Complications in Male Circumcision

MOHAMED A BAKY FAHMY
Complications in Male Circumcision
List of Contributors

Ahmad R. Abdel-Aal, MS
Role of Hyperbaric Oxygen Therapy in MC Complications
Surgery Department
Assuit University
Assuit, Egypt

Jonathan A. Allan, PhD
Canada Research Chair in Queer Theory
Faculty of Arts
Brandon University
Brandon, Manitoba, Canada

Anthony Emmanuel, BSc (Hons), DipRD, MD (Res), MRCS (Eng)
Urology Registrar
Solihull Hospital
Solihull, United Kingdom

Mohamed A Baky Fahmy, MD, FRCS
Professor
Pediatric Surgery
Al Azher University
Cairo, Egypt

Atieno Kili K’Odhiambo, PhD
Senior Lecturer
Department of Educational Foundations
University of Nairobi
Nairobi, Kenya

Nick Watkin, MA, MChir, FRCS (Urol)
Consultant Urologist and Professor in Urology
St George’s University Hospitals
London, United Kingdom
Preface

Circumcision remains as one of the most controversial topics in current medical practice. The most important argument against circumcision is the permanent change of anatomy, histology and function of the penis, with potential complications, which were reported to be low in developed countries, whereas the rate of complication may be up to 45% when circumcision is carried out by traditional circumcisers rather than by medically trained professionals in developing countries. In some studies reporting the complications of circumcision, primary haemorrhage was the most common (52%) complication, whereas infection, meatal stenosis, incomplete circumcision, penile oedema, glanular injury, penile adhesions, iatrogenic hypospadias and urethral injuries were also detected at different rates.

There are minor complications after circumcision that cannot be avoided even when the procedure is undertaken by specialized paediatric surgeons or urologists in properly equipped centres, especially if the child or his penis is congenitally abnormal, for example, circumcising a child with excessive suprapubic fat or a child with webbed penis or microphallus.

After practicing circumcision and managing other surgeons complications in thousands of boys for 35 years in a country like Egypt (with about 90% circumcision rate), I found parents had a great urge to do this surgery even for a handicapped or critically ill child, with a possibility for higher rate of complications. So the best way to minimize complications of male circumcision (MC) and to compete against its serious effects on male health is to standardize the MC procedure and to educate both families and physicians about the potential complications and how they could manage it early and promptly.

The spectrum of post-MC complications is so wide to be discussed, so we will just focus on both the common and the uncommon complications that usually raise a debate about their management. There are different ways to classify MC complications: early or late, minor or major, local or systemic and rare or common.
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# Abbreviations and Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AAP</td>
<td>American Academy of Pediatrics</td>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>Human immunodeficiency virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIDS</td>
<td>Acquired immunodeficiency syndrome</td>
<td>HPV</td>
<td>Human papilloma virus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAT</td>
<td>Alanine aminotransferase</td>
<td>MC</td>
<td>Male circumcision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BXO</td>
<td>Balanitis xerotica obliterans</td>
<td>NIS</td>
<td>Nationwide Inpatient Sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC</td>
<td>Centers for Disease Control and</td>
<td>STI</td>
<td>Sexually transmitted infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prevention</td>
<td>UNAIDS</td>
<td>Joint United Nations Programme on</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HIV/AIDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CI</td>
<td>Confidence interval</td>
<td>VMMC</td>
<td>Voluntary medical male circumcision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMLA</td>
<td>Eutectic Mixture of Local Anaesthetics</td>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBO</td>
<td>Hyperbaric oxygen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HBV</td>
<td>Hepatitis B virus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV</td>
<td>Hepatitis C virus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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CHAPTER 10

Nonaesthetic Circumcision Scarring

MOHAMED A BAKY FAHMY, MD, FRCS

ABSTRACT

Circumcision may be undertaken as a body modification of the genitals to change the look of the penis to appeal more to certain aesthetics, but sometimes it may leave a permanent change of the natal characteristics of a body part, which will ever be subject to dispute, particularly from the cosmetic point of view. Many complications may result after nonaesthetic preputial cutting or the unhealthy healing of the circumcision wound. These complications usually manifest late, weeks or months after the procedure, and result in early family dissatisfaction and later on have a psychic impact on a man’s satisfaction with his penis and may lead to loss of self-esteem.
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Circumcision may be undertaken as a body modification of the genitals to change the look of the penis to appeal more to certain aesthetics, but sometimes it may leave a permanent change of the natal characteristics of a body part, which will ever be subject to dispute, particularly from the cosmetic point of view. Many complications may result after nonaesthetic preputial cutting or the unhealthy healing of the circumcision wound. These complications usually manifest late, weeks or months after the procedure, and result in early family dissatisfaction and later on have a psychic impact on a man’s satisfaction with his penis and may lead to loss of self-esteem.

Complications of excessive or improper tissue scarring after male circumcision (MC) have a wide spectrum of presentations, not only including aesthetic problems, but also a functional drawbacks could also result. These complications have variable incidence and are not commonly reported, and they are difficult to classify, as many categories overlap each other or occur in sequence, for example, incomplete MC may result in cicatrical phimosis and excess inner preputial layer which may result in a keloid scar. Some complications may be termed as incomplete circumcision by some surgeons, but it is called untidy circumcision by others.

There are no definite universal criteria for the ideal circumcision scar, and also circumcision is not performed in the same manner in different communities. A ‘normal’ looking circumcised penis in a country may seem ugly and unacceptable by people from other parts of the world.

Generally, the circumcision line should be close to the glans as possible, limiting the width of inner prepuce up to 5–6 mm in newborns and 7–8 mm in older boys; this not only helps give an acceptable look to the penis but also prevents the so-called ‘entrapped penis’ by making it impossible for the circumcision line to move distal to the glans and retract proximally easily1 (Fig. 10.1).

Post-MC aesthetic complications are mostly iatrogenic and imminent, and certain factors may be considered as leading to poor cosmesis, such as

- Impertinent tissue handling.
- Insufficient haemostasis.
- Using thick heavy sutures with long absorption time.
- Failing to recognize anatomic diversities or abnormalities.
- Excessive resection of prepuce.
- Too tight dressing.

There is no study documenting the penile appearance beyond the first year of life in the circumcised population, but it is estimated that at least 2.8% of parents will complain of the cosmetic appearance.1

We will discuss nonaesthetic circumcision scarring complications under the following headings:

- Untidy circumcision
- Penile adhesions
- Skin bridge
- Incomplete circumcision
- Post-MC concealed penis (CP)
- Phimosis
- Paraphimosis
- Keloid and hypertrophic scar
• Suture marks
• Post-MC smegma collections
• Post-circumcision lymphoedema

UNTIDY CIRCUMCISION (UGLY CIRCUMCISION SCAR)

Circumcision is a procedure that will alter the entire anatomy and the look of the penis, which itself carries a countless variations among populations, so it is extremely difficult to standardize the shape and appearance of the penis after this operation. Different studies concluded with a diverse opinion about the aesthetic look of the penis after MC.¹

Cosmetic results were compared and rated by the Patient and Observer Scar Assessment Scale (POSAS)² as the following:
Good: Linear scar with minimal or no puckering.
Average: Linear scar with puckering of the surrounding skin without depression.
Poor: Severe puckering and depressed irregular scar.

Fig. 10.1 represents my own opinion about the desirable scar after circumcision by dissection method, with a thin circular scar around the coronal sulcus with a narrow rim of inner prepuce left, a preserved frenulum and adequate penile skin to allow, later on, the erected penis to stretch smoothly.

Irregularity of the healed wound after MC, which may result in an untidy scar, is usually due to heavy suturing, post-MC infection, haematoma formation or leaving the compression bandage for a longer time after circumcision. Such cases are different from cases of incomplete circumcision, which had a normal scar edge but excess amount of residual prepuce, and also cases of localized skin bridges.³

Excessive scarring at the circumcision edge may be due to uneven incision lines, which usually occur in guillotine method and free-handed sleeve circumcision by unexperienced surgeons. Such cases may deserve correction and proper reconstruction under general anaesthesia by a reconstructive surgeon with a good experience in penile surgery, as any attempt to repair such cases early by an inexperienced surgeon may result
in more skin loss, penile concealment and deformities (Fig. 10.2).

Unequally inner or outer preputial cutting may result in excess skin or mucous membrane in one side of the healed scar. This may be encountered in either free-handed or guillotine method, but uncommon with the use of the Gomco and Plastibell methods (Figs. 10.3 and 10.4).

Bad mucosal healing, irregular circumcision scar and suture sinus tracts result collectively in a bad cosmesis of the scarring around the corneal sulcus (Fig. 10.5).

Glans injury or cauterization by diathermy during MC, or a post-circumcision infection, may result in isolated scarring and disfigurement of the glans penis, and such cases are extremely difficult to repair (Fig. 10.6).

The whole concept of an aesthetic prepuce will be discussed in Chapter 11.

**PENILE ADHESIONS**

Penile adhesion is a broad term for different pathologic condition. The most common one is the localized form of skin bridge. Penile adhesions are a relatively common complication of circumcision, especially at neonatal age, and are the primary reasons for reoperation in the late postoperative period.

**Predisposing Factors**

Adhesions are more likely in children with an increased weight for length percentile, in children with a large suprapubic fat pad with abnormal dartos attachments to the skin and in cases of pre-existing penoscrotal webbing or ventral penile skin deficiency. Adhesions are also common in neonatal MC, as the inner prepuce
is physiologically adherent to the glans, and any forcible attempt to separate it will result in a denuded glandular surface, which will easily heal with fibrous scarring with the surrounding penile skin. Different forms of penile adhesions may follow post-MC infectious complications, especially bacterial balanitis; also, balanitis xerotica obliterans (BXO) cases after MC may be associated or complicated with severe penile adhesions, especially if the circumcision wound is untidy (Fig. 10.7).

Adhesions could be seen at different levels and between different parts of the penis:

- Adhesions between excess remnants of mucous membrane (inner preputial layer) and the glans penis, which may be partial or complete forming a ring around the glans (Fig. 10.8).
- Complete adhesions of the redundant cut edges of the prepuce with the raw surface of the glans, which may eventually lead to cicatricial phimosis (Fig. 10.9).
- Adhesions between a localized raw surface of the glans and penile skin forming different forms of skin bridging between the penile shaft and the glans penis, crossing the coronal sulcus (Fig. 10.10).

All these adhesions of the mucosal collar to the glans are avoidable by gentle preputial retraction, meticulous tissue handling and use of barrier ointments in the early post-operative period.

