Removal of too much skin from the penile shaft may be caused by pulling it over the glans during operation. After foreskin excision the remaining skin slides back, leaving a denuded shaft. Others suggest that such penile denudation injuries occur as a result of failure to break down the ventral foreskin adhesions to the glans penis completely. It is therefore essential that, before any incision is made, the inner preputial epithelium is completely free from the glans such that the entire coronal sulcus can be visualized. Penile denudation injuries may occur as a result of sepsis, from diathermy injury or from injected anaesthetic solutions. The majority of cases can usually be managed conservatively with a satisfactory cosmetic and functional outcome. Such injuries in adults may be managed conservatively if the defect is less than half of the total penile skin. Complete denudation in the adult is managed by split-thickness skin grafting for optimum cosmetic and functional results. Of three cases encountered by Gou and Ansell, one child with complete denudation had initial treatment by burial of the penis in a tunnel of scrotal skin. A follow-up was available on this patient. Use of a pedicled scrotal skin flap has recently been described for the reconstruction of penile shaft skin. A rare consequence of excision of excess preputial skin is the so-called 'concealed penis'. Kaplan maintains that, although an excess of skin is removed, not enough inner preputial epithelium is excised. The new preputial orifice is.

Operative complications

Haemorrhage and sepsis are the commonest complications and are considered in greater detail below. The nature of circumcision dictates that errors of omission and commission, i.e. too little or too much, in assessing haemorrhage to remove are likely to happen, and one of the commonest complaints is of an unsatisfactory cosmetic result. If insufficient foreskin is removed the cosmetic appearance is such that the penis does not appear to have been circumcised; phimosis may subsequently develop. A series of consecutive circumcisions in Australia, Leitch found that in 9.5 per cent of patients the operation had to be repeated because of inadequate skin excision at the initial procedure. MacCarthy et al. reported this figure to be 1 per cent in a study from the UK. In a more recent series from Israel, where religious circumcision is widespread, 60 children referred following potentially inadequate circumcisions required recircumcision; the majority of these children were operated on before 4 years of age. The rest were treated conservatively and had a satisfactory cosmetic result at follow-up to 10 years of age. Insufficient excision of the foreskin and inner preputial epithelium may result in wound contraction and cicatrization of the distal foreskin. The fibrotic ring so produced may result in true phimosis, an event observed in 2 per cent of cases in one UK series. In severe cases urinary obstruction may ensue.

Removal of too much skin from the penile shaft may be caused by pulling it over the glans during operation. After foreskin excision the remaining skin slides back, leaving a denuded shaft. Others suggest that such penile denudation injuries occur as a result of failure to break down the ventral foreskin adhesions to the glans penis completely. It is therefore essential that, before any incision is made, the inner preputial epithelium is completely free from the glans such that the entire coronal sulcus can be visualized. Penile denudation injuries may occur as a result of sepsis, from diathermy injury or from injected anaesthetic solutions. The majority of cases can usually be managed conservatively with a satisfactory cosmetic and functional outcome. Such injuries in adults may be managed conservatively if the defect is less than half of the total penile skin. Complete denudation in the adult is managed by split-thickness skin grafting for optimum cosmetic and functional results. Of three cases encountered by Gou and Ansell, one child with complete denudation had initial treatment by burial of the penis in a tunnel of scrotal skin. A follow-up was available on this patient. Use of a pedicled scrotal skin flap has recently been described for the reconstruction of penile shaft skin.

A rare consequence of excision of excess preputial skin is the so-called 'concealed penis'. Kaplan maintains that, although an excess of skin is removed, not enough inner preputial epithelium is excised. The new preputial orifice is.
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Therefore, distal to the glans, and as healing and fibrosis occur the penile shaft is forced into the suprapubic fat, with the resulting prepucial ring at the level of the skin of the mons pubis. Other suggestions about the pathogenesis of this complication include a tendency of the penis to retract into the fatty mons pubis and the possibility that the penile shaft is forced into a subcutaneous position by wound contraction. 

Subsequent fibrosis of the circumcision wound leads to stenosis of the preputial orifice, which then traps the penile shaft subcutaneously. Treatment of this condition is surgical correction. In the presence of phimosis, Kaplan recommends a circumferential incision at the prepucial ring to avoid the need for skin grafts to achieve coverage of the penile shaft.

A vertical incision caudal to the circumferential scar was used by Ken to expose the glans and penile shaft which was in a subcutaneous position, tightly adherent to the surrounding tissue. Nearly complete direct skin coverage was achieved, apart from a small ventral defect, which was covered by rotation of the serosal skin. A vertical incision was used also by Byars and Trier, and, while Shulman et al. did not state the incision employed, both required the use of skin grafts for coverage of the penile shaft.

More recently, Radhakrishnan and Reves chose to use opposing U shaped incisions and so doing were able to obtain skin coverage with vascularized flaps: they contend that the functional and cosmetic results are superior to those of reconstruction with anti-thickness grafts, which may result in comparatively inelastic skin on the penile shaft.

Many other forms of surgical mishap have been reported. Laceration to the penile skin and scrotum resulting in exposure of both testes as reported by Shulman et al. was managed by primary suturing. Laceration of the penile shaft with resultant partial amputation has also been described. Total ablation of the penis may occur as a result of diathermy injury and loss of the penis from the use of a rubber band as a tourniquet has been reported. Injury to the glans may result from inadequate separation of preputial adhesions. Glanular injury may be of varying severity and cases of complete surgical amputation of the glans have occurred.

McGowan described a case in which inadvertent placement of scissors into the urethra while attempting a dorsal slit resulted in surgical bivalving of the glans.

Non-operative complications

Ruff et al. described a case of myocardial injury following immediate postnatal circumcision. This was confirmed by a raised level of type MB creatine kinase and by left ventricular posterior wall hypokinesia on echocardiography. It was concluded that the exposure of the child to cold stress as a result of circumcision resulted in a persistent fetal circulation and hypothyroidism. The neonate had a satisfactory outcome on medical management. A case of recurrent pneumothorax following circumcision was reported by Auerbach and Scanlon in a neonate. The circumcision was complicated by moderate bleeding and the baby's distress was sufficient to produce circumoral cyanosis and persistent tachycardia. It was concluded that crying, induced by the many dressing changes needed to obtain haemostasis, resulted in raised intrapartum pressure sufficient to rupture a weak site and cause pneumothorax. Although the child was managed successfully, this required a further hospital stay of 19 days.

Bleeding

Bleeding remains the commonest complication encountered during the after circumcision. Because varying criteria have been used in defining this complication and because of the reported incidence ranges from 0.2% to 1.2% it is likely that most cases of bleeding are not recognised as such.

Plastic bandages are often used to control haemostasis, and such dressing may be due to pressure on the body, but are not a bleeding disorder.

After 13,000 circumcisions reported in two large series, no patient required blood transfusion for bleeding. In the event of a bleeding disorder, appropriate clotting factors may have to be administered.

In some instances the application of pressure alone is insufficient to control local haemorrhage and other methods of haemostasis must be employed. In the UK the commonest aid to haemostasis is electrocautery diathermy for coagulating vessels. While in the majority of situations the judicious use of this device is safe and effective, the potential for damage exists if it is employed inavertently. When used in monopolar form, an electrical current flows from the indifferent electrode (plate) to the active electrode (forceps) and the tissue surrounding the forceps is heated, resulting in coagulation. However, this coagulation process may spread proximally in small vessels (a phenomenon commonly observed in everyday practice) and the extent of vessel coagulation may be far greater than intended. It is predominantly for this reason that the present authors use only bipolar diathermy during circumcision. Although there are probably many undocumented cases of minor diathermy burns and sloughing of the affected penile skin, more severe injuries such as glans and major penile skin necrosis have been reported.

At its most severe, the use of diathermy may result in total ablation of the penis. Gearhart and Rock described four such cases in which damage was so severe that plastic surgical reconstruction was described impossible. In all cases the children were managed by gender reassignment and feminizing genitoplasty.

If simple application of pressure is unsuccessful, a type of circumferential bandage may be applied to aid haemostasis. This appears to be popular among the practitioners of religious circumcision in Jewish communities. It may cause a degree of urethral obstruction which, in severe cases, leads to urinary retention and male that predispose to urinary tract infection. Horowitz et al. described such an event in which an 18-day-old infant presented shocked, dehydrated and with a hugely distended abdomen 2 days after circumcision. The tip of the penis, which was still covered by a circular bandage, appeared red and necrotic. After the bandage was released the child voided a large volume of urine and the abdominal distension disappeared. The cause of systemic upset was an Escherichia coli urinary tract infection and subsequent septicaemia.

Epididymitis following circumcision has also been reported.

After 13,000 cases of circumcision, 0.1% of cases were reported as having complications requiring admission to hospital.

One of these complications was the death of a 16-day-old infant with a bleeding disorder.

The authors are not aware of any reported cases of exsanguination following circumcision.
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One of these complications was the death of a 16-day-old infant with a bleeding disorder.
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bleeding area of the frenulum after circumcision. The patient developed ischaemia, acrocyanosis and local pallor of the penis. After subcutaneous injection of phenolamine (an α-adrenergic receptor antagonist) at the base, shaft and corona of the penis, all pain pallor disappeared and the systemic signs abated.

As an alternative to dissection, obviously bleeding vessels may be ligated with a fine suture. One of the commonest sites for persistent bleeding is at the frenulum, and in this area it is not uncommon to insert a haemostatic suture. However, because of the close proximity of the underlying urethra, it is easy for such a haemostatic stitch to be placed in the urethra itself. The result is the development of a urinary fistula. Avoidance of this complication therefore depends on meticulous technique when suturing around the frenulum and in taking superficial tissue only in the stitch.

