Advertise with us Subscribe Where to buy About us

the COPENHAGEN post

Search

Login | Register

News -

Opinion •

Business

InOut •

Sport -

Culture -

Classifieds -

Special publications

The Postedition

Opinion

Circumcision divide between Denmark and Israel

Denmark may soon be the first country in the world to issue a ban on non-therapeutic circumcision of boys. Meanwhile, Israel tries hard to block changes that are broadly perceived in Europe as a clear step forward in children's human rights.



A new resolution on circumcision will be discussed at the Council of Europe on Monday (Photo: Colourbox)

January 24, 2014 10:34

by Morten Frisch



In two fresh statements, Danish doctors express deep concern over ritual circumcision of boys. The Danish Society of Family Physicians, whose 3,000 members include two thirds of all general practitioners in Denmark, announced in December that circumcision of underage boys with no proper medical indication is nothing short of mutilation. In a separate statement, the overarching Danish Medical Association recommended earlier this week that non-therapeutic male circumcision should wait until the boy or young man is old enough to provide informed consent. An editorial in Jyllands-Posten, the second largest national newspaper in Denmark, urged the Danish government yesterday to ban ritual circumcision of underage boys, and a result poll among readers of BT, another large national newspaper, showed that 87 percent of well over 26,000 votes were in favour of such a ban.

Latest News

Diplomatic corps meets Eurovision choir Part 2

Deep Focus | The best face wins, but do we?

Even China had a Eurovision party

Providing kids with an alternative to life on the streets...

The two British-Danes emerging on the rap scene

That time in our lives when everything is wrong

Mr & Ms Fruitness, coming to a grocery store near you

Ministry launches competition to curb bicycle theft

Latest Comments

Cranston's character is named Joe; however, his son is named Ford Brody. Sam... (Heather Alexander on May 17, 2014 03:13)

Here is my idea... Border Checkpoints. : D (Alex DeGre?t on May 17, 2014 01:30)

Al Quaeda training facility in Ishøj... Now I have seen it all.
(Alex DeGre?t on May 17, 2014 01:19)

I have found gold coin too.. (Merbis Cuenca on May 17, 2014 00:37)

(November 30, -1 00:00)

EU idiots on the way to hell... (Ma?gorzata Paprocka on May 16, 2014 20:38)

Religion is a very personal topic in Scandinavia, and if you happen to be... (Line Dalsgaard on May 16, 2014 20:21) Not surprisingly, Israel has a quite different view on this matter. In December 2013, an Israeli delegation of Knesset politicians travelled to Paris in an attempt to overturn a visionary, human rights-based resolution that was passed by a comfortable majority of the Parliamentary Assembly of the Council of Europe (PACE) on 1 October 2013. The PACE resolution 1952 recommends that member states start moving towards abolishing all kinds of physical assaults on children, including non-therapeutic circumcision of boys and girls. The Council of Europe is the continent's leading human rights organization with a current total of 47 member states, each of which has signed the European Convention of Human Rights. Israel is neither a member, nor has it signed the European Convention of Human Rights but, since 1957, Israel has held observer status in the Council of Europe.

In the Israeli media, readers have repeatedly been told that the widely-held European stance against ritual circumcision is rooted partly in anti-Semitism, and partly in fear of an expanding Muslim population in Europe. Such anti-religious rhetoric is unjustified. The vast majority of Europe's opponents of ritual circumcision are religiously tolerant, but consider cutting off an important part of a non-consenting, healthy child's genitals to be contrary to modern ethics. This view was clearly expressed in September 2013 in a common statement of the ombudsmen and spokespersons for children in Norway, Sweden, Finland, Iceland, Greenland and Denmark. To most Europeans circumcision is an ethically problematic ritual that is intrinsically harmful to children: every child has the right to protection of his or her bodily integrity and the right to explore and enjoy his or her undiminished sexual capacity later in life.