**FIG. 10.3** Unequal scar with excess outer and inner preputial layers at the left side.
SKIN BRIDGES

Sometimes a localized area of adhesion results in the formation of well-formed excess skin bridges between the skin of the penile shaft and the glans penis (Fig. 10.10). These epithelialized adhesions can lead to penile chordee, torsion, and later on, it may result in a painful erection due to tethering of the erected penis. Skin bridges in the ventral penile surface are usually more symptomatic than the dorsal and lateral ones. The abnormal scarring will also make the circumcised penis looks ugly with an obvious disfigurement (Fig. 10.11).

Smegma often accumulates under those skin bridges, and it may form a well-capsulated cyst (Fig. 10.12).

Skin bridges could be seen as a single area of wedge like skin creeping over the glans with different sizes at one side, or multiple scars of different shapes around the glans (Figs. 10.13 and 10.14).

Excess redundant skin after circumcision, physiologic retraction of the penis due to a suprapubic fat pad and diaper irritation of the penis may be predisposing factors.

Incidence

How such this problems arise is not completely clear, as true incidence is difficult to estimate. But some authors reported that skin bridges accounted for nearly 30% of the late complications. The rate of complications usually decreases with age, owing to the epithelial separation of the adhesions (71% of infants, 28% of 1–5 year old children, 8% of 1–9 year old children and 2% of children older than 9 years). 4
FIG. 10.5 Prominent stitch marks along the scar of circumcision.

FIG. 10.6 Multiple glandular injuries leading to disfigurement.
**Treatment**

This complication could be avoided by completely freeing the inner preputial layer from the glans at the time of circumcision; also, if any glanular abrasions, injury or ulcer is detected during MC, it should be dressed and managed properly until complete healing to avoid the natural cohesion between the denuded area of the glans and the penile skin. Use of low-dose corticosteroids has been relatively unsuccessful in lysing these well-formed adhesions. The adhesions can be excised in the office with the application of local anaesthesia or in the operating room with the use of general or regional anaesthesia by suturing the glandular and shaft defects with fine absorbable sutures (Fig. 10.15).

In my opinion, routine suturing of both preputial layers with fine stitches either continuously or with interruptions, even in neonatal MC, will protect the healing incision from such complications.

**INCOMPLETE CIRCUMCISION**

Nomenclature: Residual prepuce, inadequate circumcision or excess foreskin.

The high degree of variability in the appearance of penis after MC could not be related to the technique
used or to the physician using it. When operating on an infantile penis, the surgeon cannot adequately judge the appropriate amount of tissue to remove because the penis will change considerably as the child ages, such that a small difference at the time of surgery may translate into a large difference in the adult circumcised penis. Any one dealing with penile anomalies can recognize the diversity and wide variation in the normal anatomy of different penile structures because many neonates may have a very long prepuce, which is called 'akroposthia', and some may have a deficient prepuce, with an exposed distal glans without preputial retraction, so the amount of prepuce to be removed in MC should be tailored for each baby according to the length of his prepuce. This is extremely difficult to achieve in mass circumcision or even in a hospital with a high

**FIG. 10.8** Extensive penile adhesion between the circumcision scar and the glans, forming a ring around the glans, with marked disfigurement.
number of cases. To date, there have been no published studies showing the ability of a circumciser to predict the later appearance of the penis.\textsuperscript{3}

According to the previous studies, between 1\% and 9.5\% of boys circumcised at birth will have the procedure revised or redone and 2.8\% of parents will complain of the cosmetic appearance.\textsuperscript{3}

Leaving a short inner prepuce is achievable in open sleeve and clamp techniques (Gomco and others), but it is not possible in the traditional guillotine-type circumcision, which leaves a very long inner prepuce with a circumcision line placed in almost the middle of the penile shaft (Fig. 10.16). Unfortunately, this is still the most common technique performed by nonmedical personnel in large parts of the world.

The inner foreskin and outer foreskin are a separate entities, and not the opposite sides of a single layer of tissue. They are not attached to each other and in consequence are mobile with respect to each other. Thus it is possible to remove unequal amounts of the two layers. Understanding this point is crucial for recognition of a different circumcision styles.

If we can exclude other complications, the penile looks after different techniques of MC may be one of these two common styles:
- MC style that retained the inner foreskin (the 'high' style). The circumcision scar line of a man with the high style will be partway up his penis (Fig. 10.16). If the scar is moving freely without tightness, it is called high loose, otherwise it is a high tight one. Of course,
these cases should be differentiated from cases of severe skin loss, which may heal with intense fibrosis.

- MC style that removed the inner foreskin (the ‘low’ style): The circumcision scar line of a man with the low style will be close to the rim of his glans (Fig. 10.17). If the scar ring is tight, it may be problematic and may be considered as a concealed penis (CP) or even an acquired phimosis. A loose scar is an acceptable form, but it may be an indication for MC redo according to some parents’ wishes.

So the circumcised scar could be classified as

- High loose
- High tight
- Low loose
- Low tight

The amount of penile skin excised can also lead to many other complications, as insufficient or asymmetric prepuce excision can result in a cosmetic and social dilemma for the parents and the child, especially when the child gets older (Fig. 10.2).

A circumcision that is too loose may not leave the glans completely uncovered but it will, in other words, be a partial circumcision, and this is not in itself a problem but it may not meet parental or religious expectations. However, there is one important exception, if the scar can mobilize in front of the corona then it will shrink and create secondary phimosis, which requires recircumcision. If a partial circumcision is deliberately chosen then the best approach is to remove the inner foreskin completely, so that the scar will be in the sulcus. At puberty the penis will usually outgrow the skin and leave the glans exposed, as the degree of skin covering the glans after neonatal circumcision peaks at 6 months of age.
Management

Unlike neonatal circumcision, circumcision revision requires general anaesthesia, for which several techniques have been described. Excessive skin excision can result in penile chordee, torsion and lateral deviation. These conditions, if necessary to repair, may require penile skin flaps or Z-plasty for closure.

Excessive skin removal can also result in a trapped penis from a cicatricial scar. The trapped penis can be managed with betamethasone conservatively, vertical relaxation incision and then a formal repair. The use of 0.05% betamethasone in conjunction with manual retraction in children with a trapped penis due to a dense cicatrix of the residual foreskin distal to the glans has a 79% success in softening the cicatrix with easy exposure of the glans or mild persistence of the cicatrix amendable to vertical relaxation incision.5

While many people favour retaining a lot of inner foreskin, this can sometimes cause problems. The inner skin is very thin and stretchable, and if there happens to be a lot of postoperative swelling, it can permanently stretch the skin, leaving it loose and puffy. This has no effect on penile function, but it can appear unsightly (Fig. 10.18).

If the physician succeeds to convince the parents (or sometimes the circumcised adult) not to revise the circumcision in cases of low or incomplete MC, special attention should be paid to the retained part of the prepuce. Generally, the circumcised penis requires more care than the intact penis, especially during the first

FIG. 10.11 A ventral skin bridge results in penile curvature during erection.
3 years of life; any skin covering the glans in circumcised boys should be retracted and cleaned to prevent adhesions and debris accumulation.

In contrast to the general belief that smegma is not present, or at least not accumulated, in circumcised boys, we encountered many circumcised babies with the same smegma accumulation and configuration as in the intact ones, especially in those children with low loose type of circumcision. So all the adverse effects of smegma will be seen in an adult with a retained long prepuce after circumcision (Fig. 10.19).

**POST-MALE CIRCUMCISION CONCEALED PENIS**

Generally, CP refers to an anomaly such that the penis appears to be short, even though its length is normal. CP may be divided into three groups according to the Maizels classification, which is based on the aetiology/mechanism: buried penis, webbed penis and trapped penis.

One or more mechanisms may contribute to concealment in each case:

- Buried penis describes a condition in which a penis remains under the level of pubic skin because of the excessive suprapubic fat or the loose attachment of penile skin to the dartos.
- Webbed penis is a condition in which there is extra skin between the scrotal raphe and distal penis, obscuring the penoscrotal angle.
- Trapped penis refers to a condition in which a normal penis is depressed under the skin following a surgical procedure, generally circumcision, and looks concealed, and this type is our main concern herein (Fig. 10.20).

Williams et al. reported a rate of 9% CP among those applying for routine circumcision. The same study reported a 63% incidence of CP among those applying...
for circumcision revision (26% trapped penis and 37% insufficient circumcision). It is possible that one may refrain from excising sufficient prepuce in order to avoid a more complicated picture in a case with partial CP and as a result insufficient circumcision may take place. In a baby with CP, generous excision of the penile skin in an effort to make the penis visible usually leads to a crippled problem of trapped penis, with almost no local penile skin surrounding the penis, which will require flaps or grafts for correction.

This complication is commonly seen in overweight children or in those with extensive suprapubic fat and is expected to associate cases of microphallus and webbed penis.

Post-MC CPs could be classified into complete and partial concealment.

Complete
This is commonly seen in neonatal circumcision in which the penis is completely hidden and covered by either the scarred penile skin or the scarred preputial remnants (Fig. 10.20).

Partial
In this condition, the glans penis is visible but the penile shaft is partially covered by the scarred skin, and this is usually seen in older children (Fig. 10.21).
Penile entrapment by the circumcision scar may be complicated by an ascending urinary tract infection (UTI), balanitis and may lead to a cicatricial phimosis.

In children with a secondary CP, but without phimosis, observation may be an option, as the cosmetic appearance tends to improve with age and surgery should be delayed until the child is at least 3 years of age. Borsellino et al. reported that a staged revision surgery was required in a majority of their cases because the penile shaft skin was also excised along with the prepuce.

**POST-MALE CIRCUMCISION PHIMOSIS**

Nomenclature: Cicatricial phimosis, acquired phimosis, preputial stenosis.

Post-MC phimosis is a sort of penile adhesion, with extensive scarring distal to the urinary meatus, covering the glans penis completely with inability to retract the preputial remnants proximally (Fig. 10.22).

When operating on the infantile penis, the surgeon cannot adequately judge the appropriate amount of tissue to remove because the penis will change considerably as the child ages, such that a small difference at
FIG. 10.15 Surgically excised skin bridges, and fine stitching of the preputial and glandular defects.

FIG. 10.16 High loose male circumcision with excess inner prepuce.
the time of surgery may translate into a large difference in the adult circumcised penis. Phimosis with a trapped penis is an infrequent but important complication of circumcision. This condition is more likely to occur in older infants and those with poor attachment of the penile skin to the shaft.