Sepsis

Infection occurs after circumcision in up to 10 per cent of patients. In the majority of cases this is usually mild and manifested by local inflammatory changes, but occasionally there is ulceration and suppuration. Most infections are of little consequence and settle with local treatment. Occasionally, however, sepsis may have much more alarming consequences and may even cause death.

The perineal skin is heavily colonized by both normal skin saprophytes and by bowel flora, and it is surprising that significant septic complications do not occur more frequently. Woodsdove reported a case of necrotizing fasciitis of the perineum after Plastibell circumcision in a neonate. The child was septicaemic and there was also bacterial contamination of the cerebrospinal fluid. Extensive surgical debridement of the perineum with multiple fasciotomy was necessary and parenteral antibiotics were administered. Siphyllococcus aureus, S. epidermidis, diphtheroids, α-haemolytic streptococcus and Clustersidium perfringens were cultured from the excised perineal and penile skin. The child survived and had a satisfactory cosmetic and functional result some years later.

Gangrene of the penis has also been reported following circumcision and the scope of microbial contamination of the perineal skin can be further appreciated from the report of Sussman et al. of two cases of Fourrier's syndrome of the perineum and scrotum following the operation. Both patients survived after adequate antibiotic management, although extensive surgical debridement was necessary in one child. Annunziato and Goldblum presented three cases of staphylococcal sealded skin syndrome all of which occurred after circumcision. Similarly, cases of impetigo have been documented. In 1935, Costen reported a series of 23 boys from Cyprus circumcised by an unqualified practitioner in 13 of whom tetanus developed; five died. Diphtheria may develop in a circumcision wound and infection with Mycobacterium tuberculosis has been documented. The circumcision site has been observed to be the portal of entry in many other cases of sepsis, and Cleary and Kohl reported a fatal case of septicemia with group B β-haemolytic streptococcus in a 6-week-old child. Kirkpatrick and Blitzman highlighted the significance and occurrence of sepsis following circumcision with the Plastibell device. Meticulous infection from the circumcision site has been reported as a cause of neonatal meningitis in five children, three of whom were treated successfully with no long-term sequelae. However, one child subsequently developed cerebral palsy and one died. Osteomyelitis of the femur and a fatal case of staphylococcal bronchopneumonia have also been reported following circumcision. Recently, Crowley and Kosner reported a 9 per cent mortality rate in a series of 45 consecutive admissions for septic complications following circumcision in young adults. Septic embolization and polyarthritis were among the documented complications of septic circumcision in this series. There is a surprisingly low incidence, sepsis following circumcision has the potential to cause significant morbidity and in some cases death.

Fistula

Urethrococcal fistula following circumcision may occur for a variety of reasons but, fortunately, the reported incidence of this complication is low. Perhaps the commonest cause is a poorly placed suture at the frenulum, in an attempt to obtain haemostasis. This results in strangulation and necrosis of the urethral mucosa with resultant suppuration. Such an operation is not dissimilar to glandular hypospadias. Fistula may also occur as a result of sepstis, unrecognized urethral anomaly, such as megalourethra. However, many other fistulas arise from using the Plastibell device or Gomco clamps. Although the mechanism of injury is not clear it is probable that urethral injury results from crushing by the device. Most of these fistulas open on to the dorsum of the penis (but they may also open to the ventral surface), an anatomical arrangement not dissimilar to that seen in epididymis. Such a case was reported following surgical excision of the glans.

Mental stenosis

Mental stenosis is generally a direct consequence of circumcision that is seldom encountered in uncircumcised men; mental calibre is known to be greater in uncircumcised individuals. The incidence of mental ulceration following circumcision is from 8 to 20 per cent. The pyogenic complication is thought to be irritation of the external urethral meatus by analinicial substances present in wet soiled nappies. Such irritation is unlikely in the presence of a normal prepucce, which serves to protect the glans from these irritant substances. In a prospective study of 140 consecutive neonatal circumcisions, Mackenzey found a 20 per cent incidence of mental ulceration within the first 2–3 weeks after circumcision. It is thought that mental ulceration after circumcision is the initiating event in a vicious cycle of stenosis and ulceration, followed by more stenosis. Mental stenosis following circumcision has been advanced as a cause of recurrent pyelonephritis and obstructive uropathy, for which meatalotomy is curative.

Miscellaneous complications

The glandular epithelium may be denuded by a less than gentle technique when separating the preputial adhesions and this may be the source of the pain. If the glans is held firmly by a gauze swab, the glans may then be more prone to local sepsis with the resulting formation of a scar. Fortunately, most settle spontaneously with attention to hygiene. A skin bridge may develop between the glans and the penile shaft. This may tether the erect penis to producing pain and deformity. The aetiology of this condition remains unknown, although injury to the glans at the time of circumcision or incomplete separation of the inner preputial skin may have advanced as possible factors. Inclusion cysts following circumcision have been described. That reported by Shelley et al. was found histologically to be an epidermal cyst. It is possible that cysts also arise as a result of peroperative implantation of smegma. The use of silica silt on surgical gloves has been associated with the formation of granulomas and such a lesion was described in a circumcision wound 15 years after the original surgical procedure.

Although penile lymphoedema following circumcision has been reported, there is a paucity of information regarding the aetiology and management of such a problem and accounts in the literature are anecdotal. The degree of penile oedema may be greater with the Plastibell device. Impotence has been observed following circumcision. Palmer and Link described two cases, both of which were associated with...
injection of 1 per cent lignocaine directly into the corona with the application of a rubber band at the base of the penis to act as a tourniquet. As 10–15 ml anaesthetic was used this perhaps resulted in a 50 per cent mixture of lignocaine and blood in direct contract with the vascular endothelium of the penis. It is postulated that this high concentration irreversibly damaged the endothelium of the corona cavernosum with resulting impotence. Impotence followed partial amputation of the penis at circumcision, despite plastic surgical reconstruction, in a case reported by Haraszti.1 Hypospadias remains a contraindication to circumcision, as surgical reconstruction may require the use of all the available penile skin. However, circumcision in unrecognized hypospadias was performed in six children in one series and, in the same series, although hypospadias was recognized in two children, circumcision was performed anyway.2

Carcinoma

Of 1103 patients with carcinoma of the penis reviewed by Wolbarsht,3 none had been circumcised. It was therefore concluded that squamous carcinoma of the penis only ever occurs in ununcircumcised men and that the circumcised state is protective against its development. However, squamous cell carcinoma of the circumcised penis has since been reported17,18 and so the original contention of a protective effect of circumcision is not convincing. Indeed, when one compares the incidence of carcinoma of the penis in the USA (0.2 per 100000) where most men have been circumcised at birth, with that in Denmark (1.1 per 100000) and Japan (0.3 per 100000), where circumcision is rarely performed, the original contention seems doubtful15,19. It is likely that several factors other than circumcision are implicated in the genesis of carcinoma of the penis.

Carcinoma of the penis following circumcision appears to have a different natural history from cancer in ununcircumcised men. Whereas penile cancer in the ununcircumcised tends to arise on the glans or prepuce, after circumcision the tumour is likely to develop in the surgical scar. Such tumours occur mostly on the penile shaft and tend to spread locally with distant metastasis as an infrequent or late occurrence16. Surgical excision is the treatment of choice, as neither radiotherapy nor chemotherapy appears to be effective11. Nearly all of these cases have been reported from Saudi Arabia, where there existed a radical form of circumcision that was practised by the tribes in the southern regions. The circumcision included excision of the skin in the suprapubic region with a longitudinal incision extending between the anterior superior iliac spines18. This practice is now prohibited.

Psychological complications

According to Freudian theory, by the fourth or fifth year of life the genital concentration of all sexual excitement is achieved and the boy’s interests in the genitals attain a dominant significance, the phallic stage. Anna Freud20 wrote:

“any surgical interference with the child’s body may serve as a focal point for the activation, recrudescence, grouping and rationalisation of ideas of being smacked, overwhelmed and (or) castrated.”

For a child at the phallic stage this fear that something might happen to his pricked organ is called ‘castration anxiety’. According to the psychoanalytical literature, operations performed on the penis, such as circumcision, may arouse such castration fears. In a study on circumcision and the problems of masculinity, Nurnberg21 highlighted the point that injury to the penis may imitate the child and impair his development to full virility. However, in the same paper he also proposed that circumcision may stimulate the masculine striving of the child by encouraging identification with the father. After a detailed prospective psychoanalytical study of 12 boys aged 4–7 years undergoing circumcision in Turkey, Cansever22 highlighted the intelligence quotient and that body image perception showed a tendency to contrast. She concluded that:

“circumcision is perceived by the child as an aggressive attack upon his body, which damaged, mutilated, and in some cases totally destroyed him. The feeling of ‘I am now castrated’ seems to prevail in the psychic world of the child. As a result he feels inadequate, helpless and functions less efficiently.”

Such psychological sequelae are not confined to the young child. Circumcision performed in the neonatal period is associated with marked behavioural changes22,23 that may last up to 24 h; supporting this observation is the finding of a rise in both serum cortisol and cortisone levels after neonatal circumcision24. Circumcision in the neonate has been noted to increase both respiration and heart rate and is associated with a significant fall in transcutaneous oxygen tension25. Allied to this is a change in sleep pattern with prolonged non-rapid eye movement sleep. This change has been interpreted as being consistent with a theory of conservation—withdrawal to stressful stimulation.26

Circumcision can cause dysmorphephobia, and Walter and Streiner27 reported a case of genital self-mutilation in a non-psychoactive patient who attempted to reconstruct the foreskin himself. Two groups of men seeking restoration of the foreskin have been identified. First is a group of Jewish men who sought to disguise their religious identity during times of political crisis.28 Second is a group of homosexuals whose circumcised status is associated with unwanted masculinity and anger over having no choice over their circumcision.29 Circumcision-by-attempted circumcision has been reported after paternal death in two patients, both of whom demonstrated features of acute psychosis.30 Schizophrenia following elective circumcision has also been reported31.