Infant circumcision's negative long-term impact on human sexuality has been recognized by Jewish authorities for ages. Scholars like Philo of Alexandria (appr. 20 BC - 50 AD) and Moses Maimonides (1135-1204) knew well that reduced penile sensitivity was not an unfortunate side effect of the *brit milah*; rather it was part of the point: to diminish the <u>animalistic sexuality</u> of men. It takes more than a strongly media-promoted literature <u>review</u> by the world's leading circumcision advocate, Brian Morris of Sydney University, to eradicate the bulk of scientific evidence and several thousands of years of knowledge. Circumcision ablates the most sensitive part of a boy's penis and thereby diminishes his sexual sensitivity for the rest of his

UK, I am horrified at the... (Adrian Johnson on May 16, 2014 18:55)

This treatment is not available in the eu republic of Cyprus but in the... (Andy Georgiou on May 16, 2014 18:46)

We have a saying in America: If you cannot do the time, do not do the... (May 16, 2014 17:49)

Focus On

The Copenhagen Post weekly edition archive



Istedgade makeover casts red light district in a new light



New rules drive up the cost of love



A green card to a grey zone



Welcome to the United States of Scandinavia

<u>life</u>. In October 2013, the Nordic Association of Clinical Sexologists stated that the bodily injury associated with circumcision is a violation of the boy's <u>sexual autonomy</u>.

The head of the Israeli circumcision delegation, <u>Reuven Rivlin</u> (Likud Beytenu), has repeatedly referred to a series of highly questionable benefits from circumcision. His source of information is a 2012 policy paper on the topic by the <u>American Academy of Pediatrics</u>. However,

Rivlin has unfailingly forgotten to inform readers that this policy paper has been seriously criticized for cultural bias by pediatric societies, general medical associations and 38 medical professors, doctors and researchers from 17 countries across Europe and Canada. Unlike their US colleagues, European doctors do not accept the postulated health benefits of circumcision as being well-documented. including the claimed reduction in risks of urinary tract infections, sexually transmitted infections, HIV/AIDS and penile cancer. And, importantly, even if the claims were valid, they would still not constitute a compelling argument for circumcising boys before an age at which they can make the decision themselves. Moreover, while parents are generally told that circumcision is a safe and painless procedure, the truth is that no available local anesthetic is able to provide pain relief, but only some level of pain reduction, and even the most efficient method has a failure rate of six to eight percent. Additionally, a non-trivial proportion of boys – five percent according to a recent Danish study - will experience significant procedural or post-operative complications, even when the operation is carried out by experienced pediatric surgeons in a university hospital. In rare instances, complications can be fatal. This little-heard of, but wellknown fact is the humane Talmudic reason for permitting Jewish parents to forgo circumcision of their son if two older brothers died as a result of the procedure.

On January 27, a motion for a new resolution, conceived by the Knesset's circumcision delegation to marginalize the recently passed PACE resolution, will be discussed in the Bureau of the Council of Europe. If successful, it will lead to a new debate and a new vote in the Parliamentary Assembly during the spring of 2014. Hopefully, the Bureau of the Council of Europe will have the resolve to stand up for children's rights, despite this pressure.

Although religious proponents of circumcision often claim otherwise, the growing European opposition to ritual circumcision of boys is by no means a movement against Judaism, Islam or religiosity in general. It reflects instead an increasing awareness that children are humans, and that all humans have inalienable rights from day one, a view that is supported by a growing number of Jews in Israel, the US and elsewhere around the world. As pointed out in a recent article by Jewish scientist and blogger Rebecca Steinfeld, under Jewish law a boy with a Jewish



Dr Morten Frisch

mother is a Jew, whether he is circumcised or not: circumcision does not confer Jewish status.

Today's and tomorrow's children should be protected against irreversible and medically unnecessary alterations of their bodies. When they reach the age of majority (typically 18 years), they can freely choose to undergo any ritual surgery they might want. Hopefully, 2014 will be the turning year when politicians across Europe will agree with their doctors, ombudsmen for children, sexologists, and human rights advocates that religious arguments must never trump the protection of children's basic human rights. To cut off functional, healthy parts of other people's bodies without their explicit and well-informed consent can never be anybody's right – religious or otherwise.

The author is a doctor and independent researcher at Statens Serum Institut in Copenhagen, Denmark, and an adjunct professor of sexual health epidemiology at the Center for Sexology Research at Aalborg University. Views expressed in this article are those of the author, not of the author's institutions or The Copenhagen Post.

Related stories

Circumcision ban not necessary, board of health finds

Still Adjusting | What I learned over summer holiday

Possible circumcision ban sparks religious backlash

Why Denmark must carry the courage of its convictions on circumcision

Government gives go ahead for circumcision

Circumcision: the long and the short of it