Incidences of 0.32%, 0.4% and 1% have been reported for preputial stenosis resulting from neonatal circumcision. Although the exact incidence of preputial stenosis (phimosis) in boys with intact penis is unknown, it is most likely between 0.9% and 1.9%. Penile inflammation (balanitis) may be more common in circumcised boys with preputial stenosis than in uncircumcised children with phimosis. The common finding of subpreputial debris in circumcised infants may reflect inadequate hygiene; these debris usually consisted of lint, dirt, talcum powder, stool and detritus. The association between subpreputial debris
FIG. 10.18  Incomplete male circumcision, with a long inner prepuce, looks puffy and inflamed.

FIG. 10.19  A smegma collection with dirt in a circumcised boy.
and coronal adhesions implicates poor hygiene as a possible cause. In the normal penis, muscle fibres are arranged in a whorl to form a sphincter that keeps unwanted contaminants out. Urine swirling under the prepuce in a normal infant before expulsion flushes any contaminants from the subpreputial space and may explain the paucity of findings in this population. Subpreputial debris may have been under-reported in young boys with intact penis because forcible retraction of the foreskin, which is a harmful practice, was not frequently performed. Difficult micturition is a common symptom, and UTI and even urinary retention may complicate the case (Fig. 10.23).

**Treatment**

Unlike the treatment of primary phimosis, application of local corticosteroid cream does not cause separation of secondary glanular adhesions after circumcision. Early recognition allows outpatient treatment with excellent results, avoiding operative intervention with general anaesthesia, by genital separation of the scarred tissue from the glans and widening of the stenosed hiatus.
In difficult and neglected cases, repair is scheduled electively under general anaesthesia and is best started by marking the part of skin to be removed precisely and a proximal incision applied, removing the redundant skin and preputial membrane as separate layers starting from up and going down to the meatus. But great caution should be exerted to avoid excessive skin removal, and the technique can be accomplished by fine stitching of the penile skin with the internal preputial remnant rim (Figs. 10.24 and 10.25).

A special entity may be encountered in adults suffering from BXO who were managed by circumcision as a treatment modality. As a few cases may develop cicatrical phimosis if the prepuce is removed incompletely and balanitis recurs, adhesions between the glans and the prepuce are also common and these adhesions are difficult or impossible to separate. Such cases could be managed by leaving a fine layer of dartos covering the glans rather than denuding it, and the residual epithelial cells in this layer are left to recover the glans over the following weeks.\textsuperscript{12}

**PARAPHIMOSIS**

Paraphimosis is a true urologic emergency that occurs in uncircumcised men when the foreskin becomes trapped behind the corona of the glans penis, which can lead to strangulation of the glans as well as painful vascular compromise, distal venous engorgement, oedema and even glandular necrosis. Phimosis, by comparison, is
the condition in which the foreskin is unable to be retracted behind the glans penis (Fig. 10.26).

Paraphimosis could happen because boys have been encouraged to retract the foreskin for physiologic phimosis by parents or medical staff.

Paraphimosis commonly occurs iatrogenically when the foreskin is retracted for cleaning, for placement of a urinary catheter, during a procedure such as cystoscopy or during penile examination. Iatrogenic paraphimosis is an acute complication of MC in neonates and children when the circumciser fails to reposition the prepuce after initial retraction during the procedure. This complication is not related to the aesthetic complication but is discussed herein for its relation to phimosis.

**Incidence**

In uncircumcised children, aged 4 months to 12 years, with foreskin problems, paraphimosis (0.2%) is less common than other penile disorders such as balanitis (5.9%), irritation (3.6%), penile adhesions (1.5%) and phimosis (2.6%).
There is no estimation of the incidence of paraphimosis, which complicates the procedure of MC, but we dealt with many cases referred from the primary care centres with a strangulated preputial hiatus behind the coronal sulcus after different procedures of circumcision.

Factors that may predispose to paraphimosis include the following:
- Forcible retraction of prepuce, while the baby had different grades of phimosis.
- Babies with congenitally tight preputial opening without inflammation.
- Neonatal circumcision by inexperienced personnel.
- It is not a rare complication during circumcision of children with blood-related diseases (Fig. 10.27).
- Paraphimosis caused by dislodgement of the plastic ring represents 41.8% of complications among children circumcised by the Plastibell technique, a complication that was responsible for the highest rate of reoperation.\textsuperscript{14}

**Sequelae**
Paraphimosis encountered during routine MC is a controllable complication and easy to be managed without any sequel, if treated immediately or referred to specialized centres. But glans penis ischaemia or necrosis caused by paraphimosis is a rare complication of a urologic emergency, with a few cases were reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{15}

**Management**
In most instances, manual compression can reduce the preputial oedema within the first few hours; however,
enthusiastic attempts without adequate analgesia and sedation should be avoided, as they are distressing, are likely to fail and may make further examination or treatment interventions very difficult. Various techniques are described to treat this condition, including applying granulated sugar to the penis, adding multiple punctures to the oedematous foreskin before compression, injecting hyaluronidase beneath the narrow band to release it and wrapping the distal penis in a saline-solution-soaked gauze swab and squeezing gently but firmly for 5–10 min. Thereafter, physicians are supposed to push forcefully on the glans with the thumbs, while pulling the foreskin with the fingers. However, an emergency dorsal slit may be necessary in late cases. Generally, some authors advise completion of circumcision for paraphimosis, whereas others insist that circumcision is not advisable and could be postponed or deferred as the foreskin is oedematous and other major injuries may supervene.\textsuperscript{16}

**KELOID FORMATION**

Since Warwick and Dickson\textsuperscript{17} firstly described their experiences with a post-circumcision keloid in 1993, only a few cases have been reported so far, but it is expected that many cases may escape proper diagnosis and reporting.

Keloids are benign, hyperproliferative scar tissue growths characterized by excessive deposition of collagen and other extracellular matrix components.

Although the exact pathogenetic mechanisms are still unknown, extracellular matrix abnormalities, aberrant collagen turnover, mechanical tension and genetic immune dysfunction have all been proposed as pathogenetic hypotheses. In addition, fibroblast cells derived from keloid tissue display an increased proliferation and density, among many other characteristics.

The most likely cause of post-MC keloid was the postoperative dehiscence resulting in prolonged wound healing in a genetically predisposed individual.
FIG. 10.25 After removing the excess constricting skin in cicatricial phimosis, fine absorbable stitches are applied.

FIG. 10.26 A case of paraphimosis with an oedematous constricted prepuce behind the coronal sulcus.
Although keloid formation has been documented to be most frequent in patients between the ages of 15 and 45 years, only a few cases were reported below 12 years of age.\(^1\)

Clinically a keloid is an abnormal development consisting of a raised, firm, thickened, red piece of scar tissue. Such abnormal scar at the site of circumcision creates a grotesque deformation of the organ, with obstruction of its function. Different forms of keloid, either localized or circumferential, had been reported after MC (Fig. 10.28).

Like other keloids of the body, the post-MC keloid seems to be more common in the black races. The predisposing factors are prolonged wound healing, foreign body implant during circumcision and rough manipulation of the delicate penile skin.
Less extensive prominent scars can occur with severe fibrosis around the coronal sulcus, and mild forms of hypertrophic scar of the healing wound after circumcision are not rare, but uncommonly reported. We diagnosed a few cases with a localized area of hypertrophic scar, especially in older children; such cases may respond to prolonged use of a potent corticosteroid, without a need for surgical intervention (Fig. 10.29).

Keloid excision with or without skin grafting is indicated as a different postoperative measure to avoid recurrence of a keloid tissue. Radiation therapy is contraindicated in children and is not desirable for penile keloids because of the close proximity of germ cells. Intralesional corticosteroid injection decreases fibroblast proliferation, collagen synthesis and suppresses pro-inflammatory mediators. The most commonly

FIG. 10.28 Extensive circumferential keloid developed at the circumcision scar.
used drug for steroid injection is triamcinolone acetonide suspension at a dose of 5–10 mg/mL, which is injected intralesionally.¹⁹

**SUTURE MARKS**

Post-MC suture marks are sometimes termed as spitting sutures, which are detected weeks to months after surgery if the body rejects the sutures (again, from the stitches not absorbed as intended) and attempts to remove them by pushing the stitches out to the surface of the skin. Sutures that migrate in this way have been known to be the source of additional problems, such as a penile disfigurement from the untidy stitches marks or fibrosis (Fig. 10.5).

It is recommended for skin closure after MC to be done with the most delicate rapidly absorbable sutures. As the inner foreskin of newborns and infants is fragile,
6/0 or 7/0 quickly absorbable materials such as polyglactin or polyglecaprone can be used. For older kids and adults, 5/0 quickly absorbable materials may be used. Using medical cyanoacrylate is a good alternative to stitching. It avoids permanent suture marks and suture tunnels that may be problematic. Meticulous haemostasis is vital before cyanoacrylate application. Subcuticular (separate or continuous) suturing, which has similar advantages, can also be used by giving some more time and effort.

Thick and slowly absorbable materials cause permanent suture tracts, which are a common sequel, resulting in disfigurement of the MC scar; very rarely small sinuses may be encountered long time after circumcision at the site of nonabsorbable stitches (Fig. 10.30).

A small stitch granuloma with or without smegma collection may also be seen with the stitch remnants (Fig. 10.31).

POST-MALE CIRCUMCISION SMEGMA COLLECTION

Definition: The word smegma is of Greek origin meaning soap or an ointment.

Smegmoma: Preputial smegma cyst.

Smegmaliths: Pieces of hard contaminated and retained smegma.

Smegma has a characteristic slimy odour and is composed of epithelial debris, fat and proteins. It has mixed bacterial flora, including the smegma bacillus (Mycobacterium smegmatis) in 50% of man.

Smegma is the natural secretion of the prepuce, like other body secretions, such as earwax. So it is not harmful by itself, unless it is complicated by other pathogens, bacterial colonization, viral overgrowth or a combination of organisms. Smegma collection is usually associated with phimosis and different forms of balanitis or balanoposthitis.

Smegma secretion and distribution had a great variation between individuals and between different ages without a clear explanation. Wright states that smegma is produced from minute microscopic protrusions of the mucosal surface of the foreskin and that living cells constantly grow towards the surface, undergo fatty degeneration, separate off and form smegma.

Smegma should be cleaned frequently in uncircumcised boys by the mother during childhood and by the boy himself later on. Circumcised boys, especially those with excess skin remnants, may have a marked smegma...
secretion and attention should be paid to clean it as in uncircumcised boys (Fig. 10.19).

During circumcision, smegma should be cleaned and removed meticulously with saline wash, otherwise any retained small pieces will be entrapped and will accumulate between the edges of the incised prepuce and result in different forms of cysts of smegma, which may become large and will lead to different complications.