In societies in which circumcision is intricately linked to tradition and culture, the un circumcised individual is likely to be an outcast. This prejudice may be great enough for uncircumcised men not only to be ostracized by their peers but even to be attacked and beaten for their lack of conformity. Such beatings in men refusing to be circumcised have occurred in the Xhosa tribe of South Africa and, in one instance, the attack was violent enough to result in the development of a crush syndrome.32

Sexual complications

Morgan22 asserts that:

‘penetration in the circumcised man has been compared to thrusting the foot into a sock held open at the top while, on the other hand, in the intact counterpart it has been likened to slipping the foot into a sock that has been previously rolled up’. He also suggests that ‘coitus without a foreskin is comparable to viewing a Renoir whilst colour blind’33. While it is impossible to reach an objective conclusion in this matter, some have risen to the challenge and attempted to investigate the question. Although Haraszti concluded that the question remains unanswered at the end of his study, the description of his methodology and findings makes for compulsive reading.

Conclusion

Many medical practitioners regard circumcision as a relatively minor procedure and, as such, it is likely to be delegated to a junior surgeon. It has already been observed that complication rate is directly related to operator inexperience. Delegation to a junior colleague should occur only after the surgeon in training has been fully instructed in the operative procedure. Heightened awareness of the scope and potential for complications will of itself result in a reduced complication rate.

Despite the recommendation of the British Medical Association that circumcision should be performed only for medical reasons, controversy still exists over whether too many
procedures are being carried out. It is hoped that a greater awareness of the incidence and scope of associated complications will encourage a more carefully considered decision on whether or not to circumcise.
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Since the above study dispels the myth that circumcision is a harmless procedure ("... the literature abounds with reports of morbidity and even death as a result of circumcision") we include a full copy of the paper—"Complications of Circumcision"—with this report (see preceding pages).

### Estimated Worldwide Incidence of Male Circumcision Complications

Tim Hammond of NOHARM very recently compiled figures—using Williams' and Kapila's "2-10 per cent" complication rate to come up with an estimate of the worldwide incidence of male circumcision complications. Using world population figures from 1994 he came up with the following "conservative" figures:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A - World Population (1994):</th>
<th>5,661,525,000 (5.6 billion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B - Male Population (50% of A):</td>
<td>2,830,762,500 (2.8 billion)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C - Circumcised Male Population:</td>
<td>566,152,500 (566 million)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(20%* of B)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D - Number of Complications at rate of:</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The 20% figure is extrapolated from researcher Edward Wallerstein's deduction that: "About 80% of the world's population do not practice circumcision, nor have they ever done so."16*

Therefore, using the complication estimate from Williams and Kapila, somewhere between 11.3 million and 56.6 million men have suffered or are suffering from damage to the penis as a direct result of being circumcised.

Hammond writes: "Cultural, religious, emotional, psychological and gender-based factors inhibit the vast majority of mutilated males from speaking out about this harm."17

In addition to the above, all circumcisions cause damage to the penis by destroying the frenulum, removing potentially erogenous tissue (see Appendix V) and by forcing the glans to accommodate its unnatural exposure by keratinizing, thereby becoming dry and tough. The nerve endings in the glans, which in the intact penis are just beneath the surface of the mucous membrane, are now buried by successive layers of keratinization. This results in a radical desensitizing of the glans, compared to what was designed by nature.18

---

**APPENDIX V**

"Depending on the amount of skin cut off, circumcision robs a male of as much as 80 percent or more of his penile skin... Careful anatomical investigations have shown that circumcision cuts off more than 3 feet of veins, arteries, and capillaries; 240 feet of nerves and more than 20,000 nerve endings."19

"Meissner's corpuscles of the prepuce may be compared with similar nerve-ending in the finger-tips and lips, which respond in a fraction of a second to contact with light objects... The prepuce provides a large and important platform for several nerves and nerve endings. The innervation of the outer skin of the prepuce is impressive; its sensitivity to light touch and pain are similar to that of the skin of the penis as a whole. The glans, by contrast, is insensitive to light touch, heat, cold and, as far as the authors are aware, to pin-prick. Le Gros Clark noted that the glans penis is one of the few areas on the body that enjoys nothing beyond primitive sensory modalities."20

In the light of current research, it appears that excision of the prepuce removes the most sensitive, erogenous tissue of the male organ.
APPENDIX VI

The following examples of social ostracism illustrate the kind of abuse that can be suffered by the uncircumcised male child or by those boys who "cry out" during the pain of the ritual:

Maasai

"In the case of a boy who has cried out during the operation, the spectators will declare him a coward and will not partake of the meat, milk, or honey beer provided by his parents for the occasion. A ceremony that has taken a month or more to prepare will be useless. The youth is termed one who has 'kicked the knife,' and along with his family he will be disgraced. His father and mother will be spat upon for having raised a coward. The family' cattle within the kraal will be beaten until they stampede and break through the fence. The boy will also receive a thorough beating. The food he eats will be spat upon and he must eat all of it . . . "22

Xhosa

"... in Xhosa tradition an uncircumcised male cannot inherit his father's possessions, nor can he establish a family. He cannot officiate in ritual ceremonies. In fact there is no such thing as an 'uncircumcised man' in Xhosa society. A Xhosa who is not circumcised is described quite simply as a boy, an inja (dog), and an inqambi (unclean thing) . . . So uncompromising are the Xhosa people on this that no Xhosa woman would knowingly and willingly marry an uncircumcised Xhosa male."23

"Obligatory circumcision in a sterile operating theatre, replacing the Izichwe with a trained doctor or substituting commercial dressings and medicines for the traditional leaves and sheep-skin, would render the Umkhwetha meaningless . . . any youth who undergoes hospital circumcision by request will be a social outcast."24

Walbari of Aboriginal Australia

"The rite of circumcision and its attendant ceremonies firmly and unequivocally establish a youth's status in Walbari society. Should he fail to pass through these rites he may not enter into his father's lodge, he may not participate in religious ceremonies, he cannot acquire a marriage line, he cannot legitimately obtain a wife, in short, he cannot become a social person."25

Biblical Judaism

The covenant between Jehovah and Abraham which is the foundation of the current Jewish religious practice of circumcision carried with it a penalty for non-performance of the ritual:

"Every man child among you shall be circumcised. And ye shall circumcise the flesh of your foreskin . . . he that is eight days old shall be circumcised among you . . . "26

"And the uncircumcised man child whose flesh of his foreskin is not circumcised, that soul shall be cut off from his people; he hath broken my covenant."27

If one compares other scriptures with the same phrase "cut off from his people" one understands there is a strong possibility that this penalty actually meant "death".28
APPENDIX VII

Current Position Statements of Medical Societies in English-Speaking Countries on Routine Infant Male Circumcision


1996 Australasian Association of Paediatric Surgeons: Guidelines for Circumcision—"We do not support the removal of a normal part of the body, unless there are definite indications to justify the complication and risks which may arise. In particular, we are opposed to male children being subjected to a procedure, which had they been old enough to consider the advantages and disadvantages, may well have opted to reject the operation and retain their prepuce." [Hersion, Queensland. April 1996]

1996 Australian College of Paediatrics: Position Statement on Routine Circumcision of Normal Male Infants and Boys—"The Australasian Association of Paediatric Surgeons has informed the College that 'Neonatal male circumcision has no medical indication. It is a traumatic procedure performed without anaesthesia to remove a normal functional and protective prepuce.'" [Parkville, Vic. 27 May 1996]

1996 British Medical Association Guidelines: Circumcision of Male Infants: Guidance for Doctors—"To circumcise for therapeutic reasons where medical research has shown other techniques to be at least as effective and less invasive would be unethical and inappropriate." [Medical Ethics Department. London. 1996]

AMERICAN CANCER SOCIETY
NATIONAL HOME OFFICE

February 16, 1996

Dr. Peter Rappo
Committee on Practice & Ambulatory Medicine
American Academy of Pediatrics
141 Northwest Point Boulevard
P. O. Box 927
Elk Grove Village, IL 60009-0927

Dear Dr. Rappo:

As representatives of the American Cancer Society, we would like to discourage the American Academy of Pediatrics from promoting routine circumcision as preventative measure for penile or cervical cancer. The American Cancer Society does not consider routine circumcision to be a valid or effective measure to prevent such cancers.

Research suggesting a pattern in the circumcision status of partners of women with cervical cancer is methodologically flawed, outdated and has not been taken seriously in the medical community for decades.

Likewise, research claiming a relationship between circumcision and penile cancer is inconclusive. Penile Cancer is an extremely rare condition, affecting one in 200,000 men in the United States. Penile cancer rates in countries which do not practice circumcision are lower than those found in the United States. Fatalities caused by circumcision accidents may approximate the mortality rate from penile cancer.

Portraying routine circumcision as an effective means of prevention distracts the public from the task of avoiding the behaviors proven to contribute to penile and cervical cancer: especially cigarette smoking and unprotected sexual relations with multiple partners. Perpetuating the mistaken belief that circumcision prevents cancer is inappropriate.

Sincerely,

Hugh Shingleton, M.D.
National Vice President
Detection & Treatment

Clark W. Heath, Jr., M.D.
Vice President
Epidemiology & Surveillance Research

1599 CLIFTON ROAD, N.E., ATLANTA GEORGIA 30329 404-320-3333
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Comments from Humanitarians

"Circumcision is a brutal ritual rooted in superstition and should be abandoned . . ."
—Ashley Montagu, anthropologist and Humanist of the Year, 1995

“We use the word ‘circumcision,’ but this is a euphemism. What we are really talking about for females as well as males is culturally and religiously sanctioned sexual mutilation and child abuse.”
—Miriam Pollack, Jewish educator and author of Redefining the Sacred

“The forced amputation of a healthy part of the genitals of an unconsenting infant or child, whether in the name of medicine, religion, or social custom is a human rights violation.”
—Nurses for the Rights of the Child, Santa Fe, New Mexico

“Removed from both ritual and medical grounds of justification, circumcision emerges as nothing more nor less than a classic instance of genital mutilation practiced on helpless children. As such, it should not be countenanced.”
—Lawrence A. Hoffman, author of Covenant of Blood: Circumcision and Gender in Rabbinic Judaism

“. . . there is no valid justification of the distinction made between male and female circumcision.”
—Sami A. Aldeeb Abu-Sahlieh, Staff Legal Advisor at the Swiss Institute of Comparative Law

“I now think that a full-scale campaign should be waged to eliminate circumcision, whether of the male or the female.”
—Lester A. Kirkendall, Ph.D., Humanist of the Year, 1983
A Boy Without a Penis

The experts had it all wrong, says the beleaguered survivor of a landmark 1960s sex-change operation

By CHRISTINE GORMAN

HE WAS ONE OF A SET OF INFANT TWINS. When, in 1963, his penis was damaged beyond repair by a circumcision that went awry. After seeking expert advice at Johns Hopkins Medical School, the parents decided that the child's best shot at a normal life was as an anatomically correct woman. The baby was castrated, and surgeons fashioned a kind of vagina out of the remaining tissue. When "she" grew older, hormone treatments would complete the transformation from boy to girl.

The case became a landmark in the annals of sex research, living proof of the prevailing theory of the 1960s and early 1970s that sexual identity exists in a kind of continuum and that nurture is more important than nature in determining gender roles. Babies are born gender neutral, the experts said. Catch them early enough, and you can make them anything you want.

Widely cited in medical and social-science textbooks, the baby's transformation helped pediatricians confidently advise other parents facing similar circumstances to rear their wounded boys as girls.

What these doctors and parents didn't know was that the celebrated sex-change success story was, in fact, a total failure. In a follow-up study published last week in the Archives of Pediatric and Adolescent Medicine, Milton Diamond, a professor of anatomy and reproductive biology at the University of Hawaii, and Dr. Keith Sigmundson, a psychiatrist with the Canadian Ministry of Health, report that the child, whom they called "Joan," never really adjusted to her assigned gender. In fact, Joan was surgically changed back to "John" in the late 1970s, and is now the happily married father of three adopted children.

Almost from the beginning, Dir rond and Sigmundson write, Joan rebelled at her treatment. Even as a toddler, she felt different. When her mother clothed her in girls' dresses, she would try to rip them off. She preferred to play with boys and stereotypical boys' toys—in one memorable instance walking into a store to buy an umbrella and walking out with a toy machine gun. By second grade, she had come to suspect she would fit in better as a boy. But her doctors insisted that these feelings were perfectly normal, that she was just a tomboy. "I thought I was a freak or something," John told Diamond and Sigmundson.

...can't argue with a bunch of doctors in white coats," John recalls. "You're just a little kid, and their minds are already made up. They didn't want to listen."

In 1977, when she was 14, Joan decided she had only two options: either commit suicide or live her life as a male. Finally, in a tearful confrontation, her father told her the true story of her birth and sex change. "All of a sudden everything clicked," John remembers. With the support of a new set of doctors, Joan underwent a pair of operations to reconstruct a penis—albeit a diminutive one without the sensitivity of a normal sex organ.

Following this second set of sex-change procedures, John's new doctors advised the family to move to a new town and another school and start over. This time, however, John's parents rejected the expert advice. People would find out anyway, they reasoned. It was better to stay put and be open about what had happened. Their strategy seems to have worked. After a brief transition, John was accepted by his peers in a way that Joan never was. Once, when John first began dating, he confessed to a would-be girlfriend that he was insecure about his penis, and she started telling tales in school about his condition. But Joan's old schoolmates stuck loyally by John, refusing to be drawn into the girl's malicious gossip.

At its worst, this story could be read as a lesson in scientific hubris. At its best, it's a story about the courage of one boy who claimed the right to determine his own identity. Unfortunately, no follow-up study reporting that John had rejected his initial sex change was ever published. As a result, says Diamond and Sigmundson, dozens of other boys may have been needlessly castrated. In defense of the original team, Johns Hopkins says it wasn't able to conduct a follow-up because the family stopped coming to see its doctors.

Diamond and Sigmundson suspect that most boys-made-girls will, like John, reject their female identity by the time they reach puberty. Other experts are not so sure. "We don't have the answers," says Dr. William Reiner, a surgeon and psychiatrist at Johns Hopkins (who was not involved in the original case). "Let's listen to these kids. They eventually are going to give us the answers."

— Reported by Dick Thompson/Washington
Ritual Circumcision (Umkhwetha) amongst the Xhosa of the Ciskei

I. P. CROWLEY and K. M. KESNER

Department of Urology, Cecilia Makiwane Hospital, Mdantsane, Ciskei, South Africa

Summary—The Umkhwetha is an ancient custom of ritual circumcision still practised by the Xhosa people of Southern Africa. In 46 consecutive youths who required hospital admission the mortality rate was 9%. The complications seen over the years are reviewed and their management discussed.

No self-respecting Xhosa girl would marry a Xhosa male unless he had submitted to the Umkhwetha (um.Kwe-ta), the Xhosa circumcision ritual for which "boys" are expected to present themselves at between 18 and 22 years of age, although some delay the procedure until later.

The Xhosa people inhabit, in the main, the Ciskei and Transkei areas of Southern Africa. Exact numbers in the Ciskei are currently unknown. The last census was in 1980, when the total population was 630,353 with 178,774 resident in Mdantsane, the town in which this hospital is situated (Preliminary census, 1980).

Patients and Methods

The Umkhwetha takes place twice a year in July and December. In ancient times it was said to have lasted several months. The rite is centred about a specially constructed hut of wattle staves and thatched grass. The circumcision is performed some distance from the hut by the Ingcitsi (surgeon). The boy lies motionless and silent. The Ingcitsi "gloves" the prepuce over his index finger, stretching it with thumb and middle finger. The tissue is rapidly excised with a sharp knife. Residual inner layer tissue is folded back over the distal shaft of the penis. The Izichwe (teacher) then applies specially gathered leaves around the penile shaft. The hemorrhage is staunched by tightly binding the organ with a strip of sheep skin leather. The penis is held obliquely erect by tying the stalks of the leaves to a bark waistband, using another strip of leather.

The would-be man then has his face and body painted white, using a paste made from ground local stone. On his head he wears a garland of mealie leaves. His clothing consists of a blanket only and he carries a white peeled stick. The Izichwe dresses the wound twice daily in the hut and also instructs the initiate in the ways of manhood. The teaching programme continues for about a month. Finally, the boys are taken to a fast-flowing stream where the paint is washed away. They return to the hut naked, where they are smeared with butter, given a new blanket and presented with a black unpeeled stick. After a final talk by a respected elder or relative, the boy becomes a man.

Results

During the December–January period of the 1988/89 Umkhwetha, 45 consecutive young males with the diagnosis "septic circumcision" were admitted to the urological department at this hospital. This figure has no particular significance because the actual number of youths partaking in the Umkhwetha and the true incidence of complications are unknown. Penile injury was defined in terms of 4 grades of severity (Table 1) and data on the patients are recorded in Table 2.

Two patients were admitted directly to the Intensive Care Unit (ICU); I had been severely beaten with a leather-whip (gambokked) for refusal...
Table 1 Penile Injury as a Result of Ritual Circumcision: Grades of Severity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Skin loss at base of prepuce with or without laceration of glans or shaft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Marked loss of penile skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Loss of the glans with or without partial shaft loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Loss of the entire penis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2 Details of 45 Consecutive Patients Admitted Following Ritual Circumcision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Mean 21.5 (range 16-31)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean time between circumcision and admission (days)</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dead on arrival (%)</td>
<td>3/45 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICU admissions (%)</td>
<td>2/45 (4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Severity of penile trauma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>No. of patients (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>14 (33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>22 (52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>2 (5)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Immediate surgery 2/42 (5)
Suprapubic diversion 8/42 (19)
Antibiotics 28/42 (67)
Split-skin grafting 8/42 (19)
Polyarthritus 2/42 (5)
Mortality 4/45 (9)

Discussion

The Umkhwetha is an ancient custom inherent in Xhosa society. It predates the advent of Western values in the African subcontinent by many centuries. It has always been accepted that those who did not survive were not destined to achieve manhood. With the advent of industrialisation in Southern Africa the fabric of tribal society has become increasingly fragile. Methods aimed at reducing the high complication rate should not...
appear as a Western intrusion into this important custom. Obligatory circumcision in a sterile operating theatre, replacing the Izichwe with a trained doctor or substituting commercial dressings and medicines for the traditional leaves and sheep-skin, would render the Umkhwetha meaningless.