**Smegma Cyst**

Aggregation of smegma in circumcised children is not rare and may present alone without any other complications or in association with skin bridges (Fig. 10.12) or with stitch granuloma (Fig. 10.31) as a yellowish cystic or doughy swelling of different sizes at the cut edges of the prepuce (Fig. 10.32). Sometimes the swelling may become larger, disfiguring the penis (Fig. 10.33). It is usually presented as a single swelling, but cases with multiple small cysts are not rare (Fig. 10.34).

Smegma, produced under the foreskin, is made of 27% fat and 13% protein and contributes to the higher occurrence of *Malassezia* fungal species in uncircumcised versus circumcised men (49% vs. 7%). The frequency of yeast colonization in smegma is around 11%. It is considered as an inclusion cyst, and if seen at the ventral surface of the penis, or along the median raphe, it should be differentiated from other rare true penile cysts, such as parameatal cysts, mucoid cysts or median raphe cysts (Fig. 10.35).

These cysts are liable to irritation, traumatic rupture and infection with abscess formation. This complication is avoidable, but once diagnosed, careful excision under general or regional anaesthesia, with meticulous penile skin closure, is indicated and will avoid recurrence.
FIG. 10.32  A single small smegma cyst at the rim of a circumcision scar.

FIG. 10.33  A large smegma cyst at the dorsum of the penis.
POST-CIRCUMCISION PENILE LYMPHOEDEMA

Generally, lymphoedema of the external genitalia is an unusual problem in countries where endemic filariasis is rarely experienced. The abnormal retention of lymphatic fluid in subcutaneous tissue as a result of lymphatic obstruction can cause swelling, pain, disfigurement, difficulties in urination and later on a decrease in potency. Lymphoedema may be idiopathic or secondary to inflammation, surgical incision, neoplasm, radiation, hypoproteinemia, venous thrombosis and other medical conditions.

Preputial cutting severs the lymph vessels of the penile skin, and it may interrupt the circulation of lymph and sometimes cause different grades of penile lymphoedema, which is a painful, disfiguring condition in which the remaining skin of the penis swells with trapped lymph fluid. A few cases had been reported in the literature complicating MC, but we diagnosed a few cases with a variable extension and different forms of presentations (Fig. 10.36).

On the other hand, cutaneous lymphangiectasia (CL) or acquired lymphangioma is another lymphatic malformation, mostly congenital, whereas acquired CL occurs because of the obstruction of deeper lymphatic vessels secondary to other causes. It is characterized by the presence of a circumscribed eruption of thin-walled, translucent vesicles and ranges from clear, fluid-filled blisters to smooth, flesh-coloured nodules, sometimes with a coexisting lymphoedema. Mostly, CL is asymptomatic but pruritus, burning or painful lesion and sometimes a foul-smelling viscous discharge may also occur. We have only one case diagnosed as

FIG. 10.34 Multiple small smegma cysts.
FIG. 10.35 Post-circumcision smegma cyst in the ventral penile surface looks like a mucoid penile cyst.

FIG. 10.36 A localized lymphoedema of excess inner prepuce after guillotine male circumcision.
having CL in a previously normal adolescent, who was circumcised at the age of 10 years under general anaesthesia and developed CL at the scar of circumcision 2 months after the procedure, with progressive extension of the characteristic skin lesions in the penile and scrotal skin, which resulted in an ugly scar at the coronal sulcus; histopathologic findings confirmed the diagnosis of CL (Fig. 10.37).

Pathophysiology
There are two lymphatic systems in the penis: the superficial system and the deep system. The superficial system drains the prepuce and the skin of the penis, and it flows into the superomedial zone of the superficial inguinal nodes. The deep system drains the glans, runs beneath the deep fascia and flows both directly into the pelvic nodes and the superficial inguinal nodes. These anatomic structures can explain the discrepancy between the severely involved penile skin and the intact glans, as observed in Fig. 10.38, where the extensive excision of penile skin during MC results in lymphoedema of the remnant penile and scrotal skin, while the glans is minimally affected (Fig. 10.38).

The lymphatic vessels of the superficial dermal plexus drain a fixed area of skin through the vertical collecting lymphatics to the deep plexus. The damage to deep lymphatic vessels leads to back pressure and dermal backflow, with subsequent dilatation of the upper dermal lymphatics. Because circumferential excision of the penile skin above the deep fascia does not interfere with the deep lymphatic system, secondary penile lymphoedema is unusual.26

Diagnosis
The diagnosis is mainly clinical aided by the histopathologic finding of dilated lymphatics in the dermis during surgical treatment.

Post-MC lymphoedema could be classified according to its extension into

FIG. 10.37 A case of cutaneous lymphangiectasia complicating adult male circumcision, with the main brunt of the lesion at the circumcision scar and with an extension to the scrotal skin.
lymphoedema of the excess remnant prepuce (Fig. 10.36),
penile lymphoedema,
penoscrotal lymphoedema (Figs. 10.38 and 10.39),
CL (Fig. 10.37).

Differential Diagnosis
Lymphoedema detected after MC should be differentiated from cases of congenital primary lymphoedema (lymphoedema praecox), which is a rare anomaly and may be present since birth or may develop later but unrecognized before performing MC and only manifested or could be aggravated after the surgical trauma of MC, as circumcision may have initiated and accelerated the lymphatic obstruction leading to oedema\(^\text{27}\) (Fig. 10.39).

Post-MC lymphoedema should also be differentiated from cases of angioneurotic oedema, which may accidentally follow MC due to local or systemic causes, such as insect bites or drug eruption, in the latter case, the condition usually affects other organs with itching and responding early to antihistaminic medications\(^\text{28}\) (Fig. 10.40).

Lymphangiectasia has to be differentiated from herpes genitalis, genital warts and molluscum contagiosum.
Treatment
Regardless of the cause, lymphoedema is not fatal, but its chronic nature makes the patient miserable. Treatment should be directed towards the cause and aimed for reduction of the underlying oedema and control of infection.

Management of isolated penile lymphoedema is challenging, and medical treatments include the use of oral antibiotics for identified infectious pathogens, empirical antibiotics for presumed subacute genital infections, oral steroids and topical steroid application limited to areas with cutaneous lesions. Although various methods of lymphangioplasty have been described by several authors, they are technically difficult and unreliable and are therefore not often performed. The most common approach is excision of all the involved skin and subcutaneous tissue to the level of Buck fascia followed by coverage of the genitalia with local tissue flaps or skin grafts.

**FIG. 10.39** A circumcised child with congenital primary lymphoedema affecting the penile and scrotal skin.
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CHAPTER 11

Circumcision Scars and Aesthetic Concerns

JONATHAN A. ALLAN, PhD

ABSTRACT
In North America, it is fairly common to imagine the uncircumcised, or the intact, penis as ‘ugly’. Numerous examples can be found in popular cultures that refer to the uncircumcised penis and its foreskin as abject, disgusting, dirty, etc. As such, the circumcised penis has become something of a norm in North America, especially the United States where in the words of one scholar, ‘circumcision is consistent with American notions of good parenting’.

What all this assumes, of course, is that the circumcised men are aesthetically superior and that all circumcisions will necessarily result in this aesthetic improvement — in this logic, then, there are never any mistakes or accidents. However, as has been well-documented, circumcision complications do arise, and sometimes they leave the penis with scars, which can become an aesthetic concern; indeed, the correction, as it were, can become a new problem. This chapter thus considers the ugliness of the circumcised penis, especially when complications arise.

A NOTE ON TERMINOLOGY
I use the term ‘uncircumcised’ to refer to the penis that has not been circumcised. I recognize, however, that this terminology is problematic for some, especially those in the anti-circumcision community. Wallace has proposed that we ought to use three distinct terms to refer to different types of penises: ‘intact’ (those in the natural state), ‘circumcised’ (those with the prepuce removed), and ‘uncircumcised’ (those with a restored prepuce or pseudo-prepuce). I recognize that for Wallace these distinctions are important, and he is not alone. In one article published in the Australian Forum, a man explains, ‘I really resent the calling of a man who has a natural penis with foreskin ... “uncircumcised” as if it was something that had to be done!’ Likewise, Lander explains that using the term ‘uncircumcised’ is ‘irrational’ because it requires that one “define the normal as “not operated upon” and thus argues that ‘the normal male should be addressed as such, or referred to as
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In North America, it is fairly common to imagine that the uncircumcised penis is ‘ugly’. Numerous examples can be found in popular cultures that refer to the uncircumcised penis and its foreskin as abject, disgusting and dirty. As such, the circumcised penis has become something of a norm in North America, especially in the United States where ‘circumcision is consistent with the American notions of good parenting’. Indeed, as noted by J.R. Taylor, A.P. Lockwood and A.J. Taylor, the foreskin has disappeared from medical textbooks:

The current tendency to eliminate the prepuce from anatomy textbooks reflects the popular emphasis on the glans; perhaps the wrinkling and pleating of the retracted prepuce, like unwanted hair, is an affront to good taste or simply superfluous to requirements.

What all this assumes, of course, is that the circumcised penis is aesthetically superior and that all circumcisions will necessarily result in this same aesthetic improvement — in this logic, then, there are never any mistakes or accidents. However, as has been well-documented, circumcision complications do arise, and sometimes they leave the penis with scars, which can become an aesthetic concern; indeed, the correction, as it were, can become a new problem. This chapter thus considers the ugliness of the circumcised penis, especially when complications arise.
“intact”. However, it seems to me that ‘uncircumcised’ is the commonly accepted terminology for a penis that has retained its foreskin, even if there are a growing number of men who would prefer a term such as ‘intact’ or ‘natural’. Moreover, what is missing from Wallace’s typologies is the case of aposthia, in which, the neonate is born without a foreskin. Regardless, what should be clear is that the profession should respect the term or terms that an individual uses for himself.

A NOTE ON APPROACH
This article is established in the social sciences and humanities, rather than the medical sciences; however, I believe it contributes to both disburse and fields of inquiry and practice. Just as I have done in my research on the uncircumcised penis, I draw on a range of sources that may be unfamiliar to those trained in the medical sciences, or even sources that might never be quoted in the medical sciences, for any number of reasons. As a scholar, I am as likely to work with an ethnographic study as I am to work with a sex advice column in a popular magazine. I think it is important that wherever we come from that we are engaging with a wide range of materials because we likely will encounter a wide range of perspectives in the people we engage with, the audiences with whom we speak and the patients who are cared for by the medical profession.

CIRCUMCISION
Circumcision is perhaps the world’s first surgery, and most would likely agree that it is, at the very least, probably one of the oldest of all surgical procedures. Incidentally, decircumcision, or foreskin restoration, is likely the oldest, and thus, the first aesthetic surgery as Gilman has argued. Hutson notes, ‘circumcision has a long history in ancient societies of the Middle East, and is likely to have arisen as an early public health measure for preventing recurrent balanitis, caused by sand accumulating under the foreskin.’ Of course, circumcision has also been ‘a major part of the ritual for such religions as Judaism, Christianity and Islam’, and as Hutson noted, ‘it is probably not accident that all of these arose in the Middle East.’ Today, circumcision is carried out not only for religious reasons but also, and importantly, for secular reasons, such as ‘the father’s desire for the baby to look like himself’ which is one of the most common reasons, as well as a fear of the locker room, wherein a boy would have a penis that looks different from that of those around him. For example, a 1987 article found that the most popular reason (46%) for circumcision ‘was wanting our son to resemble other males’. Likewise, a 2014 study published in the Pediatric Surgery International found that little has changed. In this study, we learn that the most common reasons for circumcision were ‘to be like dad’ (69%) and social acceptance among peers (69%), and the other reasons included health and in only 11% of cases were religious reasons given for routine neonatal circumcision in the hospital setting. Indeed, in a 2015 study published in The Journal of Perinatal Education, the reasons for circumcision remain similar: [P]arents choose circumcision for their newborn sons for the child to have the same appearance as his father, to reduce his risk for infection, and because of beliefs about hygiene. At bottom, then, it must be admitted that ‘a man’s perception of his genitalia has a significant effect on self-esteem and sexual identity,’ which is why it is important that clinicians consider the question of aesthetics with regard to circumcision decisions.

CIRCUMCISION RISKS AND AESTHETIC CONSIDERATIONS
Given that ‘circumcision is the most frequently performed operation in the world,’ in addition to the influence on ‘self-esteem and sexual identity’, it seems valuable and important to consider the impact of the operation. From the outset, it should be recalled that the overall complication rate of 1.5% is low; however, as Schröder notes, “given the number of circumcisions performed worldwide, the number of affected children is enormous.”

In a survey completed by the National Organization to Halt the Abuse and Routine Mutilation of Males, respondents reported wide-ranging physical consequences from their circumcisions. Among the most significant consequences were prominent scarring (33%), insufficient penile skin for a comfortable erection (27%), erectile curvature from uneven skin loss (16%), pain and bleeding upon erection/manipulation (17%), painful skin bridges (12%) and others, e.g. bevelling deformities of the glans, meatal stenosis and recurrent nonspecific urethritis (20%).

Admittedly, this data is likely biased insofar as the study was conducted by an organization that has the explicit mandate of putting an end to routine neonatal circumcision. But what is valuable in this list is a series of reasons, commonly presented, against circumcision. Then the risks of circumcision are prominent scarring, insufficient penile skin for a comfortable erection and erectile curvature. Some of these reasons are more physical than aesthetic, but it is difficult to distinguish between the two, especially for a man in whom his penis has provided challenges to his self-esteem and sexual identity.
When we think about circumcision complications, we ought to move beyond the merely functional ‘does the penis still work?’ and towards other adjacent or orthogonal considerations, for instance, aesthetics. I argue, it would be advantageous to begin to think through the aesthetics of circumcision, especially given how frequent the reasons for circumcision are, in one sense or another, aesthetic, for instance, the circumcised penis looks better than the uncircumcised penis or for a son to look like his father and/or brothers. Although the latter reason may speak to community, it is also an aesthetic argument, which is to say, about appearances.

**SCARS**

Circumcisions, as we likely know, are not uniform; that is, not all circumcised penises look the same, even though they will look similar. There are different methods for circumcision, which will produce different results, at least aesthetically speaking. Gérard Zwang, for instance, notes that ‘the scar created by ritual circumcision, practiced in a workmanlike manner by non-doctors—be they mohels or barbers—is usually unsightly, torturous, and irregular, especially if it has suppurated.’ Zwang’s concern is ritual circumcision, but many of these same thoughts appear in critiques of medical circumcision. Nonetheless, what remains true is that circumcision does affect the aesthetics of the penis — even arguments for circumcision are often about improving upon the apparent ugliness of the uncircumcised penis.

In the cases of medical circumcisions, there are a few methods that have become commonplace, namely, the Mogen clamp, the Gomco clamp and the Plastibell, as well as less common modes such as the Sheldon clamp, which produces a guillotine-type circumcision. Given these different tools, it stands to reason that circumcision will not be uniform. Likewise, it has been observed that although the many techniques of circumcision have a common goal: to remove equal amounts of inner and outer epithelial preputial tissue in a rapid, minimally traumatic and haemostatic fashion, it must be admitted that there is a ‘fairly high [complication] rate (1.5 to 15%), [which] reflects the fact that the procedure is often performed by an inexperienced individual without attention to basic surgical principles.’ Incidentally, the Canadian newspaper, The Globe and Mail, reported that ‘few, if any, jurisdiction in Canada require physicians to undergo formal training before performing circumcision.’

Needless to say, given these dynamics, it is not surprising that circumcision results vary and complications do happen. The circumcision scar may appear in different places along the penis; for instance, one survey noted that one respondent had the scar close behind the glans, whereas the other’s scar was 25 mm back from it (Fig. 11.1). Additionally, although it is true that ‘the Gomco clamp and the Plastibell devices produce an even circular cut’, it must also be acknowledged that ‘if applied crookedly can result in cosmetic problems.’ Research has shown that the Gomco clamp has an overall complication rate of 1.9% and that the Plastibell’s overall complication rate range from 2.4% to 5%. In what follows, I focus on a few of these cosmetic problems, specifically missing frenulum, skin bridges or adhesions, two-toned and pigmentation variation and damage to the glans penis.

**Missing Frenulum**

A frenulum is ‘a small fold of integument or mucous membrane that limits the move of an organ or part’, and in the case of the penis, ‘the frenulum tethers the

![FIG. 11.1](sequence_of_post_circumcision_scars_from_distal_to_proximal_from_right_to_left_a_scared_glans_penis_scarring_of_the_excess_inner_prepuce_a_visible_stitch.sinus_in_excess_prepuce_and_distal_scaring_in_the_penile_shaft._photo_credit_mohamed_fahmy.)
foreskin and brings it back into position following retraction. The frenulum is continuous with the ridged band, which is a highly innervated pleated tissue just inside the opening of the foreskin. The frenulum and ridged band may have the highest concentration of fine-touch and other specialized neuroreceptors in the male body.\(^\text{22}\) (Figs. 11.2 and 11.3).

As such, the frenulum is often described as the king of all sensitive areas\(^\text{23}\) or as the so-called ‘G-spot’ of males,\(^\text{24}\) which is why it so often appears in sex advice columns in magazines and sex manuals. More specifically, ‘the frenulum is, by design, a little on the short side, so that during an erection and the swelling of the glans there is a pull on the band.’\(^\text{23}\) Although not necessarily an aesthetic concern, for many, it is most certainly a sexual and erotic concern.

Importantly, the frenulum is not removed during all circumcisions, as O’Hara and O’Hara note, ‘the tip of the foreskin, and some or all of the frenulum, are routinely removed as part of circumcision.’\(^\text{25}\) Likewise, Hammond and Carmack note that ‘the highly erogenous frenulum, often preserved in adult circumcision, is frequently ablated in neonatal circumcision due to the smaller size of the undeveloped penis.’\(^\text{26}\) Neonatal circumcision, thus, presents an interesting aspect to the ongoing debates about circumcision. It would seem that more care is taken with the adult penis, if we accept the claims of Hammond and Carmack, which

![Image of intact frenulum](https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/5/54/Image_of_frenulum.jpg)
undoubtedly has an effect and influence on self-esteem, aesthetics and sexuality.

**Skin Bridges**

Ponsky and colleagues noted, ‘penile adhesions are common after circumcision’ and found that 28% of the boys they evaluated had some kind of penile adhesion, including skin bridges. Of the 254 boys 25 were referred for evaluation of penile adhesions, skin bridges, or other circumcision related issues. Gerharz and Haarman note that one ‘adverse result of circumcision is the formation of cutaneous bridges between the glans penis and the penile shaft’ and explain that ‘prominent skin bridges are aesthetically disturbing and may lead to tethering of the erect penis, with pain or penile curvature.’

In Fig. 11.4, the skin bridge is relatively minor and is mostly visible because of the erect state. However, the skin bridge may create discomfort to and/or curvature of the penis. The skin bridge thus shows a deviation from the norm of a circumcised penis or an ideal circumcised penis. Romberg explains that a skin bridge ‘is a complication in healing of the wound, by which a piece of skin from the shaft of the penis has become attached to the glans, or another point along the shaft, forming a “bridge” that must be surgically corrected.’

**Two-Toned and Pigmentation Variations**

One additional aesthetic concern, for some men, is what might be understood as a ‘two-toned’ penis, wherein the penis has two distinct colours, often divided by the circumcision scar (Figs. 11.5–11.7).

This two-toned penis may not be an ideal one, and it may be considered an ugly or aesthetic concern for some men. In a survey completed by National
FIG. 11.4 Post circumcision small skin bridge, visible in erect penis. (Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/4/4b/Flaccid-erect.jpg)

FIG. 11.5 Toned pigmentation and visible scar in flacid penis. (Source: https://upload.wikimedia.org/wikipedia/commons/0/06/Circumcised_flaccid.jpg)
Organization of Restoring Men, UK, 74% of the respondents were dissatisfied with the appearance of their circumcised penises, and particularly, 26% complained about the variation in skin colour. In the cases shown in Figs. 11.5–11.7, the penis is clearly functional; indeed, in Fig. 11.7, an erect penis is presented (with the frenulum intact). In each case, the circumcision scar is clearly visible. This scar, although likely not of concern for many, is certainly a concern for some; one respondent in a survey explained, ‘the physical scar is hideous, but the emotional scar equates to rape’.

We should not be quick to dismiss these attitudes or ideas because for these men, they are genuinely held beliefs.

The Glans
Perhaps one of the most extreme examples of scarring and aesthetic concerns would be the example of the amputation of the glans penis, which is recognized as a rare circumcision complication. One case study notes that ‘the Sheldon clamp was placed over the prepuce, and the foreskin was pulled through the clamp and crushed. A scalpel was used to excise the prepuce. It was immediately recognized that the distal third of the penile glans had been surgically amputated.’ Another study notes a similar result in six cases that used the Mogen clamp. The Sheldon and Mogen clamps, unlike the Plastibell or the Gomco clamp, do not have a glans protective mechanism that minimizes its inclusion and injury during circumcision.