Apart from the management of complications, our role has been to bring the high morbidity and mortality to the attention of the regional Xhosa authority and advise how these could be reduced. We also circumcise boys and youths whenever a legitimate opportunity arises (such as a paraphimosis). Informed consent is essential but rarely is there a refusal. Parents are well aware of possible death and deformity associated with the Umkhwetha. Circumcision for genuine medical reasons is acceptable to the community, whereas any youth who undergoes hospital circumcision by request will be a social outcast.

The spectrum of complications observed over the years is outlined in Table 3. Septicaemia and/or dehydration are frequent causes of mortality. Normal fluid intake is discouraged as a further test of endurance and youths are frequently admitted severely dehydrated.

Rather than the act of circumcision itself, the chief cause of penile injury is the dressing when it is applied too tightly, for too long. The first effect is to compromise the blood supply of the penile skin. With tighter compression the deep dorsal arteries are also occluded and the glans may necrose (Figs 1 and 2). At worst all of the penile vasculature is occluded and the organ is lost.

The penile skin has a remarkable capacity for regeneration, but adjunctive surgical procedures are usually required for excessive skin loss and these include split-skin grafting and mobilising the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3 Complications of Ritual Circumcision</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dehydration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Penile injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skin loss</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amputation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fistula</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic hypospadias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Traumatic epispadias</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Localised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional abscess</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrotising fasciitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septicaemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Septic embolisation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetanus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gangrene</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyarthritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urinary retention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healing problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phimosis (after incomplete circumcision)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meatal stenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chordee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe beatings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crush injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychological disturbance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1 Septic ritual circumcision with marked loss of shaft skin. The glans is necrotic and about to slough.

Fig. 2 Another patient with loss of the glans penis. An inguinoscrotal abscess has been drained.
proximal shaft edge to provide distal cover as a sleeve. Occasionally we are faced with a situation of total skin and marked tissue loss that includes most of the pendulous urethra with a fistula at the peno-scrotal junction. For this we have used a technique termed "scrotal gloving". The denuded penile remnant is buried in the scrotum and the neo-glans brought out through a separate incision. The new glans is covered with split skin in an attempt to preserve sensitivity. The urethral fistula is converted into a first-stage urethroplasty by suturing the scrotal skin to the urethral edges. The previous site of emergence of the penile shaft is closed and the scrotum drained. Later, releasing incisions free the newly covered penis from the scrotum and standard hypospadias techniques are employed in an attempt to advance the neomeatus.

Three of 4 patients who underwent scrotal gloving stated that they had normal sensation, erections and ejaculation, but all had difficulty with penetration because of lack of penile length. The remaining patient had neither sensation nor erectile activity.

All patients had penile sepsis on admission. Our mainstay of therapy was thrice-daily penile soakings in dilute Savlon solution followed by dressings with Betadine cream. This regimen succeeded in eliminating local sepsis in almost every case. Antibiotics were reserved for those with systemic illness and were not administered to apyrexial patients. Several patients were treated for manifestations of septic embolisation such as empyema and soft tissue abscesses. We have also seen cases of tetanus (1 fatal) and serum hepatitis. All patients are now routinely given anti-tetanus toxoid on admission. As yet the youths are not routinely tested for AIDS, but it is a distinct possibility.

A common occurrence is incomplete circumcision. The penis is lacerated and there is severe posthitis and often phimosis. Once sepsis resolves we complete what the Ingciti originally set out to do. Meatoplasty may be required for stenoses that develop after urethral trauma or urethroplasty. Skin release or tethering procedures may be required for penile curvature after healing. Discipline in the bush is tough and beatings with a leather-whip (sjambok) are common. We have seen patients with crush syndrome and in renal failure as a consequence. One mildly retarded patient underwent circumcision but refused daily dressings. The severity of his beating can be gauged by the fact that he required both dialysis and ventilatory support for 3 weeks.

Circumcision in the adult may precipitate psychotic delusional behaviour (Ball, 1974; Flaherty, 1980). This is particularly likely when the procedure takes place as part of the Umkhwetha. When penile deformity results, the psychological scars will run deep.

Acknowledgement
We thank the Director-General, Department of Health, Ciskei, for permission to prepare this report.

References

The Authors
I. P. Crowley, FRCSE, Head of Department of Urology.

Requests for reprints to: I. P. Crowley, 17 Leadwood Place, Beacon Bay, 5241 East London, Republic of South Africa.
after circumcision

By Ephraim Macklina

EAST LONDON — A teenager has died as a result of complications after undergoing ritual circumcision at an initiation school in Mdantsane.

Zola Tshoni, 19, a Std 9 pupil at Sikhulu High School in Mdantsane, died of dehydration during his third week at the initiation school.

The initiate's uncle, Mr Joe Bodeza, who described the teenager as bright and popular, said Zola had complained of knee and chest pains on Saturday.

"His parents took him to Cecilia Makiwane Hospital where he was treated and then returned to the bush the same day," Mr Bodeza said.

"On Monday, when Zola could not take any food, his parents hired a taxi to take him back to the hospital, but unfortunately he died on the way," he said.

Speaking on behalf of the boy's parents, Mr Bodeza attributed Zola's death to lack of both preparation and supervision during the initiation process.

"Whereas we know that circumcision is there to stay as it is part of our tradition, people need to understand that we are now living in a changing world where tradition has to keep pace with change.

"This is why certain staff in various clinics have been charged with the responsibility of examining initiates before they go through their course.

"Also, there is a need to ensure that only qualified staff are vested with the responsibility of looking after these initiates," he said.

So far this winter season, two other initiates have been admitted to Cecilia Makiwane Hospital, where they are being treated for infections, but no other circumcision-related deaths have been reported in the area, a senior medical superintendent at the hospital said.

Zola's funeral will take place at the Assemblies of God Church in NU2, Mdantsane, tomorrow.

By Mkhulu Titl

QUEENSTOWN — One of the two Xhosa circumcision initiates who were transferred from Cala to Frere Hospital in East London in December died this week.

This brought the number of deaths among initiates since the beginning of the circumcision period to three.

A Cala Hospital spokesman, Mr Mandla Zayedwa, said 35 initiates were being treated there.

"Some were recovering while the wounds of others were still septic," he said.

Several initiates admitted at Cala Hospital had been transferred to other hospitals such as Frere and Umtata General after their condition worsened, Mr Zayedwa said.

He said three other initiates were still being treated at Frere Hospital.

Some of the problems that have affected initiates in the area included dehydration, as an initiate is not allowed to drink water for seven days.

Mr Zayedwa said some parents had to be blamed for the death of the initiates as they had refused to allow them to be treated in hospital.

As a result, the three who were transferred to Frere Hospital were so serious that they were found unconscious.

There were eight initiates at Hewu Hospital in Whitlesea who were all reported to be in a satisfactory condition, a hospital spokesperson, Mrs Nompaso Mgoile, said.

A meeting to discuss the problems faced by initiates with the communities would be held next week at the magistrate's offices to encourage them to co-operate with hospitals to prevent loss of life, Mr Zayedwa said.

Initiate, 22, found dead

QUEENSTOWN — A 22-year-old initiate who complained of being thirsty and of dizziness after his circumcision last weekend was found dead in Burgersburg at the weekend, police said yesterday.

Koekabena Mbova had undergone a traditional circumcision on December 13 and during the weekend complained that he was not feeling well. He was found dead by a mzansi, or care of him at a circumcision school.

By Phakamisa Ngani

PLETT — An advocate who also heads the Bhala tribe in Flagstaff has called for police action against a traditional surgeon and his assistants for allegedly causing the death of three "abakwetha" circumcision initiates and surgical complications to 23 others in Eastern Cape last week.

Chief Mwole Nonkonvana said yesterday he had urged police to open murder dockets against the surgeon, and against four other men who had served as "abakwetha" monitors in the botched circumcision process.

He named the surgeon and four monitors — known as "amakanaka".

He also asked police to open serious assault dockets against the men responsible for the circumcision school "atrocities" because some of the initiates had to have their penises amputated.

Only one of the 27 initiates escaped death or serious surgical complications.

Chief Nonkonvana said postmortem results suggested the three young victims — Qekeza Mbaligontsi, Lublabo Gontsi and Sandle Bilj — had severe internal bleeding.

After the death of the first victim, Mbaligontsi, there was a public outcry against operations at the man's circumcision lodge.

The men in charge of the school, however, defied protests and insisted on the establishment of two other circumcision lodges elsewhere, Chief Nonkonvana claimed.

The actions of those responsible for the deaths of the three young initiates called for condemnation "in the strongest possible terms," he said.

"I have also instructed my councillors to summon the parents of the affected initiates to our tribal court and charge them with breaking the custom of the Bhala tribe.

The respondents must explain why they failed to obtain relevant authority before conducting the circumcision school.

"They should also prepare themselves for the painful consequences of their actions," he said.

He had also directed his tribal subjects to demolish the circumcision school lodges in which the three initiates lost their lives.

He claimed that the lodges had been built without prior approval from his traditional authority.

An advocate attached to the Umtata High Court, Chief Nonkonvana is the Eastern Cape regional president of the Congress of Traditional Leaders in South Africa (Contralesa).

He is also chairman of the Bishop-based House of Traditional Leaders where members of the forum have expressed strong views about circumcision schools conducted without strict adherence to traditional Xhosa norms and customs.

3 initiates die — charges urged

- 5 JUL 1997

By Ephraim Macklina

QUEENSTOWN — At a satisfactory condition and would be released soon.

"On Monday, when Zola could not take any food, his parents hired a taxi to take him back to the hospital, but unfortunately he died on the way," he said.

Speaking on behalf of the boy's parents, Mr Bodeza said Zola's death to lack of both preparation and supervision during the initiation process.

"Whereas we know that circumcision is there to stay as it is part of our tradition, people need to understand that we are now living in a changing world where tradition has to keep pace with change.

"This is why certain staff in various clinics have been charged with the responsibility of examining initiates before they go through their course.

"Also, there is a need to ensure that only qualified staff are vested with the responsibility of looking after these initiates," he said.

So far this winter season, two other initiates have been admitted to Cecilia Makiwane Hospital, where they are being treated for infections, but no other circumcision-related deaths have been reported in the area, a senior medical superintendent at the hospital said.

Zola's funeral will take place at the Assemblies of God Church in NU2, Mdantsane, tomorrow.

Daily Dispatch

Two Xhosa initiates die — 59 in hospital

- 5 JUL 1997

REPORTERS

QUEENSTOWN — At least 59 Xhosa initiates have been admitted to various Eastern Cape hospitals during the long weekend as a result of circumcision-related problems while two more were transferred to the hospital in East London.

Two died at Cala Hospital.

Sister Nomvo Sikhunyane at Cala Hospital said initiates were admitted at the hospital gates at the weekend last week before they were even admitted for treatment. To date, 22 initiates have been treated there.

A hospital spokesperson at Cofimvaba said 17 initiates have been admitted there and one was in a critical condition.

All the initiates were admitted with severe bleeding. The rest were in a stable condition.

A hospital spokesperson at Hewu Hospital in Whitlesea, Sister Nothemba Zono, said 13 initiates had been admitted there and were all in a satisfactory condition and would be released soon.

There are seven initiates at Frontier Hospital here and all were said to be in a satisfactory condition yesterday.

- 5 JUL 1997

By Phakamisa Ngani

UMTATA — An advocate who also heads the Bhala tribe in Flagstaff has called for police action against a traditional surgeon and his assistants for allegedly causing the death of three "abakwetha" circumcision initiates and surgical complications to 23 others in Eastern Cape last week.

Chief Mwole Nonkonvana said yesterday he had urged police to open murder dockets against the surgeon, and against four other men who had served as "abakwetha" monitors in the botched circumcision process.

He named the surgeon and four monitors — known as "amakanaka".

He also asked police to open serious assault dockets against the men responsible for the deaths of the three young victims — Qekeza Mbaligontsi, Lublabo Gontsi and Sandle Bilj — had severe internal bleeding.

After the death of the first victim, Mbaligontsi, there was a public outcry against operations at the man's circumcision lodge.

The men in charge of the school, however, defied protests and insisted on the establishment of two other circumcision lodges elsewhere, Chief Nonkonvana claimed.

The actions of those responsible for the deaths of the three young initiates called for condemnation "in the strongest possible terms," he said.

"I have also instructed my councillors to summon the parents of the affected initiates to our tribal court and charge them with breaking the custom of the Bhala tribe.

The respondents must explain why they failed to obtain relevant authority before conducting the circumcision school.

"They should also prepare themselves for the painful consequences of their actions," he said.

He had also directed his tribal subjects to demolish the circumcision school lodges in which the three initiates lost their lives.

He claimed that the lodges had been built without prior approval from his traditional authority.

An advocate attached to the Umtata High Court, Chief Nonkonvana is the Eastern Cape regional president of the Congress of Traditional Leaders in South Africa (Contralesa).

He is also chairman of the Bishop-based House of Traditional Leaders where members of the forum have expressed strong views about circumcision schools conducted without strict adherence to traditional Xhosa norms and customs.
Surgeon held over death of initiates

KOKSTAD — Police yesterday arrested a traditional surgeon suspected of causing the deaths of five Xhosa circumcision initiates and injuring numerous others in the Lusikisiki district of the Eastern Cape, a spokesman said.

Captain Gugulethu Matha said a 37-year-old suspect was arrested on Monday night and was due to appear in court today.

Kokstad regional health director Chauke Tyekela said in a statement 62 initiates were admitted to St Elizabeth Hospital in Lusikisiki in the first two weeks of July.

Those who had died had suffered from severe infection, 11 had had their penises amputated and one had been transferred to Umtata Hospital with suspected brain complications. Eight initiates were still in hospital, he said.

A further 23 initiates were admitted to the Mary Theresa Hospital, in Mount Frere, and five to St Patrick’s Hospital, in Bizana.

Captain Tyekela said the infections in initiates could be attributed to the death of one of the traditional surgeons in the district who had been most involved in the circumcision rituals. — Sapa

Urban makhwetha dies after op goes wrong

By MTHOBELI MXOTWA

DUNCAN VILLAGE — A young Duncan Village man died at Frere Hospital after undergoing circumcision last month.

A hospital spokesman said Dr Walter Makonjwa, the young makhwetha was admitted to the hospital after the circumcision operation went wrong.

The sick makhwetha died over the weekend.

He said the initiate was from the Qwala family.

There were other sick bakhwethas at the hospital as well, he said.

Mr Makonjwa condemned the practice of using equipment which was not clean to circumcise initiates.

He said the blade and the bandages used should be sterile and clean.

An Mdantsane doctor last year suggested that whenever a makhwetha became sick at the circumcision school he should immediately be taken to hospital.

He blamed the numerous deaths at the circumcision school on the prolonged wait in the hospital after the circumcision operation went wrong.

Duncan Village and Mdantsane have been hit by a spate of circumcision deaths in recent years during the circumcision seasons of December and June.

However, circumcision deaths were unheard of in rural areas where the operation was conducted by experienced and proven ingcibi.

A makhwetha is looked after by a considerate and experienced elderly man in rural areas whereas in the townships young men fresh from circumcision school themselves take care of abakhwethas.

4 die after traditional circumcision

LUSIKISIKI — Four initiates have died after being circumcised and another 36 have been admitted to hospital with complications during the past week.

At least three of those in hospital have had their penises amputated.

The initiates come from 11 villages in the Lusikisiki and Flagstaff districts.

Health officials at St Elizabeth Hospital said the initiates were suffering from a number of complications, including septic wounds, dehydration, exposure and malnutrition.

Student activist dies in rite

EAST LONDON — A Butterworth Pan Africanist Student Organisation branch chairman, Mr Sabelo Somba, 18, has died from circumcision complications.

He will be buried at his home at Qombole, Keqani tomorrow.

The PAC spokesman in southern Transkei, Mr Waters Tobotl, said after complications set in, Mr Sabelo was sent to Frere Hospital, where he died.

Sabelo was a standard 9 pupil at Langathoja junior secondary school in Butterworth.
Lusikisiki initiates: plans to fly in docs under way

UMTATA — Arrangements are being made to fly in specialist surgeons to St Elizabeth Hospital in Lusikisiki from Cape Town to assist in performing operations on the remaining initiates who sustained injuries in a botched operation by a traditional "surgeon" two weeks ago, the hospital's reproductive health co-ordinator, Ms Lulama Ngomane, said yesterday.

Ms Ngomane said the surgeons will be flown in under the auspices of the South African Red Cross (SARC) in Cape Town.

The general manager of the SARC, Mr John Stone, confirmed from Cape Town that arrangements of that nature were under way.

He said he could not say at this stage when the doctors would be sent to Lusikisiki or how many.

Ms Ngomane said two of the initiates will require skin grafts, three others will have their genitalia amputated.

Two were doing well and one was still mentally deranged.

46 circumcision initiates now in EC hospitals

Daily Dispatch Reporters

EAST LONDON — Two more critically ill Xhosa initiates were admitted to hospitals in the northern region of the Eastern Cape on Monday, bringing to 46 the number admitted since the long weekend.

The two were admitted to the Ngamakwe community hospital.

Medical spokesmen said they expected the figure to rise even further before the end of the week.

All were the result of botched circumcisions, the traditional Xhosa rite of manhood.

Numbers of initiates have been admitted to various hospitals in the region and were still being treated yesterday, while some in less serious condition had been discharged.

Three initiatives admitted to Tafalofefe Hospital in Centani were in a critical but stable condition.

One of two initiates admitted to Cala Hospital at the weekend was reported to be in a serious condition.

Cofimvaba Hospital, said one of the initiates suffered from a psychological disturbance. The other initiates were admitted for botched surgeries that resulted in complications.

Two initiates would undergo skin grafts. There was no danger of the initiates having their genitals amputated, Mr Makhenjwa said.

The circumcision ritual occurs annually during school holidays to allow initiates to spend a few weeks in the bush.

Five initiates were admitted to Grey's Hospital in Queenstown for similar treatments.

A spokesman for the hospital, Dr Steve Malaoa, said two of the initiates had been in danger of having their penises amputated due to infection, but this had been avoided.

One of the initiates was mentally ill.

Dr Malaoa said most of the initiation problems were due to lack of care and ignorance by parents.

He said most traditional doctors circumcised initiates in the bush when they were drunk.

Septic complications led to urinary and kidney dysfunction because initiates had to spend a week or more without drinking water.

Dr Malaoa said in June and July this year, a number of initiates had their penises amputated.

"Once amputation takes place, manhood ceases to exist. The victim experiences psychological problems and extreme emotional depression which can lead to mental breakdown."

Three initiates were admitted to Cecilia Makakwane Hospital at the weekend, bringing the total to six. One was admitted to Bishop Hospital and was reported to be in a stable condition.
Good news for 26 initiates

By Stan Mzimba

LUSIKISIKI — Twenty-six of the 62 initiates who suffered serious injuries during a botched bush operation by a traditional doctor here last month were operated on by a team of doctors at St Elizabeth's Hospital here at the weekend.