In their work, Salle and colleagues observed that Glans amputation during neonatal circumcision is a potentially devastating complication that appears to be particularly associated with the use of the Mogen clamp. They proposed that glans amputation can be prevented by careful preparation of the foreskin with complete lysis of ventral preputial adhesions before the placement of the clamp in order to avoid traction and inadvertent entrapment.

To be certain, complications do not arise with the Mogen or Sheldon clamp alone. One case study speaks of a child (4 years) who ‘had had a Plastibell circumcision 10 days previously’ and that ‘he had rested his penis on the toilet bowl, when a large wooden seat fell on the glans where the Plastibell ring was. This resulted in traumatic amputation of the glans.’ In such cases, then, undoubtedly, aesthetic considerations will remain and will need to be attended to. There will be scars from the reattachment of the glans (if possible), or there will be a noticeable absence of a part of the glans.

CONCLUSION: AESTHETICS MATTER
While the measure of a good circumcision might well be functionality, it is important that we take into account the aesthetic concerns. Brennan notes that ‘getting “botched” is a persistent anxiety of our augmentation-by-surgery age’, and although the incidence of circumcision complications is minimal, it is not insignificant,
especially with regard to self-esteem and sexual identity, as well as the perspectives and ideas of others, which, of course, have an influence on self-esteem. There is, of course, a significant body of scholarship that has noted, ‘thoughts about one’s body, including thoughts specific to one’s own genitals, have been linked to men’s sexual function.’ Unsurprisingly, then, ‘the role of body image in men’s sexual lives extends also to their penis specifically’; however, ‘genital body image has typically focussed on appearance of the penis or penis length.’ Indeed, as Bossio and Pukall note, ‘little research has empirically explored the potential role of circumcision status in a man’s body appraisal of his body image, particularly as body image relates to sexual functioning.’ I certainly agree with Bossio and Pukall, but as this chapter has sought to demonstrate
that not all circumcisions are the same, we need to focus not only on circumcision but also on the quality of circumcision, which includes taking into account aesthetic or cosmetic matters, as well as sexual and functional concerns. As such, circumcision complications should not be treated lightly, even if the penis is functional. We might do well to think about the adjacent concerns: aesthetics, sexuality and self-esteem.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT
This research was undertaken, in part, thanks to funding from the Canada Research Chairs program.

REFERENCES


15. Hammond T. A preliminary poll of men circumcised in infancy or childhood. BJU Int. 1999;83(suppl. 1):86.


21. Freedman, Lerman, and Bergman. 47


38. Bossio JA, Pukall CF. Attitude toward one’s circumcision status is more important than actual circumcision status for men’s body image and sexual function. *Arch Sex Behav.* 2018;47:772.
Index

A
AAP. See American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP)
Ablatio penis, 163, 167f
Acanthosis, 90
Acquired lymphangioma, 128–130
Acute epididymitis, 18
"Adhesive tape" method, 88
Adolescence
adolescent circumcision in Africa, 6f
Ancient Egyptian male circumcision at, 6f
Advanced Tissue Sciences of San Diego, 14
Aesthetic skin edges, 34–35
Africa
adolescent circumcision in, 6f
small baby circumcised using razor in, 27f
Akroposthia, 105–107
Al-Tohour (circumcision), 3
Ali’s clamp, 30
American Academy of Pediatrics (AAP), 18, 49–50
Aminoglycoside, 76
Anaesthesia, 177
and analgesia for MC, 44
general, 41
local, 41–42
regional, 41
topical, 42
Anaesthesiologists, 41
Analgesia for MC, 44
approphensive child undergoing ritual circumcision in rural area, 47f
milk formula given to baby undergoing circumcision, 46f
Ancient Egyptian male circumcision at adolescence, 6f
Androgen insensitivity syndrome, 52–53
Angioneurotic oedema, 131, 133f
Aposthia, 51, 135–136
Arteries, 65–66
Autonomic innervation, 39

B
Bacterial balanitis, 84, 101–102
Balanitis, 19, 81, 114–116
risk, 22
Balanitis treatment, 88–89
Balanitis xerotica obliterans (BXO), 84, 88f–89f, 101–102, 105f
Balanoposthitis, 81
Ballooning, 17
Bantu race, 13
Baptism, 17
Basal cells, 90
Biases in studies, 17–19
Bipolar coagulation, 70f
Bleeding
bleeding-related complications, 50
complications, 65
blood supply of penis, 65–68
classification of post-male circumcision bleeding, 68–69
control, 34–35
Blood supply of penis
arteries, 65–66
magnitude of bleeding complication, 68
to prepuce, 67
venous drainage, 66–67
Bone cutting clamping, 33–34
guillotine technique, 36f
Buck fascia, 39
Bulbourethral, 65
Buried penis, 110
BXO. See Balanitis xerotica obliterans (BXO)

C
CAA. See Circumcision Academy of Australia (CAA)
Canadian Paediatric Society (CPS), 18–19
Candida albicans, 88
Candida balanitis, 87f, 88
Carbon dioxide laser, 34–35
Causative organisms, 74
Caver-nosal, 65
Cavernous nerve (CN), 39, 173
CDC. See Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC); US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)
Celsius’ first method of “decircumcision”, 180, 180f
Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 1, 18, 49–50
Child circumcision, 178
Childhood circumcision. See Neonatal circumcision
Children, 41
Chinese Shang Ring, 32
Cicatricial phimosis, 99
Circumcised penis, 135–136
Circumciser, factors relating to, 54–55
Circumcising abnormal baby or deformed penis, 50–53
adolescent with androgen insensitivity syndrome, 59f
baby with scrotal transposition circumcised early in infancy, 57f
circumcised baby with significant buried penis, 57f
circumcised child with marked genital vascular malformation, 60f
circumcised during inguinal hernia surgery, 59f
circumcised microopenis, 61f
infant with marked right-side hydrocele, 58f
megameatus intact prepuce, 58f
neonate with imperforate anus circumcised without recognition, 60f
Circumcision, 49, 65, 135–136
apparition. See also Male circumcision (MC)
bias in studies, 17–19
recommendations, 19
approach, 136
circumcision, 136
of concealed penis, 53–54
baby with apparently concealed penis, 61f
factors affecting circumcision complication rate, 50
method and technique, 56
reporting of rate of circumcision complications, 56–62
scars, 137–141
and aesthetic concerns, 136–137
glans, 141
missing frenulum, 137–139
sequence of post circumcision scars, 137f
skin bridges, 139
two-toned and pigmentation variations, 139–141, 140f–141f
terminology, 135–136