The specialists were flown in from Cape Town. On Saturday, the team performed 22 operations.

By 9 am yesterday, the team, backed by local doctors, was back in the theatre to work on the four remaining initiatives.

Members of the media, wearing theatre gowns, shoes and caps, were allowed to move freely in and out of the theatres during operations.

During one of the breaks, Dr Engelbrecht said in his 22-year profession he had never worked on so many patients in such a short space of time.

He hoped a tragedy of this nature would not happen again.

“I do not say that people should not observe their circumcision tradition, but they must do it properly.”

The hospital's superintendent, Dr T.C. Thomas, said none of the initiates will lose his manhood, and all 26 patients who received plastic surgery at the weekend would take about three months to recover fully.

The specialists were flown in and funded by the South Africa Red Cross Air Ambulance Services, whose executive member, Mr John Stone, accompanied them to Lusikisiki.

Two other initiates died at St Elizabeth's Hospital shortly after their admission, and a third died at his home.

A 37-year-old bush doctor, Mr Siyavuya Mbalo, has since appeared in court charged with three counts of murder.

He was granted bail of R500, but opted to remain in custody for his safety.
ANC advocates initiates' rights

PIETERSBURG — Boys should have the right to decide if they want to be subjected to ritual circumcision, the ANC in Northern Province said yesterday.

A spokesman, Mr Ian Madikoto, urged traditional leaders to ensure the highest standards of hygiene were adhered to in ritual circumcisions.

He congratulated Premier Ngqoko Ramathodi and provincial Safety and Security MEC Seth Nthai on taking firm action against individuals "abducting and circumcising people against their will".

"We regard these practices as sheer barbarism," he said.

On behalf of the ANC he expressed condolences to the families of boys who died from infections caused by the rituals.

Our commissioner for traditional authorities, Mr Benny Boshelo, has held a number of meetings with chiefs and has urged them to ensure these rites are carried out in consultation with the Health Department to avoid the spread of dangerous diseases and deaths.

"We therefore urge all traditional leaders to ensure the highest standards are adhered to with regard to hygiene when initiates are circumcised." — Sapa

Boys kidnapped for circumcision

PIETERSBURG — Boys were forced to pay a fee of R50 or more, Mr Ramathodi said.

"The mother, the men nursing her son had only recently reported that he was progressing well adding that she was surprised to hear that he has escaped from the bhuma."

She alleged that those who operated on her son the second time had never sought permission from the parents.

The mother alleged the family was not considering taking any legal steps against the ingcibi because her son had forced them to circumcise him after threatening to commit suicide if they declined, she said.

She alleged that her son had been behaving strangely recently at the abakhwetha house.

"He was reported to have been swallowing poisonous substances including paraffin, she said.

Previously he had been diagnosed by traditional healers to be suffering from evil spirits.

The Zone 8 circumcision bungle has caused outrage among the community leaders.

Mr Philip Slottie of Zone 8 said he was deeply disturbed by the trauma to which the youngster had been subjected by his peers.

He called on the parents to take extra care about the safety of the children when undergoing circumcision.

Ostracising an initiate who had taken precautionary measures by seeking health service wasascalized for, he said.
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On behalf of the ANC he expressed condolences to the families of boys who died from infections caused by the rituals.

He congratulated Premier Ngqoko Ramathodi and provincial Safety and Security MEC Seth Nthai on taking firm action against individuals "abducting and circumcising people against their will".

"We regard these practices as sheer barbarism," he said.

On behalf of the ANC he expressed condolences to the families of boys who died from infections caused by the rituals.

Our commissioner for traditional authorities, Mr Benny Boshelo, has held a number of meetings with chiefs and has urged them to ensure these rites are carried out in consultation with the Health Department to avoid the spread of dangerous diseases and deaths.

"We therefore urge all traditional leaders to ensure the highest standards are adhered to with regard to hygiene when initiates are circumcised." — Sapa

Boys kidnapped for circumcision

PIETERSBURG — Boys were forced to pay a fee of R50 or more, Mr Ramathodi said.

"The mother, the men nursing her son had only recently reported that he was progressing well adding that she was surprised to hear that he has escaped from the bhuma."

She alleged that those who operated on her son the second time had never sought permission from the parents.

The mother alleged the family was not considering taking any legal steps against the ingcibi because her son had forced them to circumcise him after threatening to commit suicide if they declined, she said.

She alleged that her son had been behaving strangely recently at the abakhwetha house.

"He was reported to have been swallowing poisonous substances including paraffin, she said.

Previously he had been diagnosed by traditional healers to be suffering from evil spirits.

The Zone 8 circumcision bungle has caused outrage among the community leaders.

Mr Philip Slottie of Zone 8 said he was deeply disturbed by the trauma to which the youngster had been subjected by his peers.

He called on the parents to take extra care about the safety of the children when undergoing circumcision.

Ostracising an initiate who had taken precautionary measures by seeking health service wasascalized for, he said.

A civic leader, Mr Mzwandile Buzani, condemned the incident and called on the Zone 8 community to unite and prevent a repeat of the incident.

Those involved in these heinous deeds should be prosecuted, he added.

Mr Buzani said parents should put the safety of the children above traditional preferences.

It is believed that it is the first time in Mdantsane that a man has undergone traditional circumcision twice.
Initiates enrol without parents' consent

LUSIKISIKI — Prolonged teasing among schoolboys at various schools here over the question of circumcision, led a group of young men to enrol themselves as candidates for the “operation” without the knowledge of their parents during the recent winter holidays.

Their attempt to graduate from boyhood to manhood led to botched incidents which left four dead, and others with their manhood amputated.

Traditionally Pondo tribesmen do not recognise circumcision and it is thought the men in the area were enforcing their will not to allow boys to be circumcised.

In desperation, the initiates, mostly schoolboys between the ages of 16 and 21, managed to acquire money to pay the surgeon R25 per initiate. Police said that not a single initiate reported he would be going for circumcision they simply disappeared from their homes.

Health Care co-ordinator at the St Elizabeth Hospital, Ms Lulama Ngomane, confirmed that she defied all the traditional rules that prevent females visiting initiates at their camps.

“When I received a report about the crisis I asked the police to escort me to the camps. All I had in mind at the time was to save a life” she said.

She said in the four areas around Lusikisiki where the initiates went there were ten camps. Each camp, had on average between 12 and 13 initiates.

Ms Ngomane said 62 initiates had been taken by police vans to her hospital from the camps.

Of the group, 10 were transferred to Bambisana Hospital, 17 to Holy Cross Hospital, near Flagstaff, eight are still at St Elizabeth, 13 have been discharged, six absconded and four died.

She said of the youths at St Elizabeth, two will require a skin graft, four have had their genitals amputated, one is mentally unstable and four others were on the road to recovery.

— DDR
**Killing of 3 women linked to initiates**

**UMTATA** - Police have been told that the three women who were hacked to death near Libode last week were attacked after a Xhosa initiate, apparently suffering from hallucinations, complained of having bad dreams.

Thirty-seven youths were arrested after the women, Ms Mathunzi Tsipha, 55, Ms Maqoyi Madudini, 55, and Ms Madontsa Mkhutyukelwe, 55, were killed at Mahotyana last Monday night.

A police liaison officer, Inspector Willem Nkange, said it was alleged that, during his hallucinations, the initiate frequently referred to the women. Constable Mbongata said the youths allegedly held secret meetings where they discussed killing the women.

A large number of assegai-wielding youths moved from house to house and killed the women one by one.

The initiate who had been hallucinating was reportedly taken to an undisclosed place to be treated by a sangoma.

The arrested youths appeared in the Libode Magistrate’s Court and their case was postponed to August 30. — DDR

**Stabbing death at ritual**

**QUEENSTOWN** — A Whittlesea man will appear in the Whittlesea Magistrate’s Court today on a charge of murder following the death of a resident of the town at the weekend.

A police liaison officer here, Inspector Willem Kitching, said yesterday that Mbhebiso Mondraka, 37, was allegedly stabbed to death at a party during a circumcision ceremony. — DDR

**Initiate axed to death — arrest**

**QUEENSTOWN** — A 20-year-old man, Mr Ayanda Nai, was hacked to death with an axe during a circumcision ceremony at McKay’s Nek on Wednesday.

A Queenstown police liaison officer, Captain Thembilele Pakile, said a 20-year-old suspect was arrested on suspicion of murder and was to appear in the Lady Frere Magistrate’s Court today.

Meanwhile, at least five patients have been admitted to Frere Hospital in the past week after problems set in following circumcision. 15 DEC

**Police concerned over increase in circumcision killings**

**Daily Dispatch Reporter**

**QUEENSTOWN** — Police here have expressed concern at an increasing number of killings during circumcision ceremonies.

About 10 deaths at such ceremonies have been reported in the area during the past few months, a police liaison officer here, Captain Thembilele Pakile, said yesterday.

In separate incidents in November and December last year, Molosi Myoji, 14, and Sakumzi Mathsoha, 18, died while fighting at ceremonies in the Iduitywa and Nqamakwe districts.

Mr Mzwabantu Sokuphe was stabbed to death during a ceremony at Cegcuwana village in November, while Mr Mbhebiso Mondraka died from a stabbing at a ceremony in Whittlesea in December.

Capt Pakile appealed to the public to come forward with suggestions on how to stop the violence at the ceremonies.

He said community policing in the surrounding areas should be used to combat the incidents.

**Daily Dispatch Reporter**

**EAST LONDON** — A 20-year-old man, Mr Walter Makholo, who is also a Frere chief professional nurse, said two were from Butterworth and the other two were from Queenstown, Duncan Village and Indwe.

They were in a serious condition.

Mr Makholo said a patient sent earlier in the week from Indwe had absconded while his doctors were preparing to test his blood.

He appealed to parents and relatives to visit circumcision shelters regularly to ensure no health problems had arisen.

Communities should not delay in sending affected patients to hospital and should visit until their condition had improved.
CIRCUMCISION: SUCCESSFUL GLANULAR RECONSTRUCTION AND SURVIVAL FOLLOWING TRAUMATIC AMPUTATION

JOEL SHERMAN, JOSEPH G. BORER, MARK HOROWITZ AND KENNETH I. GLASSBERG
From the Department of Urology, State University of New York Health Science Center at Brooklyn, Brooklyn, New York

ABSTRACT

Purpose: Circumcision remains the most common operation performed on male individuals in the United States. Unfortunately various complications may occur during circumcision ranging from trivial to tragic. We report 7 cases of traumatic amputation of the glans penis and/or urethra during circumcision. In addition, errors in circumcision technique as probable mechanisms of injury, principles of repair and limits of tissue viability are discussed.

Materials and Methods: The medical records of 7 patients who underwent traumatic circumcision amputation of the glans penis and/or urethra were reviewed. Glanular amputation occurred in 6, 8-day-old neonates during ritual circumcision and in 1, 5-month-old infant circumcised by a physician.

Results: Excised glanular tissue remained viable up to 8 hours after injury. Follow-up ranged from 8.5 to 108 months. All patients had an acceptable cosmetic result. No long-term complications developed in the 8-day-old group but a distal urethral fistula formed in the 5-month-old patient.

Conclusions: Careful selection of technique and device as well as strict attention to detail at circumcision should eliminate most injuries. On the basis of our results we recommend reanastomosis of the glans and/or urethra following distal amputation even when there is a delay in surgical repair of up to 8 hours.

Key Words: penis, circumcision, wounds and injuries

Circumcision is one of the oldest and most common operations known to mankind. In 1987 the National Center of Health Statistics found that 61% of 1.19 million male individuals born in the United States were circumcised. Historically this operation has been traced to ancient Egypt with the finding of circumcised mummies in the tombs of Ankh-Mahor. Brise milah, a Jewish ritual circumcision, has been performed throughout the centuries by a medically and religiously trained specialist, the mohel. Maimonides reviewed the basic steps of excising the foreskin, tearing the mucosa and exposing the glans penis. This tradition marks a rite of passage into Judaic childhood.

Routine circumcision of the newborn carries a small risk of complication. Complications involving glanular amputations are rare. We report traumatic glans amputations with and without urethral amputations in 6, 8-day-old white neonates and 1, 5-month-old black infant during circumcision. In addition, the results of penile, glanular and urethral reconstruction are discussed.

CASE HISTORIES

Six 8-day-old white neonates and 1, 5-month-old black infant underwent circumcision. To our knowledge no patient had significant medical history and all had progressed through an uneventful term gestation without complications. The site and extent of injury, elapsed time from injury to repair and complications are shown for each patient in the table. Circumcision was performed freehand in patient 5, with the aid of a Mogen clamp (fig. 1, A) in patient 2 and with a protective shield (fig. 1, B) in the remainder. Glanular injuries ranged from partial amputation to nearly two-thirds glanular amputation with severe shaft degloving in patient 6 (fig. 2). In addition, 5 patients had distal urethral amputation. Figure 3 illustrates all injuries encountered.

In 6 of the 7 cases the amputated tissue was available at the time of repair, often received at the hospital in moist guaze. In patient 5, who was 5 months old at circumcision, the glans and distal urethra were excised and then reanastomosed by the referring surgeon. This patient was referred 2 days later when the draining urethral catheter migrated into the bladder, and at follow-up he was the only patient to have a urethral fistula. The site of the fistula was along the glans anastomatic suture line. In 1 patient the nearly amputated glans remained attached by a thin bridge of skin and was viable after reconstruction. Elapsed time from amputation to operative repair for the 7 patients ranged from immediate (within 30 minutes) to 8 hours (mean 4.6 hours).

All potentially viable amputated tissue was inspected in...
the exposed but uninjured urethra and meatus were covered with the excised tissue. In patient 3, in whom the excised glans was not available for reanastomosis, the sides of the wedge-like defect were reapprorimnated.

Six or 7-zero chromic catgut and polyglactin suture material was used in all repairs. Two to 4 sutures were used for urethral anastomosis. In addition, in patients with urethral injury and repair the urethra was stented with a silicone catheter or feeding tube. Stents were removed 3 to 5 days postoperatively. Postoperatively parenteral broad-spectrum antibiotics were given prophylactically. There were no wound infections. Followup ranged from 8.5 to 108 months (mean 41.6). Cosmetic results have been encouraging in all patients at short-term followup, including patient 8, who perhaps had the most severe injury (fig. 4). In patient 5, in whom the glans and urethra were reanastomosed by the referring surgeon, a glanular urethral fistula formed at the ventral glans repair site. In patient 7 the amputated ventral glans and skin covering the urethra were reanastomosed 8 hours after injury. Five days postoperatively the repaired area appeared devitalized. However, 2 weeks postoperatively the glans and penis were covered with healthy tissue.

DISCUSSION

Currently neonatal circumcision is performed in approximately 65% of all male infants in the United States. Circumcision is usually a safe and simple procedure with minimal associated morbidity when performed correctly. As with any surgical procedure, complications inevitably occur. The true incidence of complications following routine neonatal circumcision is unknown, primarily due to small insignificant iatrogenic injuries that are managed by basic medical first aid treatment and wound pressure, and that rarely require a suture. Gee and Ansell, and Harkavy reported neonatal circumcision complication rates of between 0.2 and 0.6%. However, this rate has been shown to increase with the performance of circumcision later in childhood. The most common complication of circumcision is bleeding, which has a reported incidence of between 0.1 and 35%. Most of these episodes are controlled with the use of wound pressure. Inherently successful circumcision requires that an adequate amount of preputial tissue be excised. Errors in the amount or proper angling of the area of excision occasionally occur. However, partial penile amputation during circumcision is rare. Historically the first genital reconstruction involving an extreme case of complete penile amputation and replantation was reported in 1926. Accidental, iatrogenic and self-inflicted amputations of the male genitalia have

Fig. 1. A, Megan clamp. B, shield

Fig. 2. Patient 6. Nearly two-thirds glanular amputation with shaft degloving. Note catheter passed through distal urethra.

traoperatively and irrigated with a copious amount of normal saline. Occasionally minimal debridement was necessary before repair. The penile shaft, glans and urethra were carefully inspected for potential nonviable or devascularized regions. In the patients with more extensive injuries a pediatric feeding tube was passed via the urethra to aid in identification and repair of suspected urethral injury. Surgical repair in 6 of the 7 cases involved simple primary anastomosis of the amputated glans, urethral reanastomosis was required in 5 of the 7 cases. In patient 7 with amputation of the ventral glans with the distal two-thirds of ventral penile shaft skin

Fig. 3. Amputation injuries. Numbers and dotted lines represent patient and surrounding wounds.
when previously described in the literature. In the past attempts at reconstruction and replantation were discouraged due to poor surgical outcome after restoration efforts. Viability of the amputated organ or tissue was directly related to the lapsed time between injury and repair, availability of microvascular surgery and extent of injury. The anastomotic and retrograde dual blood supply to the glans penis and corpora cavernosa, supplied by the dorsal and urethral arterios, provides an excellent source of vascularity to our imposed graft or flap. Standard plastic surgical reconstructive principles with intraoperative debridement of nonviable tissue and meticulous reapproximation of traumatized tissue edges were performed in all patients.

The method of ritual circumcision varies depending on the religious customs observed by the mohel and family. The foreskin is freed and advanced beyond the glans. At this point the mohel may use a Mogen clamp or protective shield usually placed at an angle parallel to the corona, that is at an oblique orientation lying more proximal on the dorsum than ventrum. The foreskin is pulled through the open clamp or shield (fig. 5). When the Mogen clamp is used, it is closed to crush the tissue for hemostasis, and the foreskin is then excised with a scalpel, just distal to the clamp (fig. 1, A). Some traditional mohels believe that crushing the skin does not follow rigorous dictates and instead they excise the skin on the distal side of the shield or excise the foreskin without a shield. They compress the foreskin between the fingers just beyond the tip of the glans to protect the glans, and then excise the foreskin. If the ventral foreskin is advanced too far distally, it may pull on the frenulum, which in turn will bring the urethral meatus and ventral glans forward as well. When this happens, a portion of the glans may be excised with the ventral penile and preputial skin attached to it. This is the proposed mechanism of injury for the majority of our patients. Repair of more severe injuries requires reanastomosis of the glans and frenulum, and sometimes the urethra.

According to the medical history, in patient 1 the foreskin was excised with the protective shield placed at an angle almost perpendicular to the corona. The ventral glans was amputated with a significant amount of ventral shaft skin, exposing an intact urethra. A patch of excised skin and the ventral glans were reanastomosed 8 hours after injury. Five days postoperatively eschar formation was visible at the site of repair. However, at further followup normal tissue had grown, and the urethra was completely intact and covered. Perhaps the reanastomosed tissue that appeared nonviable at initial followup provided a scaffold for normal tissue healing. Based on our experience we recommend reanastomosis of excised penile tissue when available even up to 8 hours after circumcision injury.

Ann Eriksen provided computer graphics.
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