Note: Page numbers followed by “f” indicate figures.
Infantile penis, 105–107
Infectious complications of circumcision, 73
bacterial or pyogenic balanitis, 84
clinical manifestation, 80
infected granuloma at dorsum of circumcision scar, 85f
extensive skin loss from penile shaft, 76f
forcible preputial retraction in neonate, 74f
fungal or candida balanitis, 88 incidence, 73
meatal stenosis, 95–97
meatal ulcers, 93–94
pathology, 73–75
post-circumcision balanitis xerotica obliterans, 89–90
penile granuloma, 76–77
post-male circumcision balanitis, 81–84
meatitis, 91–93
post-MC granuloma, 78
prevention, 75–76
pyogenic infection, secondary to extensive skin removal, 75f
treatment, 76, 80–81, 90–91
of balanitis, 88–89
silver nitrate stick cauterization, 86f
wide area of subcoronal granulation, 86f
Innervation
somatic, 39
autonomic, 39
and parents’ rights to consent for procedure, 8–9
and anaesthesia, 8
and coagulation status, 8
and risk of penile cancer, 19
and prevention of human immunodeficiency virus infection, 19
relevant anatomy, 39
risk of penile cancer, 19
of prostate cancer, 19–20
small baby circumcised using razor in Africa, 27f
somatic innervation, 39–41
spectrum of post-MC complications, 2
stapler, 34, 36f
tools, 26f
and ulcerative sexually transmitted infections, 19
Manual for Male Circumcision under Local Anaesthesia, 25
MC. See Male circumcision (MC)
Meatal injury, 149, 154f
Meatal stenosis, 93–97
discovered late after male circumcision, 96f
marked meatal stricture, 96f
post-male circumcision, 97f
Meatal ulcers, 93–94
large post-circumcision, 94f
Meatitis
with erythema and vesication, 95f
post-male circumcision, 91–93
Medical complication, 3
Medical cyanoacrylate, 124–125
Megameatus intact prepuce (MIP), 154
Megameatus intact prepuce, 58f
Men sex with men (MSM), 19
Methaemoglobinemia, 42
Metastatic attention, 69
Meticulous haemostasis, 69
Meticulous attention, 69
Major complication, 3
Magenberg clamp, 25–29, 28f
Mohel, 13, 26f, 137
Mendall, 13, 26f, 137
Monopolar cautery technique, 32
Moxol clamps, 25–29, 28f
Mohel, 13, 26f, 137
Meprobamata, 13
Microphallus, 146
Microposthia, 51
Minor complication, 3
MIP. See Megameatus intact prepuce (MIP)
Nogin clamp, 25–29, 28f
Mohel, 13, 26f, 137
Monopolar cautery technique, 32
Moxol clamps, 25–29, 28f
Mohel, 13, 26f, 137
Meprobamata, 13
Nafillin, 76
Napkin dermatitis, 4, 7f, 91
National Organization of Restoring Men (NORM), 180
Neodiyum:yttrium-aluminum-garnet laser contact technique (Nd: YAG laser contact technique), 34–35
Neonatal circumcision, 4, 5f, 7f–8f, 17, 22, 50, 178. See also Guillotine circumcision and anaesthesia, 8
and coagulation status, 8
and parents’ rights to consent for procedure, 8–9
and prematurity, 8
rates, 1
Nervous supply of penis and prepuce, 39
Non-therapeutic circumcision of male minors, 18–19
Non-aesthetic circumcision scarring complications, 99–100
incomplete circumcision, 105–110
keloid formation, 120–124
paraphimosis, 117–120
penile adhesions, 101–102
post-circumcision penile lymphoedema, 128–132
post-male circumcision, 110–112
phimosis, 112–117
smegma collection, 125–126
skin bridges, 103–105
untidy circumcision, 100–101
Nonsurgical foreskin restoration, 181
Nonsurgical restoration method, 180–181
INDEX
191
L
Laser circumcision, 34–35, 37f
Lateral preputioplasty, 182–183
Local anaesthesia
DPNB, 41
subcutaneous ring block, 41–42
Lymphangiectasia, 131
Lymphangioplasty, 132
Lymphatic vessels of superficial dermal plexus, 130
Lymphoedema, 90, 128, 131, 183
Praecox, 131
M
Magnitude of bleeding complication, 68
Maizels, 110
Major complication, 3
Male circumcision (MC), 1, 3–4, 17, 19, 25, 41, 49, 65, 73, 99, 145, 172–173. See also Circumcision; Guillotine circumcision; Neonatal circumcision anaesthesia
and analgesia for MC, 44
general, 41
local, 41–42
regional, 41
topical anaesthesia, 42
Ancient Egyptian male circumcision at adolescence, 6f
appropriate time of performing, 4–9
autonomic innervation, 39
complications
HBOT role, 171–175
prevention, 177–178
in contemporary world, 12–14
and effect on sexual behaviour, 20 future, 14
global map of male circumcision prevalence by country, 1f
high loose male circumcision with excess inner prepuce, 113f
historical and religious background to, 11–12
low loose, 114f
male newborn with bilateral femur fractures, 2f
nervous supply of penis and prepuce, 39
and prevention of human immunodeficiency virus infection, 19
relevant anatomy, 39
and risk of penile cancer, 19
of prostate cancer, 19–20
small baby circumcised using razor in Africa, 27f
somatic innervation, 39–41
spectrum of post-MC complications, 2
stapler, 34, 36f
tools, 26f
and ulcerative sexually transmitted infections, 19
Manual for Male Circumcision under Local Anaesthesia, 25
MC. See Male circumcision (MC)
Meatal injury, 149, 154f
Meatal stenosis, 93–97
discovered late after male circumcision, 96f
marked meatal stricture, 96f
post-male circumcision, 97f
Meatal ulcers, 93–94
large post-circumcision, 94f
Meatitis
with erythema and vesication, 95f
post-male circumcision, 91–93
Medical complication, 3
Medical cyanoacrylate, 124–125
Megameatus intact prepuce (MIP), 154
Megameatus intact prepuce, 58f
Men sex with men (MSM), 19
Methaemoglobinemia, 42
Metastatic attention, 69
Meticulous haemostasis, 69–125
Microphallus, 146
Microposthia, 51
Minor complication, 3
MIP. See Megameatus intact prepuce (MIP)
Mogen clamp, 25–29, 28f
Mohel, 13, 26f, 137
Monopolar cautery technique, 32
Moxol clamps, 25–29, 28f
Mohel, 13, 26f, 137
Meprobamata, 13
Nafillin, 76
Napkin dermatitis, 4, 7f, 91
National Organization of Restoring Men (NORM), 180
Neodiyum:yttrium-aluminum-garnet laser contact technique (Nd: YAG laser contact technique), 34–35
Neonatal circumcision, 4, 5f, 7f–8f, 17, 22, 50, 178. See also Guillotine circumcision and anaesthesia, 8
and coagulation status, 8
and parents’ rights to consent for procedure, 8–9
and prematurity, 8
rates, 1
Nervous supply of penis and prepuce, 39
Non-therapeutic circumcision of male minors, 18–19
Non-aesthetic circumcision scarring complications, 99–100
incomplete circumcision, 105–110
keloid formation, 120–124
paraphimosis, 117–120
penile adhesions, 101–102
post-circumcision penile lymphoedema, 128–132
post-male circumcision, 110–112
phimosis, 112–117
smegma collection, 125–126
skin bridges, 103–105
untidy circumcision, 100–101
Nonsurgical foreskin restoration, 181
Nonsurgical restoration method, 180–181
N
Smegma (Continued)
post-male circumcision smegma collection, 125–126
Solehring, 30
Somatic innervation, 39–41
Spitting sutures. See Post-MC suture marks
STIs. See Sexually transmitted infections (STIs)
Streptococcus pyogenes, 93
Subcutaneous connective tissue, 65–66
Subcutaneous ring block, 41–42, 44f–45f
Subcuticular suturing, 124–125
Subpreputial debris, 114–116
Superficial penile fascia, 39
perineal Colles fascia, 65–66
system, 130
ulceration, 93
veins, 66–67
Surgical restoration method, 181–183
foreskin regeneration, 181
PR in hypospadias surgery, 183
preputioplasty, 181–183
Suture granulomas, 78
Suture marks, 124–125
Suturing, 34–35
Sympathetic chain ganglia, 39
Symphyisis pubis, 39
Syphilis, 18
Systemic infectious complications, 73

Tahera. See Al-Tohour
Tara Klamp, 25–26, 30
Thermal cutting, 32
for guillotine circumcision, 32f
Thermocautery-assisted technique, 32
Tissue necrosis, 74
Topical anaesthesia, 42
Torsion, 184
Traditional guillotine-type circumcision, 107
Trapped penis, 110, 184
Tribal mark, 17
Triple-incision preputioplasty, 183, 184f
Tuberculosis, 73

Ugly circumcision scar. See Untidy circumcision

UHMS. See Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society (UHMS)

Ulcerative sexually transmitted infections, 19
Uncircumcised penis, 135–136
Uncircumcision. See Preputial reconstruction
Undersea and Hyperbaric Medical Society (UHMS), 171
Undescended testicle, 51–52
Untidy circumcision, 99–101
aesthetic regular scar, 100f
excess inner preputial layer, 103f
irregular post-male circumcision wavy scar, 101f
multiple glandular injuries, 104f
prominent stitch marks, 104f
unequal scar with excess outer and inner preputial layers, 102f
Urethra, 89–90
Urethral meatus, 93
Urethrococulaneous fistula, 149–154
coronal fistula after plastibell injury, 155f
minute fistula proximal to meatus secondary, 156f
obvious fistula detected early after male circumcision, 160f
post-male circumcision abnormal fistula, 158f
unreported case of dorsal fistula in neonate, 158f
visible hair coil over the coronal sulcus, 159f
wide penoscrotal fistula, 157f
Urinary meatus, 91–93
Urinary tract infection (UTI), 2, 17, 75, 112
UIS Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), 4

Vancocycin, 76
Vascular injuries and ischaemia, 162–163
Venous drainage, 66–67
Ventral curvature and chordae, 50

Webbed penis, 51, 110, 184
World Health Organization (WHO), 25

Zhenxi rings, 30
This page intentionally left blank
CHAPTER 15

Reconstructive Surgery for Circumcision Complications

MOHAMED A BAKY FAHMY, MD, FRCS

ABSTRACT

Reconstructive remedy of the consequent complications after male circumcision, especially during childhood, is well described in the late 1980s by illustrious predecessors; however, during the past 10 years, both medical and surgical treatment strategies had advanced enough to raise patient expectations for better long-term outcomes. As a child with complications of circumcision grew into adulthood, it became apparent that the results of many early interventions by pediatric urologists were not as good as originally hoped for, especially when the patient is exposed to scrutiny by his partner or when the patients compare themselves to normalcy. Challenge is clear in cases of multiple complications, and failure is usually clear when the reconstructive surgeons failed to recognize the normally anthropometric appearance of the aesthetic penis and to achieve normalization of function. Circumcision complications are commonly seen in men who had formerly minor or non-detectable penile congenital anomalies such as webbed penis, microphallus, microposthia, penile chordee and rotational anomalies. Such complications had a wide range of diversity and severity and there is no unified surgical procedure described specifically for such cases; each complication deserves a technique tailored for every patient, but general reconstructive principles are applicable for dealing with circumcision sequels. Phalloplasty techniques, for cases of post-circumcision penile loss, are evolving to include a number of different flaps, and most techniques have high reported satisfaction rates. Penile replantation and transplantation are also options for amputation or loss of phallus. Further studies are required to better compare different techniques to more robustly establish best practices.
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GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF RECONSTRUCTION OF COMPLICATIONS AFTER MALE CIRCUMCISION

- Children or adults who were subjected to circumcision, whatever its indication, should not carry any consequence throughout their life, even if only a surgical scar.
- Penile injuries are best treated by experienced surgeons on a case-by-case basis, with care taken to identify the most appropriate treatment.
- Every circumciser should be trained to have a setting of expectations and eventual aesthetic satisfactory outcomes for their patients.
- Excessive inner or outer prepuce is not an indication for redoing circumcision, and if the family or the patient himself insisted on refashioning, it is not considered a reconstructive surgery.
- Early intervention is not advisable, except in cases that need life or organ saving. The only advantage of neonatal and infancy intervention is prevention of subsequent psychic trauma;
otherwise, late intervention is recommended for proper planning of the reconstruction and appropriate tissue handling.

- Skin grafting of the penis can be challenging because of the ability of the penis to change in size.
- Local penile tissue is the best material for reconstruction as a flap, followed by scrotal skin, but if the skin in these areas is deficient, then a groin or upper thigh free graft is the second choice.¹
- Owing to the dramatic change in the size of the penis during erection and the need for durability because of the tissue demands of sexual activity, full-thickness skin grafts seem to be the preferred choice for the replacement of penile skin. However, in most cases, penile skin is best replaced by a split-thickness skin graft. A thick split-thickness skin graft offers the best combination of graft take and durability.²
- In some cases, a full-thickness skin graft may be appropriate in penile reconstruction. The most common example is in urethral reconstruction. Although a detailed discussion of graft urethroplasty is outside the scope of this chapter, understanding the principles behind graft selection and the factors that determine success is essential. Urethral reconstruction requires tissue that resists the stress of urine passage. At present, a full-thickness oral mucosal graft is the closest replacement tissue for the urethra. It is used extensively in staged reconstruction of urethral repairs and complex hypospadias.³

PREPUTIAL RECONSTRUCTION
Synonyms: Foreskin restoration, posthioplastice, uncircumcision, decircumcision or posthioplasty.
Definition: Foreskin restoration involves covering the glans penis to some extent with a double sheath of retractable tissue.
The demand for surgical or nonsurgical restoration of the prepuce after circumcision was so old that even the first evidence for such a procedure is mentioned in the Bible. Celsus (25 BCE to CE 50) and Galen (CE 131ee200) have given a detailed description on how to restore the foreskin in circumcised persons. The first detailed description of an operative procedure for decircumcision was given by Celsus, as seen in Fig. 15.1.
FIG. 15.1 Celsus’ first method of “decircumcision,” with distal circumferential cutting of the penile skin and proximal pulling of the skin to cover the glans. (After Rubin JP. Celsus’ decircumcision operation. Urology. 1980;16:121.)

Nowadays, reports on surgical foreskin restoration are still rare and alternative methods of nonsurgical skin expansion have become more common. With progressive decline in the rate of ritual circumcision in many countries, several organizations were founded to give advice on and support to foreskin restoration, such as the National Organization of Restoring Men (NORM). http://www.norm.org/.

Different methods and techniques are available to restore the foreskin in adults who regret after male circumcision and look for restoration of the removed prepuce, with all the procedures aiming to provide an extra single layer of skin to cover the glans. However, the only hope to regain a structure similar to the unique prepuce with its complicated components is through tissue engineering to reconstruct a new prepuce (preputial cloning), with promising results, but it is still an expensive procedure.

Preputial restoration could be achieved via nonsurgical and surgical procedures.

Nonsurgical Restoration

Skin expansion

Modern techniques of stretching penile skin have become famous only as lately as in the 1980s. Today, foreskin stretching is widely performed in the United States, and all methods depend on some kind of tape that is attached to the skin. The easiest way to start with is to pull the residual foreskin or the skin of the penile shaft over the glans as far as possible. The skin is fixed in this position by one or two tape straps that run from one side of the stretched penile skin over the tip of the glans to the other side of the shaft. If there is enough foreskin to cover the whole glans, it is also possible to apply a tape ring around the distal skin of the new prepuce that makes it impossible to retract. The tape is either changed daily or, in most cases, left until it gets off the skin. In these simple methods, simple pressure from the glans will start stretching the skin.4

Unlike conventional skin expansion techniques, the process of nonsurgical foreskin restoration may take several years to complete. The time required depends on the amount of skin available to expand, the amount of skin desired in the end and the regimen of stretching methods used. Patience and dedication are needed and there are support groups to help with these; the act of stretching the skin is often described informally as “tugging” by the support groups, especially those on the Internet.5

Several commercial retaining devices are available to hold the remaining skin. Tissue expansion cannot restore the specialized structures, and it is unclear whether the process promotes any nerve regeneration. Nonsurgical tissue expansion methods are state of the art, as they produce a pseudo-foreskin with much higher cosmetic appearance and functionality than that produced by surgical methods; also they are far less expensive; and do not have an associated risks as surgical methods. Older methods have been partially replaced by the use of various plastic or silicone components. The entire design and function of the ‘modern’ devices were first described in 2003 (Fig. 15.2).
Many new devices grip the skin, usually without the use of a tape. Some devices are homemade, often designed by men whose skin is easily irritated by adhesive tapes, also there are several different varieties of tapeless restoration devices commercially available. In some cases where there is too little skin to pull onto the gripping surface, pressure must be applied to the glans when applying the device. Most devices and weights are easily washed and can be reused each day.

Excessive tension may cause scar tissue to form, which takes longer to 'heal' and hinders flexibility of the newly acquired skin. It is important to perform pressure tests to check the blood flow. Furthermore, as part of the restoration regimen, no matter what device is being used, there must be frequent release of the tension against the sheath tissue and/or pressure against the glans so as to allow for free blood circulation.

Surgical Restoration
Surgical reconstruction methods include the following:

1. Skin graft from the thigh or buttocks: A free skin graft is sutured into a circumferential cut made around the penile shaft at the circumcision scar. The transplanted tissue usually has a very different condition and texture and is quite inflexible and smooth.

2. Scrotal implant flap: A scrotal implant graft is a multiple-stage reconstruction, involving circumferentially cutting the shaft tissue at the circumcision scar. A tunnel is created in the front side of the scrotum between two incisions and then the penile shaft is threaded through the tunnel and stitched at both ends. After about 3e6 months, when healed, the penis is surgically removed with the new scrotal tissue cut on either side and wrapped around the shaft and sewn on the ventral side. There is then another healing period. At that point, it is typically necessary to reduce the ‘overhang’ and to enlarge the orifice of the new foreskin.

3. Z-plasty or Y-V plasty: It is used to lengthen the distal penile skin to cover the glans partially.

Foreskin regeneration
There has been remarkable success in the field of regenerative medicine in the past two decades. There has been growing interest to regenerate the human male foreskin, and many clinical trials registered for regeneration of the human prepuce.

The proposed method would involve placing the patient under general anaesthesia. The penile skin would be opened at the circumcision scar, which is surgically derided. A biomedical solution would then be applied to both ends of the wound, causing the foreskin to regenerate with the DNA in the patient’s cells. A biodegradable scaffold (i.e., the decellularized foreskin of a cadaver) would be used to offer support for the regenerating foreskin. In the United States, Purpura et al. developed an innovative, regenerative therapy to repair the lost foreskin through the development of biological, acellular scaffolds by using decellularized foreskin dermal matrices, which prove to be able to maintain a balance between cellular removal and the maintenance of structural, mechanical and biological properties of the foreskin tissue.

Preputioplasty
The term preputioplasty is a misleading one because it is used only to describe the limited dorsal slit with transverse closure, which is used for surgical management of phimosis as a substitution for circumcision. Preputioplasty is used to widen a narrow nonretractile foreskin that cannot be
comfortably drawn back off the head of the penis during erection because of a constriction (stenosis) that has not expanded after adolescence, so it is not related to preputial reconstruction (PR).

The dorsal slit, as traditionally and still occasionally performed, is rarely to be recommended because the cosmetic result is unsatisfactory, longitudinal incision of the constricting ring proximal to the preputial

(A)

FIG. 15.2 (A) Dual tension restorer (DTR, tapeless device) (B) applied to a circumcised penis for foreskin restoration.

meatus, again with transverse suture as another alternative. Dean et al.\textsuperscript{7} developed a geometric variant of the dorsal slit procedure by adding a ventral slit to achieve the natural appearance of an intact foreskin and to be easily fully retractable (Fig. 15.3).

Lane and South\textsuperscript{8} described lateral preputioplasty as a variant of the dorsal slit. In this procedure, two laterally placed longitudinal incisions were made and the defects were sutured transversely. The authors advocated that the lateral placement of the incisions provides cosmetic
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FIG. 15.3 (1) Penis with a tight phimotic ring making it difficult to retract the foreskin. (2) Foreskin retracted under anaesthesia, with the phimotic ring or stenosis constricting the shaft of the penis and creating a ‘waist’. (3) Incision closed laterally. (4) Penis with the loosened foreskin replaced over the glans.

Improvement over the dorsal approach and avoids the impairment of frenular area over circumcision or other procedures, including ventral slits.

A triple-incision preputioplasty was described by Welsh in 1936. The technique consisted of three longitudinal, full-thickness skin incisions across the stenotic ring down to the inner preputial layer and transversal suturing of the three defects to enlarge a phimotic ring (Fig. 15.4). Wåhlin modified the procedure and three rhomboid defects made by the longitudinal incisions were closed with interrupted sutures placed obliquely in the middle of each incision.

FIG. 15.4 A triple-incision preputioplasty.
Nonsurgical technique is now available to manage phimosis by widening the tight prepuce without surgery; a speculum can be inserted into the phimotic foreskin and it puts tension in a lateral direction to gently open the foreskin so that it will allow retraction over the glans, with time, new cells are formed and the opening widens.\textsuperscript{10}

Preputial reconstruction in hypospadias surgery

In hypospadias surgery the prepuce can be reconstructed, but the procedure has not gained wide acceptance in all centers, and PR is surrounded by several controversies.

PR can be important for some patients or their parents, and it can be performed in almost all patients with distal hypospadias, except perhaps in those with the most asymmetric prepuces or severe ventral skin deficiency. PR does not seem to increase urethroplasty complications, but combination of PR with tubularization of the urethral plate urethroplasty seems to offer the best chance of success. Specific complications occur in around 8\% of patients and include partial or complete dehiscence of the prepuce and secondary phimosis. To prevent the latter, the reconstructed prepuce should be easily retractile at the end of surgery. Technical modifications can help achieve this goal. Cosmetically, reconstructed prepuces are not fully normal, but the abnormality could be less important for a patient and his parents than the complete absence of the prepuce.\textsuperscript{11}

Preputial oedema and lymphoedema is common in PR after hypospadias surgery, but most cases are self-limited and respond to conservative measures (Fig. 15.5).

\textbf{FIG. 15.5} Marked preputial lymphoedema after preputial reconstruction in hypospadias surgery.
PENILE ROTATION

The pathogenesis of penile torsion lies in the eccentric fusion of the endodermal and/or ectodermal folds. This leads to misdirected mesodermal proliferation during formation of the corpora and, hence, aberrant attachment of the fascial coverings of the penis and spongiosum to one side, leading to torque.

Although various theories have been proposed to explain the occurrence of torsion, we believe torsion is due to abnormal attachments of the dartos fascia, Buck fascia and skin. The median raphe forms by fusion of the ectodermal part of the urethral folds. Therefore during the development, if the fusion of endodermal and ectodermal components is eccentric (leading to torque), the whole penis rotates helically as a unit and the median raphe, being ventral, shifts to a direction opposite to that of the torque.12

The importance of recognition of torsion during circumcision lies in the fact that a simple additional manoeuvre such as penile degloving and reattaching is all that may be required for the correction of torsion. Other techniques for the repair of torsion include penile degloving with skin reattachment, dorsal dartos wrap rotation, pubic periosteal stitch, untwisting plication sutures and mobilization of the urethral plate and urethra (Fig. 15.6).13

CONCEALED PENIS

Concealed penis (CP) may be divided into three groups according to the Maizels14 classification, which is based on the causative mechanism: buried penis, webbed penis and trapped penis. In webbed penis, there is extra skin between the scrotal raphe and distal penis obscuring the penoscrotal angle. Trapped penis refers to a condition in which a normal penis is depressed under the skin following a surgical procedure, generally a circumcision, and looks concealed. Williams et al.15 reported a rate of 9% CP among those applying for routine circumcision, and they performed a penoplasty rather than a circumcision in such cases.
FIG. 15.6 Minor penile rotation corrected after circumcision.
The same study reported a rate of 63% CP among those applying for a circumcision revision (26% trapped penis and 37% insufficient circumcision). It is possible that one may refrain from excising sufficient prepuce to avoid more complications in a case with partial CP and insufficient circumcision may thus take place. In a baby with CP, a generous excision of the penile skin in an effort to make the penis visible leads to a crippled problem of trapped penis with almost no local penile skin surrounding the penis, requiring flaps or grafts for correction. Borsellino et al.\textsuperscript{16} reported
that a staged revision surgery was required in majority of their cases, as the penile shaft skin was also excised along with the prepuce. Penile visibility index (PVI) calculation before circumcision might help predict the cosmetic outcome of circumcision, where the ratio of visible penile length (PL) to the stretched PL was calculated for each subject and recorded as PVI.\textsuperscript{17}

Minor cases of CP can be detected and corrected during circumcision by the dissection method; if the surgeon has enough awareness about the surgical anatomic variation, this could be achieved through proper dissection of the tethering defective fascia and preserving the ventral aspect of the prepuce to cover the undersurface of the freed penis (Fig. 15.7).
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